

Slide No. and Description	Statement / Question	Yes ✓ No x
Slide 1 - Cover		
Slide 2 – Deputy Mayor's Introduction		
Slides 3 to 5 – What Gave Rise to this Project?	I participated in the Oatlands Structure Plan Consultation in 2021	
	I agree with the Consultant's recommendations in the Report	
	Other comment(s):	
Slide 6 – The Village Square as a public gathering space & its orientation		
Slide 7 – The Village Square overlaid on an aerial image		
Slide 8 – Street View of the Proposed Site		
Slide 9 – Project Timeline & Working Group		
Slide 10 – Project Site Scope	I agree with the Project Site Scope	
	Other comment(s):	

Slide No. and Description	Statement / Question	Yes ✓ No x
Slide 11 – Public Ownership in the Site Scope		
Slide 12 – Identified Issues within the Site Scope	I agree that the issues identified require addressing.	
	Other comment(s):	
Slide 13 – Identified Issue Public Toilets at the Rear of the Council Chambers	I understand the issue and agree that the issues identified require addressing.	
	Other comment(s):	
Slide 14 – Possible Response Remove the current Public Toilets New Public Toilets New Location	I agree with the proposed concept to address the issue.	
	I agree that we remove the existing public toilet facility	
	I agree that we need a new public toilet facility in another location.	
	I agree with the proposed location in Slide 14.	
	Do you have another site suggestion (if so, please share with us the location and reason for that location in the comments below).	

Slide No. and Description	Statement / Question	Yes ✓ No x
	Other comment(s):	
Slide 15 – Identified Issue Car Parking at the Rear of the Council Chambers	I agree that the issue identified requires addressing.	
Slide 16 – Possible Response Install Accessible bay	I agree with the proposed concept to install Accessible Parking in the carpark.	
	Other comment(s):	
Slide 17 – Identified Issue Stutzer St Footpath is has too much slope Roadway camber makes parking a problem	I agree that the issues identified require addressing.	
	I agree that the footpath has too much slope and is dangerous.	
	I agree that the camber on the roadway makes parking a problem.	
	I agree that there should be a Village Square connection between the frontage of Roche Hall and the frontage of the Council Chambers.	

Slide No. and Description	Statement / Question	Yes ✓ No x
Slide 18 – Possible Response Reconstruction of Stutzer Street	I agree with the proposed concept to reconstruct Stutzer St with one-way for half the road, then with one-way plus parking for the balance of Stutzer St to the rear boundary of Roche Hall.	
	Other comment(s):	
Slide 19 – Identified Issue Provide better access to the side entrance of Council Chambers	I agree that the issue identified requires addressing.	
	Other comment(s):	
Slide 20 – Response	Currently this is in the concept design phase with the Architect.	

Slide No. and Description	Statement / Question	Yes ✓ No x
Slide 21 – Identified Issue Current fencing around the Council Chambers	I agree that the issue identified requires addressing by the fence being removed.	
	Other comment(s):	
Slide 22 – Identified Issue Safe Pedestrian Access across High Street	I agree that the issue identified requires addressing.	
Slide 23 – Possible Response Comprehensive Pedestrian Crossing arrangement	I agree with the proposed concept to construct a wide-ranging elevated access arrangement to connect the public spaces on High Street.	
	Other comment(s):	
Slide 24 – Identified Issue Possible use of the rear of Roche Hall as a formal carpark	This has been discounted due to its archaeological significance	
Slide 25 – Response	Because of the location being a significant archaeological site this is unable to be a long term car parking location, but can continue to be used as an adhoc parking space, until that is required to cease.	

Thank you for taking the time to review our documentation and provide feedback.