PUBLIC COPY



AGENDA ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING

Wednesday, 28th October 2020 10.00 a.m.

Victoria Memorial Hall 89 Main Street, Kempton

INDEX

| 1. | PRAYERS | 6 |
|--|--|--|
| 2. | ATTENDANCE | 6 |
| 3. | APOLOGIES | 6 |
| 4. | MINUTES | 6 |
| | Special Committees of Council Minutes Special Committees of Council - Receipt of Minutes Special Committees of Council - Endorsement of Recommendations | 7 7 7 |
| 4. | 3.1 Joint authorities - Receipt of Minutes | 9 |
| 5. | NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS | .10 |
| 6. | COUNCILLORS - QUESTION TIME | .44 |
| 6. 6.2 | | .44 .45 |
| 7. | DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY INTEREST | .46 |
| 8. | CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA | .47 |
| 9. | PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (SCHEDULED FOR 10.30 A.M.) | .48 |
| 9.1 | PERMISSION TO ADDRESS COUNCIL | |
| 10. | MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN UNDER REGULATION 16 (5) OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT (MEETING PROCEDURES) REGULATIONS 2015 | |
| 11. | COUNCIL ACTING AS A PLANNING AUTHORITY PURSUANT TO THE LAND USE PLANNING AND APPROVALS ACT 1993 AND COUNCIL'S STATUTORY LAND US PLANNING SCHEME | Ε |
| | | .50 |
| 11.1 <i>11</i> 11.2 11.3 11.4 | DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS 1.1.1 Development Application (SA 2020/8) for a proposed Dwelling and Subdivision as Street Oatlands (CT7817857/1), owned by Scott and Jacqueline Dare SUBDIVISIONS MUNICIPAL SEAL (PLANNING AUTHORITY) PLANNING (OTHER) | .50 t 47 High .50 .90 .91 |
| 11.2 11.3 11.4 | DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS 1.1.1 Development Application (SA 2020/8) for a proposed Dwelling and Subdivision as Street Oatlands (CT7817857/1), owned by Scott and Jacqueline Dare SUBDIVISIONS MUNICIPAL SEAL (PLANNING AUTHORITY) PLANNING (OTHER) | .50 t 47 High .50 .90 .91 .91 |
| 11.2 11.3 11.4 12. | DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS 1.1.1 Development Application (SA 2020/8) for a proposed Dwelling and Subdivision as Street Oatlands (CT7817857/1), owned by Scott and Jacqueline Dare SUBDIVISIONS MUNICIPAL SEAL (PLANNING AUTHORITY) | .50 t 47 High .50 .90 .91 .91 .92 |
| 11.2 11.3 11.4 12. | DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS 1.1.1 Development Application (SA 2020/8) for a proposed Dwelling and Subdivision as Street Oatlands (CT7817857/1), owned by Scott and Jacqueline Dare SUBDIVISIONS MUNICIPAL SEAL (PLANNING AUTHORITY) PLANNING (OTHER) OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – INFRASTRUCTURE) . ROADS | .50 t 47 High .50 .91 .91 .92 .92 .93 .93 .93 |
| 11.2 11.3 11.4 12. 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 | DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS | .50 t 47 High .50 .90 .91 .91 .92 .92 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 |
| 11.2 11.3 11.4 12.1 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 <i>12</i> .9 12.1(| DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS 1.1 Development Application (SA 2020/8) for a proposed Dwelling and Subdivision at Street Oatlands (CT7817857/1), owned by Scott and Jacqueline Dare SUBDIVISIONS MUNICIPAL SEAL (PLANNING AUTHORITY) PLANNING (OTHER) PLANNING (OTHER) OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – INFRASTRUCTURE). ROADS ROADS 2:1.1 Crown Reserved Roads / Unmade Roads BRIDGES WALKWAYS, CYCLE WAYS AND TRAILS LIGHTING BUILDINGS SEWERS / WATER DRAINAGE WASTE 28.1 Southern Midlands Council Waste Transfer Station Operations INFORMATION, COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY TO OFFICER REPORTS – INFRASTRUCTURE & WORKS 70 0.1 Manager – Infrastructure & Works Report | .50 t 47 High .50 .90 .91 .91 .92 .92 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 |
| 11.2 11.3 11.4 12.1 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 <i>12</i> .9 12.1(| DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS 1.1 Development Application (SA 2020/8) for a proposed Dwelling and Subdivision at Street Oatlands (CT7817857/1), owned by Scott and Jacqueline Dare SUBDIVISIONS MUNICIPAL SEAL (PLANNING AUTHORITY) PLANNING (OTHER) OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – INFRASTRUCTURE). ROADS RoADS 2.1.1 Crown Reserved Roads / Unmade Roads BRIDGES WALKWAYS, CYCLE WAYS AND TRAILS LIGHTING BUILDINGS SEWERS / WATER DRAINAGE WASTE 2.8.1 Southern Midlands Council Waste Transfer Station Operations INFORMATION, COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY OFFICER REPORTS – INFRASTRUCTURE & WORKS OPERATION | .50 t 47 High .50 .90 .91 .91 .92 .92 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 |
| 11.2 11.3 11.4 12. 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.9 12.1(12 | DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS 1.1 Development Application (SA 2020/8) for a proposed Dwelling and Subdivision at Street Oatlands (CT7817857/1), owned by Scott and Jacqueline Dare SUBDIVISIONS MUNICIPAL SEAL (PLANNING AUTHORITY) PLANNING (OTHER) PLANNING (OTHER) OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – INFRASTRUCTURE). ROADS ROADS 2:1.1 Crown Reserved Roads / Unmade Roads BRIDGES WALKWAYS, CYCLE WAYS AND TRAILS LIGHTING BUILDINGS SEWERS / WATER DRAINAGE WASTE 28.1 Southern Midlands Council Waste Transfer Station Operations INFORMATION, COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY TO OFFICER REPORTS – INFRASTRUCTURE & WORKS 70 0.1 Manager – Infrastructure & Works Report | .50 t 47 High .50 .91 .91 .92 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 |
| 11.2 11.3 11.4 12. 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.7 12.8 12.1 (12.7) 12.10 1 | DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS 1.1 Development Application (SA 2020/8) for a proposed Dwelling and Subdivision an Street Oatlands (CT7817857/1), owned by Scott and Jacqueline Dare SUBDIVISIONS MUNICIPAL SEAL (PLANNING AUTHORITY) PLANNING (OTHER) PERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – INFRASTRUCTURE). ROADS Roads 2.1.1 Crown Reserved Roads / Unmade Roads BRIDGES WALKWAYS, CYCLE WAYS AND TRAILS LIGHTING BUILDINGS Sewers / WATER DRAINAGE WASTE 2.8.1 Southern Midlands Council Waste Transfer Station Operations INFORMATION, COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY OFFICER REPORTS – INFRASTRUCTURE & WORKS 2.10.1 Manager – Infrastructure & Works Report OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – GROWTH) Residential TOURISM BUSINESS BUSINESS | .50 t 47 High .50 .90 .91 .92 .92 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 .93 |

| 14.1 | 1.1 Heritage Project Program Report | 110 |
|--|--|-------------------|
| 14.1 | | |
| | Expression of Interest process for other possible uses | |
| 14.2 | NATURAL | |
| 14.2 | | |
| 14.3 | CULTURAL | |
| 14.4 | REGULATORY (DEVELOPMENT) | |
| 14.5 | REGULATORY (PUBLIC HEALTH) | |
| 14.6 | REGULATORY (ANIMALS) | |
| 14.7 | ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY | |
| 14.7 | 7.1 Updated Climate Change Action Plan | 132 |
| 15. | OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – COMMUNITY) | 162 |
| 15.1 | COMMUNITY HEALTH AND WELLBEING | |
| 15.2 | RECREATION | |
| 15.2 | | |
| 15.2 | | |
| 15.3 | ACCESS | |
| 15.4 | VOLUNTEERS | |
| 15.5 | FAMILIES | 167 |
| 15.6 | EDUCATION | 167 |
| 15.7 | CAPACITY & SUSTAINABILITY | 167 |
| 15.8 | SAFETY | 167 |
| 15.9 | CONSULTATION & COMMUNICATION | 167 |
| 16. | OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – ORGANISATION |) 168 |
| | | , |
| 16.1 | MPROVEMENT | |
| 16.1 | IMPROVEMENT 1.1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use | 168 168 |
| 16.1 16.1 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy | 168 168 175 |
| 16.1 16.1 | IMPROVEMENT 1.1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 1.2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY | |
| 16.1 16.1 16.2 16.2 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update | |
| 16.1 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SUSTAINABILITY 2.1 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2.2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) | |
| 16.1 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy 3 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only 2 Tabling of Documents | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SUSTAINABILITY 2.1 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2.2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SUSTAINABILITY 2.1 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2.2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements FINANCES Statements | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements FINANCES Monthly Financial Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SUSTAINABILITY 2.1 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2.2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements FINANCES S1 8.1 Monthly Financial Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) MUNICIPAL SEAL | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.3 16.3 16.3 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements FINANCES Monthly Financial Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.3 16.3 16.3 17. | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SUSTAINABILITY 2.1 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2.2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements FINANCES S1 8.1 Monthly Financial Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) MUNICIPAL SEAL | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.3 16.3 16.3 17. | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SUSTAINABILITY 2.1 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2.2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements FINANCES S1 3.1 Monthly Financial Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) MUNICIPAL SEAL CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA BUSINESS IN "CLOSED SESSION" | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.3 16.3 16.3 17. 18. 19. | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY SUSTAINABILITY 2.1 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2.2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only. 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements FINANCES Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) 8.1 Monthly Financial Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) MUNICIPAL SEAL CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA BUSINESS IN "CLOSED SESSION" Closed Council Minutes - Confirmation 2 Consider Council Minutes - Confirmation | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.3 16.3 16.3 17. 18. 19. 19.1 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy. SUSTAINABILITY SUSTAINABILITY 2.1 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2.2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only. 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements 2.6 Financial Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) MUNICIPAL SEAL CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA BUSINESS IN "CLOSED SESSION" Closed Council Minutes - Confirmation Applications for Leave of Absence. Waste Transfer Station Contract for the Waste Collection, Disposal and Provision | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.3 16.3 16.3 17. 18. 19.1 19.2 19.3 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY Successful Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only. 4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements FINANCES Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) MUNICIPAL SEAL CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA BUSINESS IN "CLOSED SESSION" Closed Council Minutes - Confirmation Applications for Leave of Absence Waste Transfer Station Contract for the Waste Collection, Disposal and Provisi Year Plus 1) | |
| 16.1 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2 16.3 16.3 16.3 17. 18. 19. 19.1 19.2 | IMPROVEMENT 1 Policy Review – Communications Policy & Social Media Use 2 Draft Policy – Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy SUSTAINABILITY Sustainability 2.1 SMC External Grant Projects – Quarterly Update 2.2 Proposed Christmas / New Year arrangements (including office closure) 2.3 Local Government Shared Services – Quarterly Update – Information Only 2.4 Tabling of Documents 2.5 Elected Member Statements FINANCES Signature 8.1 Monthly Financial Statement (period ending 30 September 2020) MUNICIPAL SEAL CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA BUSINESS IN "CLOSED SESSION" Closed Council Minutes - Confirmation Applications for Leave of Absence Waste Transfer Station Contract for the Waste Collection, Disposal and Provisi Year Plus 1) | |



Dear Sir/Madam

NOTICE OF MEETING

Notice is hereby given that the next ordinary meeting of Council will be held on

Date: Wednesday, 28th October 2020

Time: 10.00 a.m.

Venue: Victoria Memorial Hall, 89 Main Street, Kempton

The Local Government Act 1993 section 65 provides the following:

- 1. A general manager must ensure that any advice, information or recommendation given to the council or a council committee is given by a person who has the qualifications or experience necessary to give such advice, information or recommendation.
- 2. A council or council committee is not to decide on any matter which requires the advice of a qualified person without considering such advice unless –
- (a) the general manager certifies, in writing
 - (i) that such advice was obtained; and
 - (ii) that the general manager took the advice into account in providing general advice to the council or council committee; and
- (b) a copy of that advice or, if the advice was given orally, a written transcript or summary of that advice is provided to the council or council committee with the general manager's certificate.

I therefore certify that with respect to all advice, information or recommendation provided to the Council in or with this Agenda:

- (1) The advice, information or recommendation is given by a person who has the qualifications or experience necessary to give such advice, information or recommendation; and
- (2) Where any advice is directly given by a person who does not have the required qualification or experience, that person has obtained and taken into account in that person's general advice, the advice from an appropriately qualified or experienced person.

Councillors please note:

 Public Question Time will be held at 10.30 a.m. – members of the public are invited to attend.

Yours faithfully

1)

Andrew Benson ACTING GENERAL MANAGER

OPEN COUNCIL AGENDA

- 1. PRAYERS
- 2. ATTENDANCE
- 3. APOLOGIES

4. MINUTES

4.1 ORDINARY COUNCIL MINUTES

The Minutes (Open Council Minutes) of the previous meeting of Council held on the 23rd September 2020, as circulated, are submitted for confirmation.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| CIr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | |

4.2 SPECIAL COMMITTEES OF COUNCIL MINUTES

4.2.1 SPECIAL COMMITTEES OF COUNCIL - RECEIPT OF MINUTES

The Minutes of the following Special Committee of Council, as circulated, are submitted for receipt:

- Woodsdale Community Memorial Hall Management Committee Annual General Meeting – 21st September 2020.
- Campania Hall's Management Committee Annual General Meeting 22nd September 2020.
- Kempton Streetscape Group Meeting 28th September 2020.
- Arts Advisory Committee Meeting 15th October 2020.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the minutes of the above special committee of Council be received.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| CIr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | |

4.2.2 SPECIAL COMMITTEES OF COUNCIL - ENDORSEMENT OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations contained within the minutes of the following Special Committee of Council are submitted for endorsement.

- Woodsdale Community Memorial Hall Management Committee Annual General Meeting – 21st September 2020.
- Campania Hall's Management Committee Annual General Meeting 22nd September 2020.
- Kempton Streetscape Group Meeting 28th September 2020.
- Arts Advisory Committee Meeting 15th October 2020.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the recommendations contained within the minutes of the above Special Committees of Council be endorsed.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| CIr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | |

4.3 JOINT AUTHORITIES (ESTABLISHED UNDER DIVISION 4 OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1993)

4.3.1 JOINT AUTHORITIES - RECEIPT OF MINUTES

The Minutes of the following Joint Authority Meetings, as circulated, are submitted for receipt:

Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority – Nil.

DECISION NOT REQUIRED

4.3.2 JOINT AUTHORITIES - RECEIPT OF REPORTS (ANNUAL & QUARTERLY)

Reports prepared by the following Joint Authorities, as circulated, are submitted for receipt:

Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority – Nil.

DECISION NOT REQUIRED

5. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS

In accordance with the requirements of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Agenda is to include details of any Council workshop held since the last meeting.

One workshop has been held since the last ordinary meeting of Council.

A workshop was held on the 12th October 2020 at the Oatlands Council Chambers.

Attendance: Deputy Mayor E Batt, Clrs A Bantick, Clr A E Bisdee OAM, K Dudgeon, D Fish and R McDougall.

Apologies: Nil.

Also in Attendance: Mayor A Green, A Benson, B Williams & D Baldwin

The purpose of the workshop was to consider and discuss the following items:

1. Heritage items

Enclosure: Heritage Projects Team presentation to Council

Heritage Projects Manager (Brad Williams) and Heritage Collections, Exhibitions and Data Officer (Deborah Baldwin) attended the workshop and provided a presentation to Councillors on various heritage matters.

Council's heritage collections and management initiatives:

Deborah Baldwin gave an overview of council's heritage and archaeological collections and the ongoing cataloguing and curatorial program. Also discussed were matters such as the policy background, the need for an expanded storage facility, the initiatives towards getting the collection accessible online and the active and valuable contribution made by volunteers. An overview of the upcoming exhibitions program was also provided.

Conservation management plans for the Oatlands Town Hall and Roche Hall:

Brad Williams gave an update on the progress of the CMP's for the Oatlands Town Hall and Roche Hall and in particular the matters relating to several current/key issues including the 'town common' area in front, equal access infrastructure to the council chambers and town hall and toilet facilities. A 'constraints, opportunities and requirements' exercise was run through for each site. Completion of the CMP's are to be by mid-2021, however some issues are being pulled forward for current discussions (e.g. for the Oatlands Structure Plan).

Oatlands pool demolition and gaol yard

Brad Williams provided an overview of the existing conservation and master planning documents for the Oatlands Gaol site and which documents are to be updated ahead of

the lodgement of a development application for the demolition and site rehabilitation – that application expected to be ready by mid 2021.

The Workshop concluded at approximately 11.30 a.m.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the information be received.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | |

ENCLOSURE(S)

Agenda Item 5

Heritage Projects Team

Southern Midlands Council Collections

Introduction to the Team

- Bradley Williams Manager, Heritage Projects
 - Statutory and strategic planning, project management archaeological projects, peakbody and other council liaison, building maintenance

Alan Townsend - Heritage Officer

• Historical research, project management and support, residency programs (AIR), publications and presentations, heritage stakeholder and regional public engagment

Michelle Webster - Heritage Engagement Officer

• General public engagement, heritage building access, tourism development, social media

• Deborah Baldwin - Heritage Collections, Exhibitions and Data Officer

Collection management, data management, exhibitions and curatorial, online data accessibility, volunteer support

Introduction to the Collections

- SMC Heritage Collections Policy
 - Provides policy for the management of heritage collections held by the Southern Midlands Council
- Archaeology Collection

Heritage Collection

- Objects
- Photographs and Art
- Documents
- Corporate

Archaeological Artefacts Collection Management

- Over 8000 artefacts processed from more than 25 projects over 20 years
- 80% 'Manually accessioned' using catalogue sheets
- 25% Accessioned into the Collection Management System database (including some data entry from catalogue sheets)
- 10% processed from 2018/2019 joint SMC/UTas projects at Picton Road Station and The Commissariat, being accessioned directly into CMS
- Stored at Gaoler's Residence or on display







Heritage Collection Management

- Over 100 objects from the National Trust Collection relating to the occupation of the Supreme Court House manually catalogued and entered into Collection Management System database
- Cataloguing 'To Do'
 - Art works and photographs from SMC properties and Artists in Residence Collection
 - Objects such as Honor Boards, furniture and the Callington Park Machinery Collection
 - Documents and Corporate collections

| Object # | 33 | Object Painting | | Format | Object | - C | dietion Nat | ional Trust - The | inas 🛞 |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Class | Domestar | Descriptive | - Keywa | nds thorse | | | | | |
| Materials | wood goes | eo, canvas, od paint | | - | Length | - | Width | pan Height | |
| Description | Gilt frame | od oil painting on sauvas. D | epicta a horse) | s head and | uock. 'S) | ACS' scent | cheil into fra | ne back. | |
| Portion' | complete | Image Path | | | | | | | |
| Location | Ontiands | Gooler's Residence Lab | - Bay | Shelf | Box | Storage | Note Judge | e Chamber | |
| | | | Boom | Wall | | | | | |
| Condition | stable | Condition Details Chip | i to gèrso on gi | li frame D | iscolours | d várnisli) | in canvan no | all amount of fla | king or - |
| Conservation History | mil | | | Sp: Roquisen | ecial sonts | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Date Condition La | st Cheeked 20 | 2/2014 | Come | evation Re | part? ^{(*} | | |
| Accession File # | 1996 1 | Date Condition La | st Clasked 20 | | | | port ^{a r} | | |
| Statement of | This outu Thomas fi | | th the stlands Court | | koqulattin B CF | | purchased | | |
| Statement of | This entir Thomas fi House fro | Dumar National Trast s collection is associated wi mily, who rouided in the O in 1941 to 1977. It represent | th the stlands Court | Mode of A Prima | koqulattin B CF | n (Dones) | purchased | | ALC: N |
| Statement of Significance Maker | This entir Thomas fi House fro Old catale was includ | Dumar National Trast s collection is associated wi mily, who rouided in the O in 1941 to 1977. It represent | th the atlands Court to aspects of used in as collection is purchase of | Mode of A Prima Compa | orquiaitie S cr crative VCopyrag | n (Dones) | purchased s Criteria | | |
| Statement of Significance Maker Object History | This entir Thomse fro House fro Old catalo was includ the Outlin | Denner National Trust e collection is associated ei maily, who coiled in the O in 1941 to 1977 'It represent Prod goe #18 'yellow trikkee'. It det with the National Trust | th the atlands Court to aspects of used in ms collection 's purchase of was loaned | Mode of A Printa Compo Original Rostracto | loquisitis S vative VCopyrag | n (Dones) lignifican hi Holder | purchased s Criteria | 50 T | |















Conservation and Preservation

- Packaging and handling
 - · Archival materials for supports, enclosures and exhibition materials
 - Using appropriate handling including gloves when working with sensitive materials
- Environmental monitoring
 - Relative humidity and temperature
 - Light levels
- Pest management
 - Monitoring with sticky traps
 - Preventing access
- Collections audit
 - Condition and location check
- Collections storage
 - Appropriate storage is <u>key</u> to collection management, security and care

Exhibitions

- Artist in Residence Retrospective
- Supreme Court House
- Weeding Letters
- Decade by Decade
- Top 10 Finds from Six digs at Oatlands Gaol
 - Old Gaol
 - Gaoler's Privy
 - Javelin Men
 - Solitary Cells
 - Condemned Cells
 - Gallows Yard

Volunteers

- Margaret and Madlyn Smith
 - Objects conservator (Masters) and University of Tasmania cultural heritage and history student
- Caroline Heine
 - Experienced cataloguer, artist and archaeological site recorder
- Linda Clarke
 - Conservator and archivist

Questions?



What my friends think I do



ARCHIVIST

What my parents think I do



What society thinks I do



What my boss thinks I do



What I think I do



What I really do

• email: dbaldwin@southernmidlands.tas.gov.au

CONSERVATION PLANNING PROCESS

THE OATLANDS TOWN HALL AND ROCHE HALL

CONSTRAINTS, OPPORTUNITIES AND REQUIREMENTS WORKSHOP - OCTOBER 2020















- Military Parade Ground
- Extremely significant archaeological sites (first settlement, 1827 barracks, convict road and probation station)
- 1883 school building and community associations
- Story of the gaol arch





THE CONSERVATION PLANNING PROCESS

The Burra Charter Process, as endorsed by ICOMOS Australia





OATLANDS TOWN HALL

Constraints

- Heritage Listing
- Probable inability to extend
- Desire for continued use

Opportunities

- Underutilised upper floor
- Linkage to Roche Hall

Requirements

- Continued fit-for-purpose use as council chambers and town hall
- NCC compliance
 - Upper floor access (lift)
 - Side door access and parking
 - Equal access toilets
- Continual upgrades that consider heritage values


ROCHE HALL

Constraints

- Heritage Listing
- Archaeological sensitivity

Opportunities

- Central location
- Linkage to Town Hall
- Archaeology and interpretation of significant earlier sites

Requirements

- Some return to council as rental income
- NCC compliance
 - Equal access toilets
- Continual upgrades that consider heritage values





OATLANDS POOL DECOMMISSIONING AND GAOL SITE REHABILITATION

OVERVIEW FOR COUNCIL WORKSHOP - OCTOBER 2020



EXISTING PLANNING DOCUMENTS

- Historical Report and Archaeological Survey (2004)
- Remedial Works Report (2004)
- Conservation Management Plan (2006)
- Chlorine Impact Assessment (2007)
- Structural Assessment (2007)
- Master Plan (2010)
- Use and Development Plan (2010)
- Interpretation Plan (2011)
- Arch Relocation Plan (2012)
- Archaeological testing results (2006-2013)



REQUIRED PLANNING DOCUMENTS

- Review of the Archaeological Management Plan (to incorporate test excavation results and revised methodology).
- Review of the Interpretation Plan (to recognise the pool removal).
- Landscape Plan
- Preparation of Development Application Documentation.









6. COUNCILLORS – QUESTION TIME

6.1 QUESTIONS (ON NOTICE)

Regulation 30 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* relates to Questions on notice. It states:

- (1) A councillor, at least 7 days before an ordinary council meeting or a council committee meeting, may give written notice to the general manager of a question in respect of which the councillor seeks an answer at that meeting.
- (2) An answer to a question on notice must be in writing.

Nil.

6.2 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Section 29 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* relates to Questions without notice.

It states:

"29. Questions without notice

- (1) A councillor at a meeting may ask a question without notice -
- (a) of the chairperson; or
 (b) through the chairperson, of –
 (i) another councillor; or
 (ii) the general manager.

(2) In putting a question without notice at a meeting, a councillor must not -

(a) offer an argument or opinion; or

(b) draw any inferences or make any imputations – except so far as may be necessary to explain the question.

(3) The chairperson of a meeting must not permit any debate of a question without notice or its answer.

(4) The chairperson, councillor or general manager who is asked a question without notice at a meeting may decline to answer the question.

(5) The chairperson of a meeting may refuse to accept a question without notice if it does not relate to the activities of the council.

(6) Questions without notice, and any answers to those questions, are not required to be recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

(7) The chairperson of a meeting may require a councillor to put a question without notice in writing.

An opportunity is provided for Councillors to ask questions relating to Council business, previous Agenda items or issues of a general nature.

7. DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY INTEREST

In accordance with the requirements of Part 2 Regulation 8 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have, a pecuniary interest in any item on the Agenda.

Accordingly, Councillors are requested to advise of a pecuniary interest they may have in respect to any matter on the agenda, or any supplementary item to the agenda, which Council has resolved to deal with, in accordance with Part 2 Regulation 8 (6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

8. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA

In accordance with the requirements of Part 2 Regulation 8 (6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Council, by absolute majority may decide at an ordinary meeting to deal with a matter that is not on the agenda if the General Manager has reported –

- (a) the reason it was not possible to include the matter on the agenda; and
- (b) that the matter is urgent; and
- (c) that advice has been provided under section 65 of the Act.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the Council resolve by absolute majority to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the General Manager in accordance with the provisions of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.*

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| CIr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | |

9. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (SCHEDULED FOR 10.30 A.M.)

In accordance with the requirements of Part 2 Regulation 8 of the *Local Government* (*Meeting Procedures*) Regulations 2015, the agenda is to make provision for public question time.

In particular, Regulation 31 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations* 2015 states:

- (1) Members of the public may give written notice to the General Manager 7 days before an ordinary meeting of Council of a question to be asked at the meeting.
- (2) The chairperson may –
- (a) address questions on notice submitted by members of the public; and
- (b) invite any member of the public present at an ordinary meeting to ask questions relating to the activities of the Council.
- (3) The chairperson at an ordinary meeting of a council must ensure that, if required, at least 15 minutes of that meeting is made available for questions by members of the public.
- (4) A question by any member of the public under this regulation and an answer to that question are not to be debated.
- (5) The chairperson may –
- (a) refuse to accept a question; or
- (b) require a question to be put on notice and in writing to be answered at a later meeting.

(6) If the chairperson refuses to accept a question, the chairperson is to give reasons for doing so.

Councillors are advised that, at the time of issuing the Agenda, no questions on notice had been received from members of the public.

9.1 Permission to Address Council

Permission has been granted for the following person(s) to address Council:

Nil.

10. MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN UNDER REGULATION 16 (5) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (MEETING PROCEDURES) REGULATIONS 2015

Nil.

11. COUNCIL ACTING AS A PLANNING AUTHORITY PURSUANT TO THE LAND USE PLANNING AND APPROVALS ACT 1993 AND COUNCIL'S STATUTORY LAND USE PLANNING SCHEME

Session of Council sitting as a Planning Authority pursuant to the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 and Council's statutory land use planning schemes.

11.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

11.1.1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION (SA 2020/8) FOR A PROPOSED DWELLING AND SUBDIVISION AT 47 HIGH STREET OATLANDS (CT7817857/1), OWNED BY SCOTT AND JACQUELINE DARE

- File Ref: T 7817857
- Author: MANAGER DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES (DAVID CUNDALL)
- **Date:** 21 OCTOBER 2020

Enclosure(s):

Development Application documents Representation

PROPOSAL

The Applicant Tony Woolford and Associates on behalf of the landowners, Scott and Jacqueline Dare, has applied to the Southern Midlands Council for a Permit under the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* ("the Act") to subdivide their land at 47 high Street Oatlands and construct a dwelling on the proposed lot.

The property is known as the Oatlands Roadhouse and is listed on the Tasmanian Heritage Register.

The application has been lodged under the *Southern Midlands Interim Planning Scheme* 2015 ("the Planning Scheme").

The land and is zoned General Business and is within the Oatlands Heritage Precinct. The land is located on the south eastern corner of High Street and Wellington Street.

Under the Planning Scheme the proposal is defined as a subdivision and a dwelling.

A permit for this type of development is considered at the discretion of Council.

The Council gave notice of the application for public comment for 14 days. During the notification period one (1) representation was received.

This report will assess the proposal against the relevant provisions of the Act and the Scheme. It is recommended that Council grant the permit for the development with conditions.

THE SITE

Map 1 below shows the land zoning and location of the property.



Map 1_The subject land and surrounding properties are in the General Busness Zone (blue) The property is within the Oatlands Heritage Precinct (hashed area) and the subject land is marked with a black star.



Map 2 _ Aerial image of the subject land and surrounding area.

THE APPLICATION

The Applicant has submitted the attached Plans and Drawings to accompany the Development Application form.

There are two components to the application:

- 1) The subdivision of the land to create a 715m2 lot and a 615m2 balance lot (containing the existing roadhouse building). Associated works include a new access and services for the lot.
- 2) The construction of a weatherboard, 2 bedroom and garage dwelling. Associated works include the internal driveway and services.

Council Officers have referred the application to Heritage Tasmania, TasWater and conducted a site visit and discussed the application with the Applicant.

Council's Manager of Heritage Projects has also provided input and guidance into the development with assessment against the provisions of the Heritage Code.

USE/DEVELOPMENT DEFINITION

The proposed use and development is defined, under the Planning Scheme, as subdivision (with associated works) and a Dwelling (Single) with associated works and development.

There are a number of discretions requiring assessment against the Performance Criteria of the Planning Scheme. Also per Part 9.7 of the Scheme a subdivision is discretionary. The discretions are addressed in the body of this report.

The application was also referred to Heritage Tasmania per the requirements of the Historic Cultural Heritage Act 1995. Heritage Tasmania have provided a Notice of Heritage Decision and required only one condition. That is:

The proposed driveway must be constructed with an exposed aggregate finish. The colour of the aggregate must be of a mid to dark tone.

Reason for condition To ensure that the new work does not detract from the more significant elements of the site.

A standard condition of approval is to include the Heritage Tasmania condition in any permit issued.

As a discretionary development, the application was advertised in accordance with Section 57 of the Act. Accordingly Council has the discretion to grant a permit or refuse to grant a permit.

PUBLIC NOTIFICATION AND REPRESENTATIONS

The application was advertised on the 1st September 2020 for fourteen (14) days. During this period Council received one (1) representation, as detailed in the table below.

| Representation 1 | Council Officer Comment |
|---|--|
| To permit subdivision of the title, diminishes the cultural and aesthetic value of much of Oatlands charm, i.e. larger than the postage stamp blocks that are the | Both lots proposed comply with the Acceptable Solution for lot size in the General Business Zone. |
| norm in other Hobart outer suburbs, makes building or buying an existing home in Oatlands very favourable. Postage stamp size blocks add nothing to the strategic plan for Oatlands. | Council does not have discretion with regard to this matter. |
| The heritage listed commercial building on the title with an old stone barn adds to the Georgian ambience of the town, and aught not be expediently removed, to satisfy a whimsical moment in time. | The barn is not proposed to be removed or altererd. |
| To subdivide the block and approve a new weatherboard dwelling will impinge on my freedom to enjoy my own workshop, and residence, on its large commercial block at 43 High Street | The General Business Zone and Historic Precinct Area do not prohibit further development of land. |
| The set back of the proposed dwelling does not allow for any potential extensions to the dwelling, i.e. a verandas or porch addition. Should they be required extensions in the future, they will be almost overhanging the | The setbacks of the dwelling are 1.5m from the south eastern boundary, 1.687m from the north western boundary, 6.8m from the south western boundary and 21.8m from the frontage. |
| boundary fence to 43 High Street. | Both the representors land and the applicant's land are in the General Business Zone. |
| | Part 21.4.2 of the planning scheme allows a nil setback for the General Business Zone under the Acceptable Solution. |
| | Council does not have discretion with regard to this matter. Further works are also likely to require further planning aprpovals or as otherwise be exempt or no permit required under the planning scheme. It is not possible for the Planning Authority to give regard to works that have not yet happened or otherwise proposed. |
| Any change of use to 47 High Street, has implications for 43 High Street. Any future application on my part to extend, or build additional industrial complex on my land could be held to impinge on the domestic dwelling at 47 High Street. Additionally, I may be limited in scope or effect, for future business options on my commercial land eg noise, or traffic, or working hours. Such may be | The proposal is largely compliant with the development standards for the zone. The onus of managing environmental effects lies with the generator of these effects. The General Business Zone does not provide any "use standards" with which Council can condition a dwelling in the zone. |

| considered an unreasonable restriction in a | |
|--|---|
| commercial area. | |
| Drainage. The block at 47 High Street is already well below the level of 43 High Street .When there is inundation of runoff, or snow melt, as in this year, there is a significant amount of water that flows down to back of The Heritage at 47 High Street, which in turn is lower than adjoining titles, with the water flooding from the surrounding higher titles, then seeping across 43 High Street, to lower levels at 47 High Street and Wellington Street. To subdivide this heritage block, is to open | Ordinary natural ground and surface run-off are not a matter for Council. However a new impervious surfaces will need to be drained to a legal discharge point. A standard condition of approval is included on the recommended permit to this effect. Use and development is managed primarily |
| Pandora's box to more calls that will only diminish the cultural value of Oatlands, and put into question commercial investment in the town. | through a planning scheme. The planning scheme allows for a range of uses and development and does not explicitly prohibit development. |
| 47 High Street is historically significant to the history and cultural value of Oatlands, by way of its two sandstone buildings on the current title. The name. The Heritage Roadhouse, acknowledges such historical connection. The buildings are in the commercial precinct. In the absence of any notification to the effect that the commercial precinct has / will be altered by this application, it is reasonable to assume that The Heritage Roadhouse is, and will remain commercial, and not residential. [reference to representors name and business removed] Visitors to the town appreciate it's many sandstone and heritage buildings. Whatever their condition, which is why so many have been resurrected on the High Street to provide that attraction and commercial opportunity. | No further comment. |
| The company strongly disagrees with any diminishing of the cultural values attached to Oatlands, and requests Council to disallow this subdivision. | |

ASSESSMENT - THE SOUTHERN MIDLANDS INTERIM PLANNING SCHEME

Development Standards

21.4.1 Building Height

To ensure that building height contributes positively to the streetscape and does not result in unreasonable impact on residential amenity of land in a residential zone.

| Acceptable Solutions | Performance Criteria | OFFICER COMMENT |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Al | P1 | The building is less than 9m high |
| | | and therefore complies with the |
| Building height must be no | Building height must satisfy | Acceptable Solution. |
| more than: | all of the following: | |
| | | |
| 9 m. | (a) be consistent with | |
| | any Desired Future | |
| | Character Statements | |
| | provided for the area; | |
| | (b) be compatible with | |
| | the scale of nearby buildings; | |
| | (c) not unreasonably | |
| | overshadow adjacent public | |
| | space; | |
| | (d) allow for a transition | |
| | in height between adjoining | |
| | buildings, where | |
| | appropriate; | |
| A2 | P2 | The building is less than 8.5m |
| | | high and therefore complies with |
| Building height within 10 m | Building height within 10 m | the Acceptable Solution. |
| of a residential zone must be no more than 8.5 m. | of a residential zone must be compatible with the | |
| | building height of existing | |
| | buildings on adjoining lots in | |
| | the residential zone. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

21.4.2 Setback

To ensure that building setback contributes positively to the streetscape and does not result in unreasonable impact on residential amenity of land in a residential zone.

| | lential amenity of land in a resi | |
|--|---|--|
| Acceptable Solutions | Performance Criteria | OFFICER COMMENT |
| A1 Building setback from frontage must be parallel to | P1 Building setback from frontage must satisfy all of | The building is 21.8m from the frontage and is located behind the heritage listed sandstone barn. |
| the frontage and must be no more than: nil m, if fronting High Street, | the following: (a) be consistent with any Desired Future Character Statements | It would not be feasible to build a dwelling between the barn and the frontage. |
| 3 m, if fronting any other street. | provided for the area; (b) be compatible with the setback of adjoining buildings, generally maintaining a continuous | Compatibility with street and the surrounding area is allowed through design of the building and through slight obscurity behind the barn. |
| | maintaining a continuous building line if evident in the streetscape; (c) enhance the | The proposal complies with the performance criteria. |
| | characteristics of the site, adjoining lots and the streetscape; | |
| | (d) provide for small variations in building alignment only where appropriate to break up long building facades, provided that no potential concealment or entrapment opportunity is created; | |
| | (e) provide for large variations in building alignment only where appropriate to provide for a forecourt for space for public use, such as outdoor dining or landscaping, provided the that no potential | |
| | concealment or entrapment opportunity is created and the forecourt is afforded very good passive surveillance. | |

| A2 | P2 | The setbacks of the dwelling |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | are 1.5m from the south |
| Building setback from a | Building setback from a | eastern boundary, 1.687m from |
| residential zone must be no | residential zone must be | the north western boundary, |
| less than: | sufficient to prevent | 6.8m from the south western |
| | unreasonable adverse | boundary and 21.8m from the |
| (a) 5 m; | impacts on residential amenity by: | frontage. |
| (b) half the height of the | | As the building is 1.5m from the |
| wall, | (a) overshadowing and | south eastern boundary the |
| | reduction of sunlight to | development must be assessed |
| whichever is the greater. | habitable rooms and private | against the performance criteria |
| | open space on adjoining lots to less than 3 hours | as follows: |
| | between 9.00 am and 5.00 | a) The proposed building is |
| | pm on June 21 or further | located in the west/south |
| | decrease sunlight hours if | west of the neighbouring |
| | already less than 3 hours; | dwelling. The neighbour will |
| | | still receive sunlight from the |
| | (b) overlooking and loss | north/north westerly aspect. |
| | of privacy; | b) The dwellings are offset |
| | (a) visual impact when | from one another and will |
| | (c) visual impact when viewed from adjoining lots, | not allow for direct viewing between windows or |
| | | outdoor areas. The land is |
| | taking into account aspect | also relatively flat and the |
| | and slope. | proposed building is single |
| | | story only. |
| | | c) There are many houses and |
| | | business that surround this |
| | | lot. The dwelling does not |
| | | dominate the streetscape or immediate area. |
| | | แกกษณลเย ลเยล. |

21.4.3 Design To ensure that building design contributes positively to the streetscape, the amenity and safety of the public and adjoining land in a residential zone.

| Acceptable Solutions | Performance Criteria | OFFICER COMMENT |
|--|---|---|
| A1 | P1 | The standard is written for a |
| Building design must comply with all of the following: | Building design must enhance the streetscape by satisfying all of the following: | business fronting a main shopping street and is not applicable. |
| (a) provide the main pedestrian entrance to the building so that it is clearly visible from the road or publicly accessible areas on the site; | • | |
| (b) for new building or alterations to an existing facade provide windows and door openings at ground floor level in the front façade no less than 40% of the | (b) provide windows in the front façade in a way that enhances the streetscape and provides for passive | |

| surface area of the ground floor level façade; | surveillance of public spaces; | |
|--|---|--|
| (c) for new building or alterations to an existing facade ensure any single expanse of blank wall in the ground level front façade and facades facing other public spaces is not greater than 30% of the length of the facade; | (c) treat large expanses of blank wall in the front façade and facing other public space boundaries with architectural detail or public art so as to contribute positively to the streetscape and public space; | |
| (d) screen mechanical plant and miscellaneous equipment such as heat pumps, air conditioning units, switchboards, hot water units or similar from view from the street and other public spaces; (e) incorporate roof-top service infrastructure, including service plants and lift structures, within the design of the roof; (f) provide awnings over the public footpath if existing on the site or on adjoining lots; (g) not include security shutters over windows or doors with a frontage to a street or public place. | (d) ensure the visual impact of mechanical plant and miscellaneous equipment, such as heat pumps, air conditioning units, switchboards, hot water units or similar, is insignificant when viewed from the street; (e) ensure roof-top service infrastructure, including service plants and lift structures, is screened so as to have insignificant visual impact; (f) not provide awnings over the public footpath only if there is no benefit to the streetscape or pedestrian amenity or if not possible due to physical constraints; (g) only provide shutters | |
| | where essential for the security of the premises and other alternatives for ensuring security are not feasible; | |
| | (h) be consistent with any Desired Future Character Statements provided for the area. | |

| A2 | P2 | The recommended permit is conditioned to require building |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| Walls of a building facing a residential zone must be coloured using colours with a light reflectance value not greater than 40 percent. | No Performance Criteria. | materials and finishes to comply with the acceptable solution. |

| 21.4.4 Passive Surveillance | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| To ensure that building desig | n provides for the |
| Acceptable Solutions | Performance Cr |
| A 4 | D4 |

| 21.4.4 Passive Surveillance | To ensure that building design provides for the safety of the public. | |
|---|--|--|
| Acceptable Solutions | Performance Criteria | OFFICER COMMENT |
| A1 | P1 | |
| Building design must comply with all of the following: (a) provide the main | Building design must provide for passive surveillance of public spaces by satisfying all of the following: | The standard is written for a business fronting a main shopping street and is not applicable. |
| pedestrian entrance to the building so that it is clearly visible from the road or publicly accessible areas on the site; | (a) provide the main entrance or entrances to a building so that they are clearly visible from nearby buildings and public spaces; | |
| (b) for new buildings or alterations to an existing facade provide windows and door openings at ground floor level in the front façade which amount to no less than 40 % of the surface | (b) locate windows to adequately overlook the street and adjoining public spaces; | |
| area of the ground floor level facade; (c) for new buildings or alterations to an existing facade provide windows and door openings at ground floor level in the façade of | (c) incorporate shop front windows and doors for ground floor shops and offices, so that pedestrians can see into the building and vice versa; | |
| any wall which faces a public space or a car park which amount to no less than 30 % of the surface area of the ground floor level facade; | (d) locate external lighting to illuminate any entrapment spaces around the building site; (e) | |
| (d) avoid creating entrapment spaces around the building site, such as | provide external lighting to illuminate car parking areas and pathways; | |

| public spaces; (e) provide external | (f) design and locate public access to provide high visibility for users and provide clear sight lines | |
|--|--|--|
| parking areas and pathways; | between the entrance and adjacent properties and public spaces; | |
| access at the ground floor level from any external car park. | (g) provide for sight lines to other buildings and public spaces. | |

21.4.5 Landscaping

To ensure that a safe and attractive landscaping treatment enhances the appearance of the site and if relevant provides a visual break from land in a residential zone.

| Acceptable Solutions | Performance Criteria | OFFICER COMMENT |
|---|--|--|
| A1 | P1 | The standard is written for a business fronting a main |
| Landscaping must be provided for sites for non- residential use along the frontage for at least 50% of | Landscaping must be provided to satisfy all of the following: | shopping street and is not applicable. |
| the frontage width, except if front setback is less than 1 m in which case no landscaping is necessary. | (a) enhance the appearance of the development; | |
| | (b) provide a range of plant height and forms to create diversity, interest and amenity; | |
| | (c) not create concealed entrapment spaces; | |
| | (d) be consistent with any Desired Future Character Statements provided for the area. | |
| A2 Along a boundary with a residential zone landscaping must be provided for a depth no less than: 2 m. | P2 Along a boundary with a residential zone landscaping or a building design solution must be provided to avoid unreasonable adverse impact on the visual amenity of adjoining land in a residential zone, having regard to the characteristics of the site and the characteristics of the | The standard is written for a business fronting a main shopping street and is not applicable. |

| adjoining zones land. | residentially- | |
|--------------------------|----------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

21.4.6 Outdoor Storage Area

To ensure that outdoor storage areas for non-residential use do not detract from the appearance of the site or the locality.

| Acceptable Solutions | Performance Criteria | OFFICER COMMENT |
|---|---|---|
| A1 | P1 | |
| Outdoor storage areas for non-residential uses must comply with all of the following: | non-residential uses must | The standard is written for a business fronting a main shopping street and is not applicable. |
| (a) be located behind the building line; (b) all goods and materials stored must be screened from public view; (c) not encroach upon car parking areas, driveways or landscaped areas | of the locality; (b) not encroach upon car parking areas, | |

21.4.7 Fencing

To ensure that fencing does not detract from the appearance of the site or the locality and provides for passive surveillance.

| Acceptable Solutions | Performance Criteria | OFFICER COMMENT |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| A1 | P1 | |
| | | Not Applicable. |
| Fencing must comply with | Fencing must contribute | |
| all of the following: | positively to the streetscape | |
| | and not have an | |
| (a) fences, walls and | unreasonable adverse | |
| gates of greater height than | impact upon the amenity of | |
| 1.5 m must not be erected | land in a residential zone | |
| within 4.5 m of the frontage; | which lies opposite or | |
| | shares a common boundary | |
| (b) fences along a | , 5 5 | |
| frontage must be at least | all of the following: | |
| 50% transparent above a | | |
| height of 1.2 m; | (a) the height of the | |
| | fence; | |
| (c) height of fences | | |
| along a common boundary | | |

| with land in a residential zone must be no more than 2.1 m and must not contain | (b) the degree of transparency of the fence; |
|---|---|
| barbed wire. | (c) the location and extent of the fence; |
| | (d) the design of the fence; |
| | (e) the fence materials and construction; |
| | (f) the nature of the use; |
| | (g) the characteristics of the site, the streetscape and the locality, including fences; |
| | (h) any Desired Future Character Statements provided for the area. |

21.5.1 Subdivision

To provide for lots with appropriate area, dimensions, services, roads and access to public open space to accommodate development consistent with the Zone Purpose and any relevant Local Area Objectives or Desired Future Character Statements.

| Acceptable Solutions | Performance Criteria | OFFICER COMMENT |
|--|--|---|
| A1 | P1 | Each proposed lot is over 300m2. |
| The size of each lot must be no less than: | The size of each lot must be sufficient to accommodate development consistent with the Zone Purpose, having | The proposal complies with the Acceptable Solution. |
| 300 m2. | regard to any Local Area Objectives or Desired | |
| except if for public open space, a riparian reserve or utilities | Future Character Statements. | |

| A2 The design of each lot must provide a minimum building area that is rectangular in shape and complies with all of the following; (a) clear of the frontage, side and rear boundary setbacks; (b) clear of easements; (c) clear of title restrictions that would limit or restrict the development of a commercial building; (d) has an average slope of no more than 1 in 5; (e) is a minimum of 10 m x 15 m in size. | P2 The design of each lot must contain a building area able to satisfy all of the following: (a) be reasonably capable of accommodating use and development consistent with Zone Purpose, having regard to any Local Area Objectives or Desired Future Character Statements; (b) provides for sufficient useable area on the lot for on-site parking and maneuvering, unless adequate arrangements are made for suitable alternative solutions to future likely demand generated by the development potential of the lot; (c) minimises the need for earth works, retaining walls, and cut & fill associated with future | The proposal does not comply with the acceptable solution as the 10mx15m building area is not within the side setbacks. The applicant has demonstrated a building can be built on the land as the application is a combined dwelling and subdivision application. The land is over 700m2 in size which is considered to be a "large" residential lot. |
|---|--|--|
| A3 The frontage for each lot must be no less than: 15 m. | P3 The frontage of each lot must be sufficient to accommodate development consistent with the Zone Purpose, having regard to any Local Area Objectives or Desired Future Character Statements. | The frontage of lot 1 is 17m and the frontage of the balance is 27.7m. Therefore complies with the acceptable solution |

| A4 | P4 | There are not new roads |
|---|---|---|
| No Acceptable Solution. | The arrangement of roads within a subdivision must satisfy all of the following: | proposed. The standard is not applicable. |
| | (a) the subdivision will not compromise appropriate and reasonable future subdivision of the entirety of the parent lot; | |
| | (b) accords with any relevant road network plan adopted by the Planning Authority; | |
| | (c) facilitates the subdivision of neighbouring land with subdivision potential through the provision of connector roads, where appropriate, to the common boundary; | |
| | (d) provides for acceptable levels of access, safety, convenience and legibility through a consistent road function hierarchy. | |
| A5 | P5 | These are provide and comply with the Acceptable Solution. |
| Each lot must be connected to services adequate to support the likely future use and development of the land. | No Performance Criteria. | with the Acceptable Solution. |

| A6 | P6 | Public Open Space contributions are not required under Council's |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| No Acceptable Solution. | Public Open Space must be provided as land or cash in lieu, in accordance with the relevant Council policy. | Public Open Space Policy. |

Parking and Access Code

The Parking and Access Code applies to all development. A single dwelling containing 2 or more bedrooms requires at least 2 parking spaces to be provided onsite.

A new access onto Wellington Street and internal driveway is proposed and conditions to this effect are included on the recommended permit.

This complies with the requirements of the Code.

Stormwater Management Code

The stormwater code applies to all development requiring the management of stormwater. Stormwater from the development will be able to be disposed of to the public stormwater system, complying with the standards of the code.

Historic Heritage Code and Heritage Assessment

The application was referred (internally) to Council's Manager Heritage Projects and the following assessment is provided.

HERITAGE LISTINGS:

| Tasmanian Heritage Register | \times |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| SMIPS – Heritage Place | \times |
| SMIPS – Heritage Precinct | \mathbf{X} |
| SMIPS – Cultural Landscape Precinct | |

| Table E13.1 Ref. | Name/location/address | С/Т | General description |
|------------------------|--|---------|--------------------------------------|
| 313 | Oatlands Roadhouse Conjoined Cottage and Outbuildings 47 High Street Oatlands | 53000/1 | Complex of buildings and structures. |

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PLACE & HISTORICAL CONTEXT

The Oatlands Roadhouse is a conjoined shop and cottage, dating from the 1850s. Calder's 1846 map of Oatlands shows this site as having a timber and stone building near the corner which does not bear resemblance to any current building on the site.

The building appears to have always been a commercial complex, including two shops and a small residential space. It is unclear what the relationship of the barn at the rear is to the complex but was probably sued to support either/both shops and/or the residence.

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

47 High Street is significant as a mid-c19th commercial/residential building complex and has strong community associations as always having that commercial purpose. The barn at rear also dates from the mid-late c19th and is significant as an early outbuilding associated with that commercial/residential use.

PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION

This application has included a substantial amount of pre-application consultation. An initial design was submitted to Council's Manager Heritage Projects for comment in March 2020 as the basis for a pre-application discussion. There were a number of points in that initial submission which were considered unlikely to meet the scheme requirements, namely roof pitch, fenestration and expanse of roof form. These issues have been largely addressed in the redesign pursuant to the request for further information, however conditions of approval may still be required to achieve an acceptable outcome.

SCOPE OF APPLICATION

This application involves the construction of a freestanding new dwelling at the rear of the site, behind the roadhouse, cottage and barn.

REPRESENTATIONS

During the statutory advertising period, one representation was received. The tenor of which is provided below with commentary as to the merit of the representation.

| Tenor of representation | Discussion and merit of representation |
|---|---|
| To permit subdivision of the title, diminishes the cultural and aesthetic value of much of Oatlands charm, i.e. larger than the postage stamp blocks that are the norm in other Hobart outer suburbs, makes building or buying an existing home in Oatlands very favourable. Postage stamp size blocks add nothing to the strategic plan for Oatlands. | It is agreed that subdivision can potentially diminish townscape heritage values, however each case needs to be taken on its own merit. The current proposal is considered to retain a sufficient backyard space for the continued commercial operation of the commercial heritage building. The construction of a dwelling on the rear portion, with the historic barn in the front yard is likely to provide a stimulus for the future use/restoration of the barn which may be a heritage site in its own right. |
| The heritage listed commercial building on the title with an old stone barn adds to the Georgian | The proposal retains the barn. |

| ambience of the town, and aught not be | |
|---|--|
| expediently removed, to satisfy a whimsical | |
| moment in time. | |
| To subdivide the block and approve a new | Not a heritage consideration. Pease refer |
| weatherboard dwelling will impinge on the | to the planning report. |
| freedom of neighbours | |
| The set back of the proposed dwelling does not | The proposal has been assessed on its |
| allow for any potential extensions to the dwelling, | merits as proposed. The planning |
| i.e. a verandas or porch addition. Should they be | authority cannot consider the possibility of |
| required extensions in the future, they will be | 'future extensions'. |
| almost overhanging the boundary fence. | |
| Any change of use to 47 High Street, has | Not a heritage consideration. |
| implications for neighbouring properties scope or | 3 |
| effect, for future business options. Such may be | |
| considered an unreasonable restriction in a | |
| commercial area. | |
| [Drainage issues] | Not a heritage consideration. |
| To subdivide this heritage block, is to open | Any application for subdivision is taken on |
| Pandora's box to more calls that will only | merit and the current case need not |
| diminish the cultural value of Oatlands, and put | necessarily set a precedent. |
| into question commercial investment in the town. | <i>, , ,</i> |
| 47 High Street is historically significant to the | The heritage buildings will remain as the |
| history and cultural value of Oatlands, by way of | dominant elements on each subdivided |
| its two sandstone buildings on the current title. | title. |
| The name. The Heritage Roadhouse, | |
| acknowledges such historical connection. | |
| The buildings are in the commercial precinct. In | Not a heritage consideration. |
| the absence of any notification to the effect that | |
| the commercial precinct | |
| has / will be altered by this application, it is | |
| reasonable to assume that The Heritage | |
| Roadhouse is, and will remain commercial, and | |
| not residential. | |
| | 1 |

ASSESSMENT OF POSSIBLE HERITAGE IMPACT ARISING FROM THE DEVELOPMENT

Impact upon built heritage fabric

The proposal, as a freestanding building, has no impact upon any heritage fabric.

Impact upon the setting of the heritage place

The proposed building is set behind the two heritage buildings on the property, which will retain their dominance of the High and Wellington Street frontages of the site. It is concluded that the proposed dwelling will have no impact upon the significance of the setting of the place.

Impact upon landscape elements

. .

.

No significant landscape elements will be impacted in the proposed development.

ASSESSMENT AGAINST APPLICABLE SMIPS HERITAGE STANDARDS

| Proposal Involves: | |
|--|------------------------|
| Demolition | 🗆 (E.13.7.1, E.13.8.1) |
| Building and Works Other than Demolition | ⊠ (E.13.7.2, E.13.8.2) |
| Subdivision | 🖾 (E.13.7.3, E.13.8.3) |

The objectives of **E13.7.2** of the Historic Heritage Code apply and are aimed at ensuring that development at a heritage place is:

- (a) undertaken in a sympathetic manner which does not cause loss of historic cultural heritage significance; and
- (b) designed to be subservient to the historic cultural heritage values of the place and responsive to its dominant characteristics.

In achieving the objectives, the following Performance Criteria must be satisfied:

| E.13.7.2 – Building and Works other than Demolition (note that there are no Acceptable Solutions for this Clause – excluding fencing which is not applicable in the current case). | |
|--|--|
| Performance Criteria | Comments |
| P1 Development must not result in any of the following: | a) The proposed development is considered |
| (a) loss of historic cultural heritage significance to the place through incompatible design, including in height, scale, bulk, form, fenestration, siting, materials, colours and finishes; | to be an acceptable response to the desire for a residential dwelling on the site. The fenestration of the building is not considered to be wholly appropriate to the setting, therefore conditions of any approval should seek to provide a more appropriate style of windows. |
| (b) substantial diminution of the historic cultural heritage significance of the place | b) The proposal does not result in damage or loss of any significant landscape elements. |
| through loss of significant streetscape elements including plants, trees, fences, walls, paths, outbuildings and other items that contribute to the significance of the place. | It is concluded that the proposal adequately meets this Performance Criterion. |
| | |
| P2 Development must be designed to be subservient and complementary to the place through characteristics including: (a) scale and bulk, materials, built form and fenestration; (b) setback from frontage; (c) siting with respect to buildings, structures and listed elements; (d) using less dominant materials and | The proposed development is subservient to both the shop and the stables largely due to its position at the very rear corner of the block. Being of timber construction promotes this subservience and the different (yet still traditional) materials palette will allow the building to be read as a more recent addition. The structure will be discrete from public vantage points therefore will not have any appreciable impact upon the setting of the place. |
| colours. P3 Materials, built form and fenestration must respond to the dominant heritage characteristics of the place, but any new fabric should be readily identifiable as such. | The fenestration of the building is not considered to be wholly appropriate to the setting, therefore conditions of any approval should seek to provide a more appropriate style of windows |

| P4 Extensions to existing buildings must not detract from the historic cultural heritage significance of the place. | It is concluded that the proposal adequately meets these Performance Criteria through conditions of any approval. |
|---|---|
| P5 New front fences and gates must be sympathetic in design, (including height, form, scale and materials), to the style, period and characteristics of the building to which they belong. E.13.7.3 – Subdivision (note that there are n | No front fencing is proposed. This performance Criterion is not applicable. o Acceptable Solutions for this Clause) |
| ` | . , |
| Performance Criteria | Comments |
| P1 A proposed plan of subdivision must show that historic cultural heritage significance is adequately protected by complying with all of the following: (a) ensuring that sufficient curtilage and contributory heritage items (such as outbuildings or significant plantings) are retained as part of any title containing heritage values; (b) ensuring a sympathetic pattern of subdivision; (c) providing a lot size, pattern and configuration with building areas or other development controls that will prevent unsympathetic development on lots adjoining any titles containing heritage values, if required. | a) It is considered that the proposed subdivision will include one major heritage feature on each lot and that these features do not necessarily need to remain on the same title in order for their heritage values to endure. In fact the subdivision of the barn may provide stimulus for its eventual restoration. b) The existing title form is not considered significant (i.e. it does not represent the early/original subdivision of Oatlands) and further subdivision is not considered to greatly diminish the pattern of townscape development. c) The proposed development <i>probably</i> represents the extent of major permissible development on the site and is not considered to be unsympathetic to the heritage values of the place. |

Overall, it is considered that the proposal adequately meets the objectives of Clause E.13.7

The objectives of **E13.8.2** of the Historic Heritage Code apply and are aimed at ensuring that development at a heritage place is sympathetic to the character of the precinct.

In achieving the objectives, the following Performance Criteria must be satisfied:

| E.13.8.2 – Building and Works other than Demolition (note that there are no Acceptable Solutions for this Clause – excluding fencing which is not applicable in the current | |
|--|--|
| case). | |
| Performance Criteria P1. Design and siting of buildings and works must not result in detriment to the historic cultural heritage significance of the precinct, as listed in Table E13.2. | CommentsAs per the discussion in the table below against each of the statements of significance for the Oatlands Township Precinct, the proposal will not result in any adverse heritage impact upon that significance.It is concluded that the proposal adequately meets this Performance Criterion. |
| P2. Design and siting of buildings and works must comply with any relevant design criteria/conservation policy listed in Table E13.2, except if a heritage place of an architectural style different from that characterising the precinct. | As per the discussion in the table below against each of the design criteria for the Oatlands Township Precinct, the proposal generally complies with all of those criteria. It is concluded that the proposal adequately meets this Performance Criterion. |
| P3. Extensions to existing buildings must not detract from the historic cultural heritage significance of the precinct. | As per the discussion in the table below against each of the statements of significance for the Oatlands Township Precinct, the proposal will not result in any adverse heritage impact upon that significance. It is concluded that the proposal adequately meets this Performance Criterion. |
| P4. New front fences and gates must be sympathetic in design, (including height, form, scale and materials), and setback to the style, period and characteristics of the precinct. | As no new front fences or gates are proposed, this Performance Criterion is not applicable. |
| E.13.8.3 – Subdivision (note that there are no Acceptable Solutions for this Clause) | |
| Performance Criteria | Comments |
| P1 Subdivision must not result in any of the following: (a) detriment to the historic cultural heritage significance of the precinct, as listed in Table E13.2; (b) a pattern of subdivision unsympathetic to the historic cultural heritage significance of the precinct; | As per the assessment against E.13.7.2 and E.13.7.3, and against the design criteria/conservation policy of the precinct, the proposed development is not considered to be detrimental to such and is therefore concluded that the proposal adequately meets these Performance Criteria . |

| (c) potential for a confused understanding |
|---|
| of the development of the precinct; |
| (d) an increased likelihood of future |
| development that is incompatible with |
| the historic cultural heritage |
| significance of the precinct |
| |
| P2 Subdivision must comply with any relevant |
| design criteria / conservation policy listed in |
| Table E13.2. |
| |

The following table lists the Statement of Significance for the Oatlands Heritage Precinct, for the assessment of P1 above:

| Performance Criteria | Comments |
|--|---|
| The Oatlands Township Precinct is of historic c | ultural heritage significance because: |
| (a) it demonstrates a township comprising a concentration of highly intact historic buildings of the Old Colonial Georgian and Victorian Georgian styles; (b) the density of historic buildings of similar architectural styles and periods in Oatlands contributes to a highly intact streetscape character; (c) it demonstrates the evolution and settlement patterns of Tasmania in the early-mid nineteenth century, as a township transport routes joining the north and south of the State, and as an intended central capital associated with the pastoral activity of the Midlands area; | The proposed dwelling is considered to be an appropriate design response and is situated in a discrete location at the very rear of the block with two heritage buildings fronting the street in front of the building which will retain the character of the heritage precinct. |
| (d) its predominant building material of sandstone, as a source of local materials, and reflecting the differing economies of labour and construction at the time; | The proposed timber cladding will allow the structure to be read as a subservient addition to the site and retain the more rugged sandstone buildings as the dominant site elements. |
| (e) it demonstrates the theme of convictism, through the use of sandstone, links to transport, and the many buildings in the township associated with convicts; | The proposal will not impact any part of the precinct considered to represent these key historic themes. |
| (f) it has the largest number of sandstone buildings within a township setting in Australia; | As per (d). |

The following table lists the Design Criteria/Conservation Policy for the Oatlands Heritage Precinct, for the assessment of P2 above:

| Design Criteria/Conservation Policy | Comments |
|---|--|
| Design Criteria/Conservation Policy The design and siting of buildings and works ma | |
| (a) scale, roof pitch, building height, form, bulk, rhythm, materials and colour of new buildings and additions to existing buildings | Overall, the building represents Georgian design principles in its simplicity of design and appropriate roof pitch. The fenestration |
| should respect the principles of the Georgian architectural style dominant in the precinct, | of the building is not considered to be wholly appropriate to Georgian design principles, |
| except if an addition to a heritage listed building of a non-dominant architectural style in which case consistency with that style is required; | therefore conditions of any approval should seek to provide a more appropriate style of windows The proposal complies with this conservation policy. |
| (b) building setback from frontage must provide a strong edge to Main Street and be parallel to the street; | The building has been sited to retain the dominant presence of the existing High Street and Wellington Street heritage buildings. The conservation policy is not applicable. |
| (c) buildings must address the street, unless at the rear of a site; | The building is the rear of the site. The conservation policy is not applicable. |
| (d) buildings must not visually dominate the streetscape or buildings at places listed in Table.13.1 | The proposed structure will be subservient to the existing structures when viewed from the street. The proposal complies with this conservation policy. |
| (e) architectural details and openings for windows and doors to visually prominent facades must respect the Georgian architectural style dominant in the precinct in terms of style, size, proportion and position; | The fenestration of the building is not considered to be wholly appropriate to the setting, therefore conditions of any approval should seek to provide a more appropriate style of windows. With conditions, the proposal can comply with this conservation policy. |
| (f) external wall building material must be any of the following: | The proposed dwelling will have a traditional profile of weatherboard cladding on the walls. |
| i. sandstone of a colour matching that commonly found in Oatlands' buildings | The proposal complies with this conservation policy. |
| ii. weatherboard (traditional profiles); | |
| iii. rendered, painted or lime wash brickwork: | |
| iv. unpainted brick of a traditional form and colour laid with a traditional bond; | |
| v. traditional Tasmanian vertical board (non-residential buildings only); | |
| vi. corrugated profile steel cladding, painted/ colorbond or galvanised iron (not 'zincalume' or similar) | |
| (outbuildings only); | |
| (g) roof form and material must be consistent with the following: pitch between 30 and 40 degrees and hipped or gable if a major part of the building; pitch less than 30 degrees and skillion if a minor part of the building at the rear; avoidance of large unbroken expanses of roof and very long roof lines roof material either custom orb (corrugated profile) sheeting, timber shingles, and slate. Steel sheeting must be either traditional galvanised iron or painted; guttering is rounded profile, with downpipes of circular cross-section: | i. The proposed roof pitch is 30 degrees. ii. Not applicable. iii. The expanse of roofline is not considered inappropriate nor excessive. iv. The roof is proposed to be corrugated galvanised iron consistent with this criterion/policy. v. The guttering is proposed to be half-round with round downpipes consistent with this criterion/policy. The proposal complies with this conservation policy. |
|---|--|
| (h) wall height sufficient to provide for lintels above doors and windows, with wall space above; (i) outbuildings generally to have a gabled, corrugated roof with an angle of pitch matching that of the primary building on the land, and with differentiated colouring of the exterior walls and roof so as to also approximate that of the primary building on | Wall space above lintels is proposed. The proposal complies with this conservation policy. Not applicable. The proposal complies with this conservation policy given that the policy calls for 'generally' matching roof forms – some discretion is considered |
| the land; | appropriate in this instance. |
| (j) fences along frontages must be: i. between 900mm and 1000mm high, with a maximum of 1200mm for posts; ii. vertically articulated, (such as with dowel-and-rail, picket or palisade | Not applicable – no front fencing proposed. |
| fences). iii. (iii) "semi-transparent" in appearance, that is, the distance between dowels or pickets, etc., must be such that the fence does not appear 'solid'. | |

Recommendation

It is concluded that the proposed development, with conditions, adequately meets the Performance Criteria of the applicable Clauses E.13.7.2 and E.13.8.2 of the Southern Midlands Interim Planning Scheme 2015, therefore should not be refused on heritage grounds.

Conditions

 That the windows on the eastern elevation of the building are designed to be of more traditional dimensions and configuration, representative of the Georgian architectural form. Specifications to achieve the requirement of this condition are to be submitted to the satisfaction of Council's Senior Planning Officer prior to the issue of any building permit.

<u>Reason for condition</u>: To ensure that the most prominent elevation of the building includes a more traditional fenestrative pattern consistent with the heritage precinct.

Advice

None.

CONCLUSION

The report has assessed a Development Application for proposed dwelling and the subdivision of the land at 47 High Street, Oatlands known as the Oatlands Roadhouse.

One (1) representation was made to Council with concerns regarding heritage values of the town the higher density of development in the area and potential land use conflict between the proposed residential use and a commercial use in the area. The proposal was assessed and found to be compliant with the applicable standards of the Scheme.

It is recommended that the Application be approved and a Permit issued with conditions and advice.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT, in accordance with the provisions of the Southern Midlands Interim Planning Scheme 2015 and section 57 of the Land Use Planning & Approvals Act 1993, Council APPROVE the Development Application (SA 2020/8) for a proposed Dwelling and Subdivision at 47 High Street Oatlands (CT7817857/1), owned by Scott and Jacqueline Dare and that a permit be issued with the following conditions:

CONDITIONS

General

1) The use or development must be carried out substantially in accordance with the application for planning approval, the endorsed drawings and with the conditions of this permit and must not be altered or extended without the further written approval of Council.

2) This permit shall not take effect and must not be acted on until 15 days after the date of receipt of this letter or the date of the last letter to any representor, which ever is later, in accordance with section 53 of the land Use Planning And Approvals Act 1993.

Dwelling Design

- 3) All external metal building surfaces must be clad in non-reflective pre-coated metal sheeting or painted to the satisfaction of the Council's Manager of Development and Environmental Services.
- 4) That the windows on the eastern elevation of the building are designed to be of more traditional dimensions and configuration, representative of the Georgian architectural form. Specifications to achieve the requirement of this condition are to be submitted to the satisfaction of Council's Manager Development and Environmental Services prior to or in conjunction with the application for Building Approvals under the *Building Act 2016*.

<u>Reason for condition:</u> To ensure that the most prominent elevation of the building includes a more traditional fenestrative pattern consistent with the heritage precinct.

Heritage Tasmania

5) Compliance with any conditions or requirements of the Tasmanian Heritage Council in the attached 'Notice of Heritage Decision' No. 6275 dated 22nd September 2020.

Services

6) The developer must pay the cost of any alterations and/or reinstatement to existing services, Council infrastructure or private property incurred as a result of the development. Any work required is to be specified or undertaken by the authority concerned.

Stormwater

7) A connection and drainage from the proposed development must drain to a legal discharge point to the satisfaction of Councils Manager Development & Environmental Services.

Easements

8) Easements must be created over all drains, pipelines, wayleaves and services in accordance with the requirements of the Council's Municipal Engineer. The cost of locating and creating the easements shall be at the subdivider's full cost.

TasWater

9) Pursuant to the Water and Sewerage Industry Act 2008 (TAS) Section 56P (2)
 (b) TasWater impose conditions on the permit as per Form PL05P (attached).

Access

10) The vehicle access from the carriageway of the road onto the subject land must be located and constructed using an uncoloured reinforced concrete pavement in accordance with the construction and sight distance standards shown on standard drawings TSDR09v1 and TSDRF01v1 prepared by the IPWE Aust. (Tasmania Division) and to the satisfaction of Council's Manager of Works and Technical Services.

- 11) Adequate manoeuvring space must be provided in accordance with Standards Australia (2002): Australian Standard AS 2890.2 2002, Parking facilities Part 2: Off-Street, Commercial vehicle facilities, Standards Australia, Sydney and the requirements of the Council's Manager of Works and Technical Services. All vehicles including heavy trucks or articulated vehicles may leave the site in a forward direction.
- 12) The Developer is to contact the Manager, Works & Technical Services to arrange a site inspection within two (2) working days of completion of works.
- 13) The Applicant must provide not less than 48 hours written notice to Council's Manager of Works and Technical Services (Jack Lyall 6254 5000) before commencing construction works within a council roadway.

Final plan

- 14) A final approved plan of survey and schedule of easements as necessary, together with two (2) copies, must be submitted to Council for sealing for each stage. The final approved plan of survey must be substantially the same as the endorsed plan of subdivision and must be prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Recorder of Titles.
- 15) A fee of \$250.00, or as otherwise determined in accordance with Council's adopted fee schedule, must be paid to Council for the sealing of the final approved plan of survey for each stage.
- 16) All conditions of this permit, including either the completion of all works and maintenance or payment of security in accordance with this permit, must be satisfied before the Council seals the final plan of survey for each stage. It is the subdivider's responsibility to notify Council in writing that the conditions of the permit have been satisfied and to arrange any required inspections.
- 17) The subdivider must pay any Titles Office lodgment fees direct to the Recorder of Titles.

Property Services

18) Property services must be contained wholly within each lots served or an easement to the satisfaction of the Council's Municipal Engineer or responsible authority.

Construction Amenity

19) The development must only be carried out between the following hours unless otherwise approved by the Council's Manager of Development and Environmental Services:

| Monday to Friday | 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Saturday | 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. |
| Sunday and State-wide public holidays | 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. |

- 20) All works associated with the development of the land shall be carried out in such a manner so as not to unreasonably cause injury to, or prejudice or affect the amenity, function and safety of any adjoining or adjacent land, and of any person therein or in the vicinity thereof, by reason of:
 - a. Emission of noise, artificial light, vibration, odour, fumes, smoke, vapour, steam, ash, dust, waste water, waste products, grit or otherwise.
 - b. The transportation of materials, goods and commodities to and from the land.

- c. Obstruction of any public footway or highway.
- d. Appearance of any building, works or materials.
- e. Any accumulation of vegetation, building debris or other unwanted material must be disposed of by removal from the site in an approved manner. No burning of such materials on site will be permitted unless approved in writing by the Council's Manager of Development and Environmental Services.
- 21) Public roadways or footpaths must not be used for the storage of any construction materials or wastes, for the loading/unloading of any vehicle or equipment; or for the carrying out of any work, process or tasks associated with the project during the construction period.
- 22) The developer must make good and/or clean any footpath, road surface or other element damaged or soiled by the development to the satisfaction of the Council's Manger of Works and Technical Services.

The following advice applies to this permit:

- A. This Planning Permit does not imply that any other approval required under any other legislation has been granted.
- B. This Planning Permit is in addition to the requirements of the Building Act 2016. Approval in accordance with the Building Act 2016 is required to be obtained prior to construction.

| DECISION | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST | | | |
| Mayor A O Green | | | | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | | | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | | | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | | | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | | | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | | | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | | | | |

ENCLOSURE(S) Agenda Item 11.1.1



Clint Wills 123 Weston Hill Road Sorell, Tas 7172 0409 432 670 clint.draftone@bigpond.com

11th August 2020

Peter Coney Heritage Tasmania GPO Box 618 Hobart 7000

Hi Peter

Regarding 47 High Street, Oatlands - Subdivision and new dwelling.

The following points show how the proposed new dwelling responds positively to the character, scale, form and historic building materials of surrounding buildings and existing buildings on-site.

- Roof pitch to match existing at 30 degrees.
- · Roof material to be galvanised to match others in the area.
- Roof material ORB to closely match existing with a higher rib than standard.
- · Gutters colour to match existing roofs.
- Garage door colour to match the exterior wall cladding to help blend in and not to dominate the front façade.
- Bulk of the proposed dwelling is hidden by the existing building from the street. The proposed dwelling is smaller in scale to the existing building on the corner of High & Wellington Streets.
- The cladding matches many houses in the surrounding streets.
- The driveway is softened by using aggregate preferably dark toned or mixed.
- Windows have been kept narrow to match.

Many Thanks Clint

PUBLIC COPY



PUBLIC COPY



Planning Drawings

PUBLIC COPY

| Client Cant& Date Homes | 30 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Job - T20803 | |
| New Reader of Home | |
| Job address | diatto: |
| 47 High Street, | balding design benafting |
| Callentis | Manual Annana Least |
| Drawing | County advanced by |
| Sale AS | AEX: 48.220/806/24 |
| LW a b of 5 | Acceditation Not CO (19910) |
| Date 1: August 2020 | |
| Job Nx 2020-29 | |
| Door & Window Sch | edule |

| | | | | | | Schedule | | | | |
|--------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|----------------|----|------|-------|
| 10 | T _i pe | Ekvation | +e _s n | war | 1 wort height | Frame | 3122.12 | 20 | 3-60 | 10125 |
| NB1 | Top Hung | <u>8</u> | 1 600 | (30.1 | 1,12 | Alerman | Clear Double | | | |
| w12 | Top Hung | | 1.600 | 1.300 | 8/35 | ALT TUM | Clear Dout # | | | |
| 403 | Top Hung | 6 | 1.000 | 520 | 2,*11 | 9.7° un | Obscure Double | | | |
| 6034 | Top You; | 5 | 1 000 | 810 | 1(2 | A.s. tan | Steam Dadis | | | |
| wit: | Top: Tune | 5 | 1.000 | στυ | 10 | 4.** un | Oteanas Daubla | | | |
| kari - | hų hug | | a un s | сян | 42 | A.**un | Chie Don s | | | |
| \$172 | Top Hung | 8 | 1 600 | 1.000 | 1.11 | ALC: UM | Clear Double | | | |
| 450B | Top Hung | | 1 EOO | 920 | 1.12 | ALC: NO | Clear Double | | | |
| ×\03 | Top Hung | | 1 600 | 320 | 1, 11 | ALC: Sum | Clear Dout o | | | |
| w | lup tang | | 2000 | ×.0 | <i>.</i> | 4.8 ° un | Chor Date is | | | |
| wr | lop iung | | 1.00 | 5. ⁴ | s: | M.e. an | Clear Unit: 6 | | | |
| w 2 | Top Hung | 8 | 1 500 | 320 | 1, 11 | AL: TOM | Clear Double | | | |
| N 5 | Top Hung | V | 1 800 | 320 | 1, 11 | ALC: NO | Clear Dougle | | | |

| | | | | Ecc | mai Doar | Schedule | | | | |
|-----|-------|---------------|--------|------|----------|----------|-------------|-----|-------|--------|
| D | -7m | ED Point More | Holght | W:th | Hend | Fizme | Slad (g | UW. | 96-3C |) otto |
| 1.1 | Sking | | 2/00 | 1,6 | 2,100 | Alamoun | Citer Dudde | | | |

A basis of allow ("New Investment of the second and a 1976") The act of the construction of a 1976" The act of the construction of the other activity of the construction of the 2000, fail Law House Design : Edentrate of Classic (modified)



Jacqueline Tyson

| From: | Tony Woolford <nwoolfor@iinet.net.au></nwoolfor@iinet.net.au> |
|--------------|---|
| Sent: | Thursday, 20 August 2020 3:18 PM |
| To: | SMC Mail |
| Cc: | 'David Thomas' |
| Subject: | SA 2020/8, Additional Information, 47 High Street, Oatlands |
| Attachments: | D0042-Model.pdf |

SA 2020/8, Additional Information, 47 High Street, Oatlands

Further to your request for further information please find attached the proposal plan with additional information on parking and access for both lot 1 and the balance lot. The balance lot has a large area of bitumen at the rear as shown on the plan with sufficient area for private parking for up to three vehicles. Delivery vehicles also use this area to deliver goods for the shop.

There are no external storage areas with all goods received on a weekly basis and stored inside the shop. There is to be no change to the existing parking or deliveries of the balance lot.

This subdivision will not detract from the heritage value of the streetscape as the two heritage buildings are of a different style and use, the existing title is very large for the area and the proposed dwelling on lot 1 is set well back from the street alignment and is at the rear of the existing heritage stables.

Once the new owners of lot 1 complete their house they intend to initially stabilise the sandstone stables and then convert it to a compatible use for their house.

Additional information and new drawings from the designers of the new dwelling, Draft One, will be forwarded via separate email.

Regards,

Tony Woolford

T.N. Woolford & Associates Land & Engineering Surveyors 72 Grahams Road Mount Rumney TAS 7170

w: (03) 6248 5224 m: 0418 248 569 e: tnwoolford@tassie.net.au





Tasmanian Heritage Council

Tasmanian Heritage Council GPO Box 618 Hobart Tasmania 7000 Tel: 1300 850 332 enquiries@heritage.tas.gov.au www.heritage.tas.gov.au

 PLANNING REF:
 SA2020/08

 THC WORKS REF:
 6275

 REGISTERED PLACE NO:
 10743

 APPLICANT:
 T Woolford & Associates

 DATE:
 22 September 2020

NOTICE OF HERITAGE DECISION

(Historic Cultural Heritage Act 1995)

The Place:47 High Street, OatlandsProposed Works:Subdivision, provision of access and house.

Under section 39(6)(b) of the *Historic Cultural Heritage Act 1995*, the Heritage Council gives notice that it consents to the discretionary permit being granted in accordance with the documentation submitted with Development Application SA2020/08, advertised on 23 September 2020, subject to the following conditions:

The proposed driveway must be constructed with an exposed aggregate finish. The colour of the aggregate must be of a mid to dark tone.

Reason for condition

To ensure that the new work does not detract from the more significant elements of the site.

Please ensure the details of this notice, including conditions, are included in any permit issued, and forward a copy of the permit or decision of refusal to the Heritage Council for our records.

Should you require clarification of any matters contained in this notice, please contact Peter Coney on 1300 850 332.

lan Boersma Works Manager – Heritage Tasmania Under delegation of the Tasmanian Heritage Council

Notice of Heritage Decision 6275, Page 1 of 1



| | ncil Planning mit No. | SA 2020/08 | o i iaiiiii | 5 Autro | Council notice date | 9/06/2020 | |
|------------|--|--|--|------------------------|---|------------------|--|
| 1000000000 | Nater details | date contraction | | | | | |
| Tas\ | Water Water erence No. | TWDA 2020/0078 | 8-STM | Date of response | 19 June 2020 | | |
| | Water tact | Greg Clausen | | Phone No. | (03) 6237 8242 | | |
| Res | ponse issued | to | | | | | |
| Cou | ncil name | SOUTHERN MIDLA | NDS COUNCIL | | | | |
| Con | tact details | mail@southernmi | dlands.tas.gov.au | | | | |
| Dev | elopment det | ails | | | 2 | | |
| Add | ress | 47 HIGH ST, OATL | ANDS | | Property ID (PID) | 7817857 | |
| 195 | cription of elopment | 1 Lot Subdivision | olus balance and n | ew dwelling | | | |
| Sch | edule of draw | ings/documents | 4 | | | | |
| | Prepa | red by | Drawing/doo | cument No. | Revision No. | Date of Issue | |
| TN \ | <i>N</i> oolfo r d | | Proposed Subdiv | ision | | April 2020 | |
| Con | ditions | | | | | 8 | |
| | A suitably si each lot of f accordance | he development m with any other con | LOW ith metered conne ust be designed ar ditions in this perr | id constructed nit. | ewerage system and d to TasWater's satisf ne removal of redunda | action and be in | |
| | installation the develop | | d property service | connections | must be carried out b | oy TasWater at | |
| 3. | Prior to commencing construction of the subdivision/use of the development, any water connection utilised for construction/the development must have a backflow prevention device and water meter installed, to the satisfaction of TasWater. | | | | | | |
| 4. | DEVELOPM | ENT ASSESSMENT | FEES | | | | |
| 5. | 5. The applicant or landowner as the case may be, must pay a development assessment fee of \$211.63 and a Consent to Register a Legal Document fee of \$149.20 to TasWater, as approved by the Economic Regulator and the fees will be indexed, until the date paid to TasWater. | | | | | | |
| | The payment is required within 30 days of the issue of an invoice by TasWater. | | | | | | |
| Adv | ice | | | | | | |
| Gen | eral | | | | | | |
| For | information o | n TasWater develo | | | | | |
| AND SOL | | ater.com.au/Develo | | | | | |
| FOL | application to | rms please visit http | o://www.taswater | .com.au/Deve | elopment/Forms | | |

Submission to Planning Authority Notice

Issue Date: August 2015

Uncontrolled when printed

Page 1 of 2 Version No: 0.1



Declaration

The drawings/documents and conditions stated above constitute TasWater's Submission to Planning Authority Notice.

Authorised by

0 1

Jason Taylor

Development Assessment Manager

| TasWater Contact Details | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Phone | 13 6992 | Email | development@taswater.com.au | | | |
| Mail | GPO Box 1393 Hobart TAS 7001 | Web | www.taswater.com.au | | | |

Issue Date: August 2015

Uncontrolled when printed

Page 2 of 2 Version No: 0.1



RECEIVED 15 SEP 2020

By SMC

Objections to Subdivision and new dwelling 47 High Street Oatlands.

I am the registered owner of the title at 43 High Street Oatlands

- To permit subdivision of the title, diminishes the cultural and aesthetic value of much of Oatlands charm, i.e. larger than the postage stamp blocks that are the norm in other Hobart outer suburbs, makes building or buying an existing home in Oatlands very favourable. Postage stamp size blocks add nothing to the strategic plan for Oatlands.
- The heritage listed commercial building on the title with an old stone barn adds to the Georgian ambience of the town, and aught not be expediently removed, to satisfy a whimsical moment in time.
- To subdivide the block and approve a new weatherboard dwelling will impinge on my freedom to enjoy my own workshop, and residence, on its large commercial block at 43 High Street.
- The set back of the proposed dwelling does not allow for any potential extensions to the dwelling, i.e. a verandas or porch addition. Should they be required extensions in the future, they will be almost overhanging the boundary fence to 43 High Street.
- Any change of use to 47 High Street, has implications for 43 High Street. Any future application on my part to extend, or build additional industrial complex on my land could be held to impinge on the domestic dwelling at 47 High Street. Additionally, I may be limited in scope or effect, for future business options on my commercial land eg noise, or traffic, or working hours. Such may be considered an unreasonable restriction in a commercial area.
- Drainage. The block at 47 High Street is already well below the level of 43 High Street .When there is inundation of runoff, or snow melt, as in this year, there is a significant amount of water that flows down to back of The Heritage at 47 High Street, which in turn is lower than adjoining titles, with

the water flooding from the surrounding higher titles, then seeping across 43 High Street, to lower levels at 47 High Street and Wellington Street.

• To subdivide this heritage block, is to open Pandora's box to more calls that will only diminish the cultural value of Oatlands, and put into question commercial investment in the town.







Proposed Subdivision, and new dwelling at 47 High Street Oatlands

As Director of Waltham Abbey Enterprises, and registered owner of 45 High Street Oatlands, operating as Oatlands Laundromat, and Oatlands Retreat, the following is an objection to the planned subdivision of commercial title 47 High Street Oatlands, 7120.

Change of Use:

47 High Street is historically significant to the history and cultural value of Oatlands, by way of its two sandstone buildings on the current title. The name, The Heritage Roadhouse, acknowledges such historical connection.

The buildings are in the commercial precinct.

In the absence of any notification to the effect that the commercial precinct has / will be altered by this application, it is reasonable to assume that The Heritage Roadhouse is, and will remain commercial, and not residential.

Waltham Abbey Enterprises, and it' businesses, Oatlands Retreat, and Oatlands Laundromat, survives on visitors who appreciate the town's cultural ambience.

Visitors to the town appreciate it's many sandstone and heritage buildings. Whatever their condition, which is why so many have been resurrected on the High Street to provide that attraction and commercial opportunity.

The company strongly disagrees with any diminishing of the cultural values attached to Oatlands, and requests Council to disallow this subdivision.

Yours Sincerely



11.2 SUBDIVISIONS

Nil.

11.3 MUNICIPAL SEAL (Planning Authority)

Nil.

11.4 PLANNING (OTHER)

Nil.

[THIS CONCLUDES THE SESSION OF COUNCIL ACTING AS A PLANNING AUTHORITY]

12. OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – INFRASTRUCTURE)

12.1 Roads

Strategic Plan Reference 1.1

Maintenance and improvement of the standard and safety of roads in the municipal area.

12.1.1 CROWN RESERVED ROADS / UNMADE ROADS

Author: ACTING GENERAL MANAGER (ANDREW BENSON)

Date: 16 OCTOBER 2020

ISSUE

To confirm that the Southern Midlands Council does not hold any current licences over any Crown Reserved Roads within the municipal area.

BACKGROUND

This issue was raised at the previous meeting in September by Mayor Alex Green, where at, a report was requested in relation to all current licences held by the Southern Midlands Council over Crown Reserved Roads. More specifically, it was indicated that Council held a licence over Whynyates Street, Oatlands.

DETAIL

Previous reports provided to Council in relation to Whynyates Street have advised that this Street is an unmade road and as such is not maintainable by Council.

Confirmation from Parks & Wildlife Service, as the responsible Government agency, has confirmed that Council does not hold a licence for this Street.

In addition, Parks and Wildlife Service were requested to review their licence database to identify whether Council does hold any licences over any other reserved roads within the municipality.

Likewise, Parks and Wildlife Service have confirmed that Council does not hold any.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the information be received.

| DECISION | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST | | | |
| Mayor A O Green | | | | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | | | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | | | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | | | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | | | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | | | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | | | | |

12.2 Bridges

Strategic Plan Reference 1.2

Maintenance and improvement of the standard and safety of bridges in the municipality.

Nil.

12.3 Walkways, Cycle ways and Trails

Strategic Plan Reference 1.3

Maintenance and improvement of the standard and safety of walkways, cycle ways and pedestrian areas to provide consistent accessibility.

Nil.

12.4 Lighting

Strategic Plan Reference 1.4

Ensure adequate lighting based on demonstrated need / Contestability of energy supply.

Nil.

12.5 Buildings

Strategic Plan Reference 1.5 Maintenance and improvement of the standard and safety of public buildings in the municipality.

12.6 Sewers / Water

Strategic Plan Reference(s) 1.6

Increase the capacity of access to reticulated sewerage services / Increase the capacity and ability to access water to satisfy development and Community to have access to reticulated water.

Nil.

12.7 Drainage

Strategic Plan Reference 1.7

Maintenance and improvement of the town storm-water drainage systems.

Nil.

12.8 Waste

Strategic Plan Reference 1.8 Maintenance and improvement of the provision of waste management services to the Community.

12.8.1 SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL WASTE TRANSFER STATION OPERATIONS

- Author: MANAGER DEVELOPMENT & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES (DAVID CUNDALL)
- **Date:** 21 OCTOBER 2020

Attachment:

Waste Transfer Stations Comparisons – June 2020

ISSUE

A workshop was held on the 10th August 2020 with regard to a 2020 review of the *Southern Midlands Council Waste Management Strategy 2016* ("the Strategy"). The Strategy was then endorsed at the September 2020 meeting.

An outcome was also that Council consider further operational improvements to the waste transfer stations ("WTSs").

The changes are linked to the acceptance of a tender for the provision of waste collection services and provisions of bins for the WTSs.

DETAILS

Council currently operates three WTSs (for waste and recyclables). These are located at Oatlands, Dysart and Campania. Council's entire waste management service is operated on a cost recovery basis with a current annual budget in the vicinity of \$100,000,000 (Table 1).

The cost of running the waste management operation is increasing steadily which is primarily due to:

- the increasing cost of waste disposal;
- increasing amount of waste; and
- increasing cost burden associated with managing recyclables.

Southern Midlands Council

Agenda – 28 October 2020

| | | Actuals to 31.03.20 | PROPOSED |
|---|---------|---------------------|-----------|
| DUDOFT | 2019/20 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 |
| BUDGET: | Est. | Actual | Budget |
| | | | |
| REVENUE: | 1 | | |
| Waste Transfer Staions - Disposal Fees / Sale of Recyclables | 30,000 | 12,144 | 15,000 |
| Waste Transfer Staions & Sale of Recyclables | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rates (Est Rates based on \$178 per collection point - prev \$170) | 285,600 | 289,460 | 304,736 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Waste Management Levy (Est based on \$180 & \$60 - prev \$165 & \$55) | 525,140 | 530,312 | 581,460 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Revenue: | 840,740 | 831,917 | 901,196 |
| EXPENDITURE: | | | |
| Household Collecton Service | 253,970 | 182,164 | 277,788 |
| Operating Expenses - Oatlands WTS | 222,526 | 163,947 | 263,763 |
| Operating Expenses - Campania WTS | 209,687 | 156,673 | 268,867 |
| Operating Expenses - Dysart WTS | 206,721 | 172,675 | 213,264 |
| Other Expenditure | 0 | 4,694 | 0 |
| Waste Management Plan (incls. Regional Group etc) | 0 | 0 | 4,500 |
| Land Tax Payable | 0 | | 0 |
| Interest Charges | 0 | | 0 |
| Depreciation | 22,000 | 0 | 27,500 |
| Budget Reduction/Adjustment | -13,355 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Expenditure: | 901,549 | 680,153 | 1,055,682 |
| (Surplus)/Deficit: | 60,809 | -151,764 | 154,486 |

Table 1: Waste management budget summary

Changes in waste disposal volumes

As considered at the September 2020 meeting, WTS volumes are up considerably (119%) since 2016. Which is remarkable given the relatively stable population in the Southern Midlands. The increase coincides with increase in waste disposal costs at other southern regional sites – it is possible that Southern Midlands sites are being targeted by people from out of area.

Proposed operational changes

The operational changes are primarily:

- Improve the safety and efficiency of the stations; and
- to provide electronic payment options for outside of area users
- to reduce waste to landfill
- to bring fees payable at the Waste Transfer Station, to outside of area users, in line with similar rural/regional councils in Tasmania.
- To improve security and safety to operators
- To undertake a risk assessment and implement recommendations on a affordable best practice basis and not to reduce service levels or convenience to staff and the users.

Payments and staffing

The issue of out of area users needs to be addressed through the following:

 Council to provide a "toll booth" at each of the transfer stations to identify users and distinguish between ratepayers and outside of area users. This is essential for Campania and Dysart which are arguably servicing the Greater Hobart Area.

- A toll booth will provide better opportunity for staff to speak with customers and direct them to the right areas safely;
- Provide shelter;
- Provide a place to make transactions both cash and electronic;
- Provide additional security for operators and for cash handling;
- There is scope for a small booth at each of the sites;
- Staff are to be trained and directed to actively identify out of area users and to charge accordingly. Staff will also be trained in electronic payments and receipting;
- Increase fees for waste disposal to align with similar operations in Tasmania. The proposed fees are provided in Table 2 of this report.

There are no recommendations planned to increase the current waste levy paid by ratepayers (which is included in the rates). The fee increase applies to outside of area users for ordinary waste and increase in fees for other items i.e. tyres, whitegoods, car bodies, and large construction material.

It is expected that the fee generation and the corresponding disincentives for outside of area users to dispose of waste in the Southern Midlands will reduce waste volumes and work towards better cost recovery. This is strongly in line with the objectives of the Strategy.

The costs and resources needed to implement the changes are identified in Table 2 as follows:

| Action | Budget | Comment |
|--|----------|---|
| Provide contactless electronic payment system x 2 | \$1000 | These devices are now commonly used at markets and remote area sites. They can be linked to a handheld device such as a phone or ipad or can be "all in one" device. |
| Provide a portable security camera at the booth x 2 | \$2500 | There are many options for security cameras with solar power or rechargeable batteries. A camera can be used to monitor transactions at the site and interactions between the toll booth operator and customers. |
| Provide a mobile phone booster and solar x 2 | \$2000 | The phone booster "signal repeater" is a transportable device that will provide mobile coverage to staff in case of emergency and to provide reception for electronic payments. |
| Toll booth | \$5000 | The existing buildings on the sites can be fixed and improved and convert to a small booth. |
| New Signage | \$1500 | Signage for fees and other safety requirements. |
| TOTAL | \$12,000 | |

Table 2: Costs of changes

Re-use and recycling

The WTSs meet basic modern practices for a WTS. Material such as timbers, green waste, steal, comingled recyclables, oils etc have separate designated areas for disposal. Some minor works to make these areas safer are required and will be detailed in the risk assessment. These will be carried out as soon as possible with minimal costs to Council and are considered to be ordinary operations of Council.

Green waste however has accumulated into large 100m3 (plus) areas and needs to be chipped and either removed or sold-on as product. Council Officers will investigate the demand for such product and whether some form of cost recovery could be achievable. If so then a further report will be provided to Council to amend the *Schedule of Fees and Charges* to introduce a charge. This may be part of the annual review scheduled for May 2021.

General safety

Safety improvements to the waste skip bins is required. Either larger bins need to be placed on the site to reduce the risk of a fall into the bins or Council construct a rail and line markings to mitigate the risk.

Other minor changes will be implemented as ordinary operational changes.

Fees

A comparison of fees for waste disposal in other Local Government Areas had shown that Southern Midlands are possibly the lowest in the state. There is no strategy behind Council to be charging a low amount for out of area users other than a basic incentive for people to use the WTS and "do the right thing" rather than dump on roadsides or in the bush. Fees at other WTS around the state have increased over the past 5 years drastically to meet the costs of landfill and recycling and to encourage people to avoid generating waste.

To put this into perspective. The Mornington Park Waste Transfer Station charges \$11 entry and \$107 per tonne of waste. With this in mind an "outside of area" user can dispose of a tonne of waste at Council's WTS for \$30. It then costs Council upwards of \$200 for this waste to be collected and disposed at the Landfill facility. Council are effectively subsiding waste disposal for outside of area users from Greater Hobart or beyond. This is not in Council's interest.

The following schedule of fees and information is provided on Council's Website:

General Refuse

The following fees for the disposal of general refuse will be imposed on 'out of area' users (i.e nonresidents of the Southern Midlands Council Area). These fees do not apply to residents of the Southern Midlands.

| Amount | Price |
|--|---------|
| Car Boot/Station Wagon | \$6.00 |
| Utility/Single Axle Trailer (6x4 Level Trailer Load) | \$15.00 |
| Double Axle Trailer (Level Trailer Load) | \$30.00 |
| Light Truck (up to 3 cubic metres) | \$42.00 |

Please note: The above load sizes are an indication of acceptable loads only. Acceptable loads are at the attendants discretion, should the loads be exceeded then additional fees may be payable.

Disposal of all Other Items

The following fees will apply to **ALL** users, including ratepayers and residents of the Southern Midlands. These fees need to be imposed, because the items cannot be readily managed on-site, and need to be subsequently removed.

| ltem | Price |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Tyres (Car/Motorbike) | \$6.00 each |
| Tyres (4wd/Light Truck) | \$12.00 each |
| Tyres (Heavy Truck) | \$25.00 each |
| Whitegoods | \$6.00 each |
| Car Bodies (accepted Oatlands Only) | \$40.00 each |
| Large Construction Material | \$15.00 per cubic metre |

Please note: Large construction materials include: building and demolition waste, roofing iron and large quantities of plaster and timber. Loads in excess of 3m³are not accepted at the Dysart and Campania Waste Transfer Stations and will need to be taken to the Oatlands Waste Management Centre. Disposal of Car Bodies is only available at Oatlands Waste Transfer Station and are not accepted at Dysart & Campania Waste Transfer Stations. An increase in fees is provided as follows (Table 3):

| ITEM | Current Price | Proposed Price |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Car Boot/Station Wagon | \$6.00 | \$12.00 |
| Utility/Single Axle Trailer (6x4 Level Trailer Load) | \$15.00 | \$30.00 |
| Double Axle Trailer (Level Trailer Load) | \$30.00 | \$60.00 |
| Light Truck (up to 3 cubic metres) | \$42.00 | \$84.00 |
| Tyres (Car/Motorbike) | \$6.00 each | \$6.00 each |
| Tyres (4wd/Light Truck) | \$12.00 each | \$12.00 each |
| Tyres (Heavy Truck) | \$25.00 each | \$25.00 each |
| Whitegoods | \$6.00 each | \$6.00 each |
| Car Bodies (accepted Oatlands Only) | \$40.00 each | \$40.00 each |
| Large Construction Material | \$15.00 per cubic metre | \$30.00 per cubic metre |

Table 3: Proposed Fees

The proposal is to simply "double" the fees for outside of area users. Tyres, whitegoods, car bodies are proposed to remain the same as current fees as these apply to residents and ratepayers of Southern Midlands. These are also items that are unfortunately often dumped in bushland, reserves or along the roadside. Council should maintain an incentive to dispose of these items at a WTS. Council will note these charges are comparable to other WTS in the area per the attached comparisons.

Human Resources & Financial Implications – The general safety requirements such as signage, barriers, handling and storage of waste materials are operational expenses.

However the introduction of security devices, mobile phone boosters and electronic payments may have some budget implications.

This is likely to be offset against the increase in fees and the introduction of electronic payments.

Community Consultation & Public Relations Implications – Updates of waste management will be provided to Council annually through the Council meeting Agenda.

Officers will also further engage with the community through the Council website, social media and through engagement at the offices and waste transfer stations.

New signage will be provided on the site pending Council endorsement of the fee increases.

Policy Implications – The proposed operational changes are largely "core business" of Council and align strongly with the *Waste Management Strategy*.

Priority - Implementation Time Frame – The changes can be incrementally made. The safety issues regarding the storage of materials and the risk of fall into the skip bins must be addressed without further delay.

Council Management can determine if there is scope in the current waste management budget for the technological advancements. If not then these items will be proposed for the 2021/2022 year.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT

- A. The report be received;
- B. The matters be discussed;
- C. That the *Schedule of Fees and Charges 2020/2021* be amended for the Waste Management Fees detailed in this report;
- D. Council undertake a basic communications exercise with regard to the introduction of a toll booth and the fee increase through the website and onsite signage; and
- E. Council Officers complete the safety audit/risk assessment of the site and undertake any urgent actions without delay.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | |

ATTACHMENT

Agenda Item 12.8.1

Waste Transfer Stations Comparisons

Prepared by David Cundall Date: 1st June 2020 (prices are of this date)

Southern Midlands Fees

General Refuse

The following fees for the disposal of general refuse will be imposed on 'out of area' users (i.e nonresidents of the Southern Midlands Council Area). These fees do not apply to residents of the Southern Midlands.

| Amount | Price |
|--|---------|
| Car Boot/Station Wagon | \$6.00 |
| Utility/Single Axle Trailer (6x4 Level Trailer Load) | \$15.00 |
| Double Axle Trailer (Level Trailer Load) | \$30.00 |
| Light Truck (up to 3 cubic metres) | \$42.00 |

Please note: The above load sizes are an indication of acceptable loads only. Acceptable loads are at the attendants discretion, should the loads be exceeded then additional fees may be payable.

Disposal of all Other Items

The following fees will apply to **ALL** users, including ratepayers and residents of the Southern Midlands. These fees need to be imposed, because the items cannot be readily managed on-site, and need to be subsequently removed.

| Item | Price |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Tyres (Car/Motorbike) | \$6.00 each |
| Tyres (4wd/Light Truck) | \$12.00 each |
| Tyres (Heavy Truck) | \$25.00 each |
| Whitegoods | \$6.00 each |
| Car Bodies (accepted Oatlands Only) | \$40.00 each |
| Large Construction Material | \$15.00 per cubic metre |

Please note: Large construction materials include: building and demolition waste, roofing iron and large quantities of plaster and timber. Loads in excess of 3m³are not accepted at the Dysart and Campania Waste Transfer Stations and will need to be taken to the Oatlands Waste Management Centre. Disposal of Car Bodies is only available at Oatlands Waste Transfer Station and are not accepted at Dysart & Campania Waste Transfer Stations.

Brighton Council Fees

| Boot Load | \$8.00 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|
| 0-1.0 M3 – Other than a boot load | \$18.00 |
| 1.0-2.0 M3 | \$30.00 |
| 2.0-3.0 M3 | \$45.00 |
| 3.0-5.0 M3 | \$80.00 |
| Passenger tyres | \$8.00 |
| Light Truck & 4WD tyres | \$18.00 |
| Truck & Tractor tyres | \$30.00 |
| Mattress Disposal | \$20.00 |
| Fridge Disposal | \$15.00 |

Huon Valley Council Fees

| WASTE TRANSFER STATION FEES | 2020-2021 | GST |
|--|----------------|-----|
| Single garbage Bag (75 litres or less per bag) | \$5.00 | # |
| 2 x Garbage Bags (75 litre or less) | \$9.00 | # |
| 3 x garbage bags (75 litre or less) | \$11.00 | # |
| Load (<1M3 load) | \$16.50 | # |
| Loads greater than 1M3 per M3 rate | \$14.50/M3 | # |
| Local Contractors | Negotiated Fee | # |
| Clean fill Not accepted | NIL | |
| Clean Concrete - Southbridge Only (per cubic metre) | \$13.00 | # |
| Scrap metal - minimum charge (less than 1 cubic metre) | \$4.50 | # |
| Scrap metal (per cubic metre) | \$9.00 | # |
| Whole Car bodies - Huonville, Cygnet & Geeveston (not accepted at Dover) | \$63.00 | # |
| Half car bodies – Huonville, Cygnet & Geeveston (not accepted at Dover) Not accepted at Dover | \$34.00 | # |
| Car & Motorcycle tyres (per tyre) | \$7.00 | # |
| Light Truck tyres (per tyre) | \$13.00 | # |
| Truck tyres (per tyre) | \$27.00 | # |
| Removal of rim surcharge | \$7.50 | # |

| \$44.00 | |
|------------|---|
| \$9.00 | |
| | |
| \$11.50/M3 | # |
| \$11.50/M3 | # |
| \$26.00 | # |
| | |
| \$14.50/M3 | # |
| \$14.50/M3 | # |
| | \$9.00 \$11.50/M3 \$11.50/M3 \$26.00 \$14.50/M3 |

Other items are at the discretion of the site operator.

Kingborough Council Fees

| Description | Fee |
|---|------------|
| General waste (per tonne) | \$ 118 |
| General waste (minimum charge) | \$ 11 |
| Car tyres | \$ 7 each |
| Car tyres on rim | \$ 11 each |
| ight truck tyres | \$ 13 each |
| ight truck tyres on rim | \$ 18 each |
| Fruck tyres | \$ 26 each |
| Gas bottles | No charge |
| Green waste (per tonne in excess of 100kg) | \$ 100 |
| Green waste (minimum charge) | \$ 11 |
| nowing 1 to 10 of 12 entries reviousNext runy Island Transfer Station Fees 2019-20 | |
| Description | Fee |
| arbage Bags | |
| ingle garbage bag | \$ 5 |
| wo garbage bags | \$8 |
| Three garbage bags | \$ 12 |
| ight Vehicles | |
| ight vehicles without trailer - three bags 55 litre | \$ 12 |
| agine verificies without trailer - three bags 55 htte | |

| Description | Fee |
|--|--------------|
| Light vehicles with or without trailer <3m3 load | \$ 27 |
| Light vehicles with or without trailer <5m3 load | \$ 35 |
| Green Waste | |
| Three garbage bags | \$ 9 |
| Clean green waste <1m3 load | \$ 10 |
| Clean green waste 1 - 3m3 load | \$ 21 |
| Clean green waste 3 - 5m3 load | \$ 25 |
| Clean green waste <12 GVM | \$ 36 |
| Other | |
| Car tyres (each) | \$ 7 each |
| Car tyres on rim | \$11 |
| Light truck tyres | \$13 |
| Light truck tyres on rim | \$18 |
| Truck tyres | \$26 |
| Gas bottles | No charge |
| Steel (per cubic metre) | \$ 5 |
| Car bodies (full or half) | Not accepted |
| | |

Glamorgan Spring Bay

Garbage - Per Cubic Metre \$25 (min \$5)

Green waste Utility / Trailers ONLY No timber larger than 200mm diameter \$5 (conditions apply)

Compactor Vehicle. Per Cubic Metre \$35 Tyre — Car \$8 Tyre — Light Truck \$10 Tyre — Truck \$20 Recyclable Materials FREE Metal, Car bodies, Whitegoods, Oil or Batteries FREE

Central Highlands Council Waste Fees



WASTE SITE DISPOSAL FEES

FEES FOR ALL SITES

Entry to all sites is Free for Residents / Ratepayers on the production of a tip pass (issued with rate notices) excluding trucks and disposal of tyres (see below)

Entry Fees

| Туре | Ratepayer / Resident | Non-Ratepayer / Resident |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Car | Nil | \$15.00 |
| Trailer Single Axle / Utility / Van | Nil | \$21.00 |
| Trailer Multi Axle | Nil | \$21.00 |
| Truck Single Axle | \$29.00 | \$150.00 |
| Truck Multi Axle | \$70.00 | \$220.00 |
| Truck Semi-Trailer | \$100.00 | \$350.00 |
| Compactor Truck | \$150.00 | \$150.00 |
| Compactor Truck 20m ² Skip | \$100.00 | \$100.00 |
| Compactor Truck 35m ² Skip | \$120.00 | \$120.00 |
| Clean Fill | No Charge | No Charge |
| Disposal of Asbestos | Quote | Quote |

Tyre Disposal Fees

| Туре | Without Rim | With Rim |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| Car / Motorcycle | \$11.00 | \$16.00 |
| 4WD / Light Truck | \$16.00 | \$21.00 |
| Truck | \$28.00 | \$32.00 |
| Tractor | \$43.00 | \$47.00 |

Northern Midlands Council

| mount | Refuse Only |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | |
| 50lt garbage bag | \$2.00 |
| 250lt Garbage Bin | \$5.00 |
| Boot Load | \$8.50 |
| Single axle trailer / ute (small) | \$14.00 |
| Single axle trailer / ute (large) | \$20.00 |
| Double axle trailer (small) | \$27.50 |
| Double axle trailer (large) | \$40.00 |
| Sedan/ stationwagon | \$8.50 |
| Other / Commercial | \$47.50 per cubic metre |
| Commercial Compacted | \$95.00 per cubic metre |
| Masonry / concrete (Longford only) | \$29.00 per cubic metre |
| Mattresses | \$12.00 each |
| Airconditioners/ refrigerators | \$10.00 each |
| TYRES | |
| Car or motor bike | \$8.00 |
| Light truck 15-17" | \$9.00 |
| Medium Truck 18" | \$29.00 |
| Large Truck | \$42.00 |

PRICES may vary depending on content and size.

PLEASE NOTE: disposal of recyclables is half the fee of refuse disposal

Non Ratepayers and Residents

Fees applicable to non-residents / non rate payers

Non Resident / Non Ratepayers will be charged three times the fee applicable to residents /

ratepayers above.

The Northern Midlands Council (NMC) subsidises Residents/Ratepayers within the municipal area for the disposal of rubbish at its Waste Transfer Stations, this subsidy is offered to Residents/Ratepayers only. If non-residents / non-ratepayers choose to dispose of their waste at NMC Waste Transfer Stations no subsidy applies, therefore they will be charged at three (3) times the standard charge.

Site attendees may request you to verify your residence/ratepayer status prior to using NMC Waste Transfer Stations e.g. by showing your driver's licence or some other form of identification with a current address, or showing a current year Northern Midlands Council rate notice.

Derwent Valley Council

| GL CODE | PURPOSE OF FEE | GST APPLICABLE | 2018/2019 TOTAL FEES | 2019/2020 TOTAL FEES | AUTHORITY/NOTES | | |
|----------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 460.0110.0137 | Peppermint Hill Landfill | | | | | | |
| 1460.0110.0138 | National Park Waste Transfer Facility | | | | | | |
| | General | | | | | | |
| | Accounts (account minimum - \$50.00 per month) | Y | \$50.00 | \$50.00 | | | |
| | Account preparation fee Derwent Valley registered and operating business - per month | Y | \$30.00 | \$30.00 | | | |
| | Account preparation fee commercially operated businesses outside of the Derwent Valley - per month | Ŷ | \$100.00 | \$100.00 | | | |
| | Recycling | | | | | | |
| | Recyclables | | Free | Free | | | |
| | Clean fill | | Free | Free | | | |
| | All below figures shall be double for non-residents of Derwent Valley Council Municipality. | | | | | | |
| | DOMESTIC WASTE | | GATE FEES 2018/2019 | GATE FEES 2019/2020 | | | |
| | Car boot/station wagon | Y | \$8.00 | \$8.50 | | | |
| | Ute/van/small trailer (level) | Y | \$16.00 | \$16.50 | | | |
| | Large trailer/1 tonne truck | Y | \$32.00 | \$33.00 | | | |
| | Other vehicles (per cubic metre) | Y | \$24.00 | \$25.00 | | | |
| | Green waste only (per cubic metre) | Y | \$12.00 | \$12.50 | | | |
| | NON-DOMESTIC WASTE | | | | | | |
| | Scrap metal, clean | | | Free | When separated as par | | |
| | E-waste (electronic waste, per cubic metre) | | | Free | of a load. Mixed load | | |
| | Fridges, per Item | | | Free | are chargeable at the | | |
| | White goods (dryer, washing machine, dishwasher), per item | | | Free | Domestic waste rate. | | |
| | Tyres - car | Y | \$12.00 | \$12.50 | | | |
| | - light truck | Y | \$24.00 | \$25.00 | | | |
| | - truck/small tractor | Y | \$34.00 | \$36.00 | | | |
| | Mattresses, per item | | \$24.00 | \$25.00 | | | |
| | COMMERCIAL/BULK DELIVERIES | | | | | | |
| | Compactor trucks, per cubic metre capacity | | \$48.00 | \$50.00 | | | |
| | Trucks or Skips, per cubic metre | | \$48.00 | \$50.00 | | | |
| | Building rubble , mixed (per cubic metre) vehicles < 2.5 tonne GVM | | \$48.00 | \$50.00 | | | |
| | Clean bricks or concrete, per cubic metre | | \$24.00 | \$25.00 | | | |
| | Demolition materials, per cubic metre Price on Ap | | | lication, min \$48 | | | |

| Derwent Valley Council Fees and Charges Register - 2019/2020 | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| CODE | GL CODE | FURPOSE OF FEE | GST | 2018/2019 TOTAL FEES | 2019/2020 TOTAL FEES | AUTHORITY/NOTES |
|----------|------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Coupons | issued by Cour | ncil with rates notice may be redeemed at a rate of one coup | on per axle as per table below. | | | |
| Conditio | rs of use - only | waste from domestic sources such as household or garden v | vaste will be accepted. Lost vouche | ers will not be re | placed. Coupons a | re non-refundable. |
| | | DOMESTIC WASTE | | | Number of Coupons | |
| | | Single axle trailer, Ute, van, car boot/station wagon | | | 1 | |
| | | Tandem æde trailer | | | 2 | |
| | | NON-DOMESTIC WASTE | | | | |
| | | Tyres - car | | | 1 | |
| | | - light truck | | | 2 | |
| | | - truck/small tractor | | | 3 | |
| | | Mattresses, per item | | | 2 | |
Glenorchy Waste Landfill Fees

| Minimum gate fee | \$11 per visit |
|--|--|
| Passenger tyres | \$10 each |
| Light truck/4wd tyres | \$20 each |
| Clean fill (conditions apply)# | \$20/tonne |
| Brick/concrete/rubble* | \$60/tonne |
| Green waste/vegetation | \$75/tonne |
| General waste – domestic GCC residents | \$80/tonne (cars and single axle trailers only) |
| General waste – commercial/large vehicles | \$100/tonne and non-GCC residents |
| Mixed waste – commercial/industrial/ | \$150/tonne demolition/construction (by negotiation) |
| Metal | \$100/tonne |
| Mattresses | \$20 each |
| Domestic quantity of double wrapped asbestos | \$50/bootload \$100/small trailer load |
| Asbestos/controlled waste/special burial (conditions apply) | \$150/special waste handling fee \$180/tonne |

Mornington Waste Fees

| Disposal Fee - Co | ost per Tonne | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|--|--|
| As at 1/07 | //2019 | N | | |
| | ex GST | inc GST | | |
| Cash/Account Customers | | | | |
| Minimum Fee | \$10.00 | \$11.00 | | |
| General Domestic | \$97.28 | \$107.00 | | |
| Clean Green Waste | \$92.73 | \$102.00 | | |
| Recyclables/Recoverables | \$92.73 | \$102.00 | | |
| Cleanfill | \$19.91 | \$21.90 | | |
| Concrete/Rubble | \$63.73 | \$70.10 | | |
| Disposal Fee - 0 | ost per Item | | | |
| | ex GST | inc GST | | |
| Car Tyres * | \$9.91 | \$10.90 | | |
| 4WD/Light Truck Tyres * | \$17.46 | \$19.20 | | |
| Truck Tyres * | \$30.64 | \$33.70 | | |
| Public Weighing | \$11.37 | \$12.50 | | |
| * Plus the General Domestic per tor | nne rate | | | |
| Opening | Hours | | | |
| Monday - Friday | 7:30 am to | 5:00 pm | | |
| Weekends & Public Holidays | 8:30 am to 4:00 pm | | | |

Hobart City Council McRobbies Gully

| Type of Fee/Charge | Fee (including GST as applicable) | GST Applicable (Y/N) | Fee Unit | Supplementary Information |
|--|--|----------------------------|-------------|--|
| McRobies Gully Waste Management Centre / Tip | and a second | | | |
| Minimum waste disposal fee (excluding green waste and domestic cleanfill) - up to 100kg | \$10.00 | Y | per vehicle | Minimum fee will be applied to loads under 100kgs |
| General mixed waste* *Minimum waste disposal fee - \$10.00 | \$100.00 | Y | per tonne | High annual usage customers may be eligible for a reduced rate where lower operating costs to the City can be demonstrated. |
| Sorted recyclable material (including timber, steel, concrete etc.)* | \$40.00 | Y | per tonne | * Minimum waste disposal fee - \$10.00 (excluding green waste and clean fill) |
| Recycling*** | \$40.00 | Y | per tonne | *** Minimum waste disposal fee does not apply for loads of less than 100kg. |
| Green Waste | | | | |
| Minimum green waste disposal fee - up to 100kg | \$8.00 | Y | per vehicle | Minimum fee will be applied to loads under 100kgs. |
| Green waste** | \$75.00 | Y | per tonne | ** Minimum green waste disposal fee of \$8.00. |
| Food/animal waste | \$45.00 | Y | per tonne | |
| Compostable sludge | \$15.00 | Y | per tonne | |
| Other Waste Categories | | | | |
| Cleanfill | \$20.00 | Y | per tonne | |
| Cleanfill bulk (minimum 300 tonnes - conditions apply) | POA | Y | | Conditions apply - minimum tonnages and market pricing. |
| Controlled waste domestic | \$155.00 | Y | per tonne | Minimum charge \$20.00. |

| Type of Fee/Charge | Fee (including GST as applicable) | GST Applicable (Y/N) | Fee Unit | Supplementary Information |
|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Kemp Street Waste and Recycling Centre | | | | |
| Commercial waste and recycling excess usage charge | \$0.75 | Y | per kg | Charge for deposit of waste and recycling that exceeds allowance of 95kg per month for waste and 48kg per month for recycling |
| Volumetric Rates Fees only to be used in event of weighbridge I | being inoperable | | 11 | |
| Trucks GVM >3t to 7t | \$48.05 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Trucks GVM >7t to 12t | \$110.71 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Trucks GVM >12t Single Axle | \$185.92 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Trucks GVM >12t Dual Axle | \$232.92 | Y | por m ³ | 1 |
| Dual Axle Trailers (behind trucks) | \$232.92 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Skip Bin up to 4m ³ | \$69.98 | Y | per m ³ | 2 |
| Skip Bin >4m ³ to 8m ³ | \$138.92 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Skip Bin >8m ³ to 12m ³ | \$197.41 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Skip Bin >12m3 to 15m3 | \$243.36 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Skip Bin >15m3 to 20m3 | \$323.79 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Skip Bin >20m ³ to 25m ³ | \$404.21 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Skip Bin >25m3 to 30m3 | \$490.91 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Skip Bin >30m ³ | \$645.49 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Compactors <7m ³ | \$179.65 | Y | per m ³ | |
| Compactors <7m3 to 15m3 | \$370.79 | Y | per m ³ | |

12.9 Information, Communication Technology

Strategic Plan Reference 1.9 Improve access to modern communications infrastructure.

Nil.

12.10 Officer Reports – Infrastructure & Works

12.10.1 MANAGER – INFRASTRUCTURE & WORKS REPORT

Author: MANAGER INFRASTRUCTURE & WORKS (JACK LYALL)

Date: 20 OCTOBER 2020

Enclosure(s):

Capital Works Program 2020/2021 Projected Timelines

Roads Program

Graders are working in the Colebrook and Native Corners areas.

Culvert cleaning is continuing in all areas.

Cliftonvale Road, Dysart landslip has moved and will be attended to next week.

Water has been over York Plains Road and a further update will be provided at the meeting.

Staff have been busy attending to constant roadside washouts, culvert clearing, rock slips and potholing gravel roads.

Stonor Road has been repaired this week with still some dig outs required.

Town and General Maintenance

A casual employee has commenced to assist with mowing in all areas due to the high demand.

Trees have been planted at Tunnack as per the Tunnack Streetscape Project. Tree guards are being installed as they are delivered.

A deck has been replaced at the rear of the Campania Hall, with a door to prevent vandals entering. A wire mesh has also been installed around the base of the Hall to prevent people gaining access to the underside of the Hall.

Waste Management Program

Operating arrangements at the Waste Transfer Stations are working well.

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE TO MANAGER, INFRASTRUCTURE & WORKS

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the Infrastructure & Works Report be received and the information noted.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | |

Southern Midlands Council Agenda – 28 October 2020

ENCLOSURE(S) Agenda Item 12.10.1

| | | | cted Tin | | | | | | | | | _ |
|--|-----------------|------|----------|----------|------|----------|-----|----------|-----|---------|-------|------------------------|
| | Total Project | | | 20 | 020 | | | <u> </u> | | 20 | 21 | - |
| | Cost | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | L |
| Roads Resheeting - Gravel Roads - Allocation through Asset Mgt System | \$ 500,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Road Resealing - Sealed Roads | \$ 400,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | Г |
| Woodsdale - Woodsdale Road (1klm Reconstruction) (Lime Stab. & Seal) | \$ 330,000.00 | | | Tender | | | | | | | | |
| Bagdad - Huntingdon Tier Road (350 metres new seal) (FCR & Seal) | \$ 73,500.00 | | | Tender | | | | | | | | |
| Campania - Native Corners Road (900 metres new seal) (Lime Stab. & Seal) | \$ 173,250.00 | | | Tender | | | | | | | | |
| Mangalore - Ballyhooly Road (300 metres of new seal) (FCR & Seal) | \$ 57,750.00 | | | Tender | | | | | | | | |
| Rhyndaston - Construct & Seal (800 m through Township) (Lime Stab. & Seal) | \$ 154,000.00 | | | Tender | | | | | | | | |
| Tunnack - Eldon - Eldon Road (1500 metres new seal) (Lime Stab. & Seal) | \$ 288,750.00 | | | Tender | | | | | | | | |
| Dust Suppressant (\$20K SMC if Grant not forthcoming) (FCR & Seal) | \$ 100,000.00 | | | 1 diluda | | | | | | | | |
| Campania - Estate Road (vicinity of Mallow property) | \$ 100,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| reinstate past Budget to negotiate with new landowner; | C 40.000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | L |
| relocation of TasNetworks pole (if approval rec'd) and realignment of fencing | \$ 10,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| Campania - Reeve Street / Climie Street Junction (includes Footpath) | \$ 60,496.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campania - Main Intersection/Carpark Campania - Design Concept | \$ 50,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dysart - Cliftonvale & Sugarloaf Rd Junction Improvements | \$ 50,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Elderslie - Bluff Road - Junction Improvements | \$ 150,000.00 | | | | Desi | gn Brief | | | | | | |
| - Bus Shelter (being donated by Brighton Rotary) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Elderslie - Elderslie Road - Widening | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investigation & Trial - south of Blackbrush Rd Junction | \$ 40,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Elderslie Road - Safety Railing (approx. 250 metres) | \$ 21,250.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mangalore - Roberts Road - install additional culverts (prevent flooding) | \$ 12,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands - Interlaken Road (vicinity of 'Wallace') | | | | | | | T | | | | | |
| - prelim work to allow for realignment of road; adjust height of road; land acquis | \$ 25,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tea Tree - Grices Road | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - Tree removal; set back of embankment and drainage improvements | \$ 15,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Woodsdale Road ('The Cutting') - Safety Rail | | | | | | | | | | | | Г |
| - 2 section of approx 200 metres each (200 metres) | \$ 17,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Footpaths | •,••••.•• | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Footpaths - General | \$ 170,906.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bagdad Township | \$ 170,300.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - East Bagdad Road Footpath | \$ 125,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| ······ | | | | | ···• | : | | | | | | |
| - East Bagdad Road Footpath | \$ 101,514.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broadmarsh Township | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - Streetscape Works | \$ 230,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campania Township | | | | | | L | | | | | | |
| - Reeve Street - Footpath through to Hall | \$ 30,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Colebrook Township | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - Nil | \$ - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kempton Township | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Louisa Street (Huntinground Rd - Elizabeth St) | \$ 38,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - Midlands Highway/Mood Food | \$ 147,565.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Streetscape Plan (Review & Implementation (Part) | \$ 23,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | Г |
| - Victoria Memorial Hall (complete works) | \$ 110,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (\$35K Carry forward - ex Mood Food Footpath) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mangalore Township | | | | | | | | | | | | П |
| - Ni | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Melton Mowbray | | | | 1111 | | | | | | | | |
| - Streetscape Works (Trough / Shelter etc.) | \$ 30,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | t |
| Oatlands - Streets | ÷ 00,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | \mathbf{f} |
| - Footpath Renewal | \$ 60,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | ŀ |
| Tunbridge Township | ÷ 00,000.00 | | | | | | | | | 1 1 1 1 | | $\left \cdot \right $ |
| - Main Street (ongoing - Kerb & Gutter Renewal) | \$ 20,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | $\left \cdot \right $ |
| Moin Streat (and and 1/ arb V Cuttor Denome) | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 7 |

PUBLIC COPY



| Capital Work | s Program 2020/202 | rioje | Leu III | icinies | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|-----|----------|-----|-----|----------|------|--------|--|
| | Total Project | | | 20 | 020 | | | | | 2021 | | |
| | Cost | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | |
| - Streetscape Concept Plan | \$ 45,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lighting | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Esplanade Project (Total Project Cost \$128K) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Year 2 of 2) | \$ 250,000.00 | stage | 1 & Stage | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Public Toilets | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campania - Flour Mill Park - Concrete pathways/drainage/remove pavers | \$ 15,000.00 | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Public Toilets - Upgrade Program to be developed | \$ 20,000.00 | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Stormwater Drainage | 1 | | | | | | | | | | · ···· | |
| Baqdad | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| - Lyndon Road | \$ 15,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | · ···· | |
| - Midland Hwy/Swan St Drainage (McShane property) | \$ 41,822.00 | | | | | | | | | | · ···· | |
| Campania | | | | | | | | | | | · ···· | |
| - Estate Road - School Farm (Easement) | \$ 10,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands | • .0,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - Barrack Street (towards Mason Street) | \$ 10,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - High St/Wellington Street Junction | \$ 5,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Waste Management | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wheelie Bins & Crates | \$ 5,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands WTS - General Imp's - incl. Concrete Pad(s) | \$ 25,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dysart WTS - General Imp's | \$ 15,000.00 | | · | | | | | | | | - | |
| · · · | φ 10,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Heritage Cellington Mill (Acast Renewale) | E 00.000.00 | | · | | | | | | | | | |
| Callington Mill (Asset Renewals) | \$ 20,000.00 | | | · | | | | | | | | |
| Callington Mill (Mill Tower - Fire Detection & Exit Lighting) | \$ 6,500.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands Court House (Stabilisation & Gaol Cell) | \$ 8,000.00 | | | | | | | | . | | | |
| Oatlands Gaol - Wing wall Completion | \$ 11,062.00 | ┛ | | | | | | | | | · ··· | |
| Oatlands Gaol - Aluminium Steps (Temporary) | \$ 3,500.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Roche Hall - Forecourt (Interps - Planning Condition of Approval) Kempton Watch House (Internal Fit out) | \$ 36,000.00 | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Heritage Collections Store (Scoping Project) | \$ 4,000.00 \$ 10,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | · | |
| | \$ 10,000.00 \$ 6,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands Commissariat (79 High Street - Boundary Fence) | φ 0,000.00 | . | | | | . | | | | | | |
| Natural | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campania - Bush Reserve - Walking / Riding Path | \$ 105,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chauncy Vale Sanctuary - Caves Loop Track Completion | \$ 21,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chauncy Vale Sanctuary Bridge | \$ 55,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Dulverton Walkway (Section 1) | \$ 135,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Dulverton Walkway (Section 2) | \$ 85,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mahers Point - Landscape Plan | \$ 22,404.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Administration | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kempton Council Chambers - Chambers (restoration works) | \$ 5,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kempton Council Chambers - Clock (restoration work) | \$ 20,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kempton Council Chambers - Office Furniture & Equipment | \$ 5,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Health &Wellbeing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Bottle Refill Stations | \$ 7,980.00 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Kempton Community Health Facility | \$ 175,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Animals | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Dog Pound (s) | \$ 35,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recreation Committee | \$ 20,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campania - Public Open Space Dev (Scaife subdivision) | \$ 23,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campania - Public Open Space Dev (Scalle Subulyision) Campania - Public Open Space Dev (Play Equip Alexander Circle) | \$ 16,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campania - Public Open Space Dev (Play Equip Alexander Circle) Campania - Rec Ground - Internal Toilet Improvements | \$ 40,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campania - Rec Ground - Internal Foliet Improvements Campania - Rec Ground - Nets | \$ 45,000.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |

PUBLIC COPY



| Capital Wor | ks Program 2020/202 | 1 Projec | ted Tin | nelines | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------|---------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | Total Project 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Fet |
| Colebrook - Colebrook Hall - Heating Upgrade (6 Units x \$4K) | \$ 24,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Colebrook - Recreation Ground (replace Pitch Cover) | \$ 4,500.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Kempton - Rec Ground - Roof Structure (entry to Clubrooms) | \$ 15,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Kempton - Rec Ground - Lighting | \$ 16,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Mangalore - Recreation Ground (additional allocation) | \$ 15,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Mangalore - Hall (Replace Gutters and Roofing) | \$ 18,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Mt Pleasant - Rec Ground (upgrade Toilets - includes stabilisation) | \$ 48,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands - Oatlands Community Hall - Repointing & Crack Repairs | \$ 15,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands - Callington Park - Playground | \$ 500,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands - Callington Park (revegetation and watering system) | \$ - | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands Aquatic Centre (C/W Election Commitment) | \$ 500,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands Aquatic Centre - Grant Funding | \$ - | | | | | | | | |
| Parattah - Rec Ground - External Toilet (linked to Walkway) | \$ 12,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Runnymede Recreation Ground - Resurfacing & Watering System | \$ 25,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Tunbridge - Tunbridge Park - Perimeter Fence (Safety) | \$ - | | | | | | | | |
| Access | | | | | | | | | |
| All Buildings (Priority Approach - Year 5 of 5) | \$ 50,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Community | | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands Structure Plan | \$ 25,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Midlands Memorial Community Centre - Double glazed windows | \$ 40,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Levendale Community Centre | | | | | | | | | |
| - Building 1 (Classrooms & Office) | \$ 10,360.00 | | | | | | | | |
| - Building 2 (Classrooms) | \$ 12,340.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Toilet Block, Timber Storage Shed, Tin Storage Shed | \$ 7,690.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Administration Buildings | | | | | | | | | |
| Council Chambers, Oatlands (Memorials - Forecourt) | \$ 4,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Council Chambers, Oatlands (Int Toilets / Records Storage - Upgrade) | \$ 100,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Council Chambers, Oatlands (Floor Coverings - Works Office) | \$ 5,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Council Chambers - Damp Issues & Stonemasonry | \$ 15,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Depot Buildings | | | | | | | | | |
| Kempton - Depot - Property Purchase | \$ 45,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Kempton - Depot - Internal Building Improvements | \$ 15,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Kempton - Depot - Employee PPE Storage Lockers | \$ 2,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Oatlands - Depot - Solar Panels (refer Submission) | \$ 16,000.00 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0 | | | | |
| Legend | | | | | 0 | | | | |
| XXXXXXXXXXXXXX | Planned or WIP | | | | | | | | |
| XXXXXXXXXXXXX | Completed | | | | | | | | |



PUBLIC COPY

13. OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – GROWTH)

13.1 Residential

Strategic Plan Reference 2.1

Increase the resident, rate-paying population in the municipality.

Nil.

13.2 Tourism

Strategic Plan Reference 2.2 Increase the number of tourists visiting and spending money in the municipality.

Nil.

13.3 Business

Strategic Plan Reference 2.3

Increase the number and diversity of businesses in the Southern Midlands / Increase employment within the municipality / Increase Council revenue to facilitate business and development activities (social enterprise).

Nil.

13.4 Industry

Strategic Plan Reference 2.4

Retain and enhance the development of the rural sector as a key economic driver in the Southern Midlands / Increase access to irrigation water within the municipality.

Nil.

14. OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – LANDSCAPES)

14.1 Heritage

Strategic Plan Reference 3.1

Maintenance and restoration of significant public heritage assets / Act as an advocate for heritage and provide support to heritage property owners / Investigate document, understand and promote the heritage values of the Southern Midlands.

14.1.1 HERITAGE PROJECT PROGRAM REPORT

Author: MANAGER HERITAGE PROJECTS (BRAD WILLIAMS)

Date: 21 OCTOBER 2020

ISSUE

Report from the Manager, Heritage Projects on various Southern Midlands Heritage Projects.

DETAIL

During the past month, Southern Midlands Council Heritage Projects have included:

- Social media since the last update in August 779 people like the Southern Midlands Heritage and Collections page with 840 people following the page. This has been an increase of 43 new people since August. And we are averaging 10 posts by the team per month. Post content has continued with the gradual release of the annotated Weeding Letters, historic wallpaper, local history, lost & rare convict records plus some new additions on Archaeological artefacts & choo-choo Tuesdays featuring historic railways information.
- Further refinement of the event plan for the Macquarie visit 200-year anniversary. The team have drafted an events program which will be refined with neighbouring council's programs in early November. Out theme will be 'Enlighten' aimed at physical lighting of events and sites, as well as enlightening the community as to the deep cultural meaning of the event. Further information will be provided in a forthcoming Weekly Information Bulletin.
- Created an online inventory for all heritage buildings (non-collection items) & started the process of adding items.
- Wind-up of the Hunter Island Press exhibition in the Oatlands Supreme Court House. Some statistics on visitation and success of the exhibition will be provided to the next Council meeting.
- Support to the Arts Advisory Committee.
- An audit of the Artist in Residence collection and preparing works for framing.

- Please also refer to several other relevant reports in this agenda which have included input from the heritage projects team.
- Deborah Baldwin and Brad Williams presented to a Council workshop on the subjects of heritage collections, Roche Hall and Town Hall conservation planning and the Oatlands pool demolition and site rehabilitation.
- Continued volunteer input in heritage collection management.
- A noted increase in development application activity relating to heritage places.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the Heritage Projects Report be received and the information noted.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | |

14.1.2 PROPOSED USE OF 79 HIGH STREET OATLANDS FOR THE ARTIST IN RESIDENCE PROGRAM AND EXPRESSION OF INTEREST PROCESS FOR OTHER POSSIBLE USES

Author: MANAGER HERITAGE PROJECTS (BRAD WILLIAMS)

Date: 28 OCTOBER 2020

Enclosure:

Excerpt from grant deed for the Oatlands Commissariat and 79 High Street restoration

ISSUE

To provide Council with additional information in consideration of the use of 79 High Street Oatlands as the base for the Artist in Residence Program.

BACKGROUND

The Heritage Hub Social Enterprise initiative

At Council's August 2020 meeting, it was resolved that the Heritage Hub initiative be closed and that any associated responsibilities of Council's Heritage Hub Committee be taken-up by the Arts Advisory Committee.

The Artist in Residence Program

The Artist in Residence program (AiR) has been operating from the Oatlands Gaoler's Residence since 2011. An AiR Policy was endorsed by Council in June 2017 which provided guidelines for the operation of the program.

Currently, artists stay in an upstairs room of the Gaoler's Residence and utilise exhibition space either in that building, and/or the Supreme Court House.

Around 20 artists have undertaken residencies under the program, and under the terms of the policy Council has acquired a number of artworks which are managed as part of the SMC Heritage Collection (the estimated value of this collection is around \$10,000). Numerous free public exhibitions have been staged, and there are 5 upcoming residencies planned. Overall, the program is considered successful in meeting the aims of the policy, highlighting the inspiration available in the region and giving artists an opportunity to raise their profile and engage the community. Further, the program build Council's arts collection for public display (or possibly for loan through the Lower Midlands Arts Loan initiative).

The proposal to install the Artist in Residence program in 79 High Street is considered to have the following advantages as reported to Council's October meeting:

- Artists may occupy the building for a maximum of one month, rent free.

- One mid room may be used for residential purposes, the other mid room for a workspace, the front room for exhibits plus the use of the kitchen and bathroom facilities.
- The artists must open the building freely to the public for at least 3 days per week during their stay and hold at least one free public event (advertised in collaboration with the Heritage Projects Program).
- The artist reserves all rights to profit, but must manage their own stock/sales/books etc.
- The artist will donate a work to Council.
- The artist will acknowledge the contribution of Council in any publicity.

This scheme is seen to have the following advantages:

- It provides the artists with dedicated living, working and exhibition space rather than shared office/workspace with Council staff in the Gaoler's Residence. Whilst no issues have arisen from such, the desire to provide artists with their own space is high.
- It provides dedicated High Street frontage for the artist to exhibit and sell (managing their own stock/sales independently of Council). This is expected to make the program much more desirable.
- Currently artist workspaces are not publicly accessible this would give the artist the ability to engage with the public as they work.
- The inclusion of previous Heritage Hub exhibitors is not precluded they could apply to be an 'Artist in Residence'.

At the August 2020 Council meeting, the following resolution was made:

- a) Council resolves to close the Heritage Hub Social Enterprise;
- b) Council resolves to disband the Southern Midlands Council Heritage Hub Committee and its activities to be undertaken by Council's Arts Advisory Committee;
- c) The Artist in Residence Policy be amended by the Arts Advisory Committee and tabled at the next Council meeting; and
- d) A detailed report on financial implications and ongoing operational costs associated with the Artist in Residence Program utilising 79 High Street be presented at the next Council meeting.

A report went to Council's September meeting further to (c) and (d) of that resolution. The tenor of that report included the following:

- A proposed revision of the Artist in Residence policy to reflect the use of 79 High Street should Council resolve to do so. It is not considered appropriate to formally amend the policy until such time as the 79 High Street matter is resolved.
- A financial analysis of the scenario of the Artist in Residence program being installed in the building, versus a commercial rent, which shows an approximate break-even outcome.
- A works program to make the building usable for the AiR Program, which fits within existing budgets.
- An analysis of the terms of the grant deed which require any proposed use different to that approved in the deed be reported to the funding body (at least 20 days prior

to that use commencing), who may issue an invoice for repayment of funds if they consider that use not in accordance with the project funded. The excerpt from the deed is provided here at ATTACHMENT A (and as previously provided to Council).

At that meeting, a member of the public made a representation to Council for the possibility of a private commercial leasehold of the building.

It was resolved at that meeting that:

- a) This item be deferred subject to advice from Arts Advisory Committee, with a report to be submitted to the October Council meeting; and
- b) That a revised Artist in Residence Policy be brought to the November Council meeting for consideration, as per recommendations by the Arts Advisory Committee.

Since that meeting, another submission has been received for a possible private leasehold of the building.

DETAIL

The information and Council's September meeting resolution were provided to Council's Arts Advisory Committee meeting on the 15th October 2020. Although that meeting did not have a quorum (therefore a formal resolution could not be reached), the members of the committee present concluded that:

Meeting attendees accepted the information and agreed that the following recommendation be made to Council:

The committee remains supportive of the possibility of the use of 79 High Street for the Artist in Residence program, however, feel it equitable that an open process to seek other prospective uses is necessary to consider the future of the building. The committee recommends that Council now consider publicising an Expression of Interest seeking further submissions of use for 79 High Street Oatlands from the broader community to be considered in conjunction with the existing three submissions previously received.

Should Council resolve to seek Expressions of Interest, in an effort to open the building for use as soon as possible, the following guidelines were proposed:

- The Arts Advisory Committee recommendation for establishing and Expression of Interest to be considered at the October Council meeting
- The development of the Expression of Interest to be managed by the Manager Heritage Projects and to include specific selection criteria addressing the requirements of the Federal funding body. The EoI to be prepared and ready for immediate publication.
- The Expression of Interest is to be promoted broadly through the upcoming Southern Midlands Council Ratepayers' Newsletter, the next Southern Midlands News publication, via Council and Community Social Media platforms

and, the 97.1 FM Community Radio Station. Promotion to commence as soon as practicable after endorsement of Council

- A two-week timeline for receiving submissions to be adopted
- Collation of the submissions to be undertaken promptly to assure a summary is available for Council's consideration at the December Council meeting.

Discussion between Council officers since the meeting has also suggested that the previous expression of interest documentation for use of the commissariat oven be included in this process – which may attract a wider range of prospective building users.

If Council resolves to seek expressions of interest, it is proposed that the use of the oven be included as an 'option' that might be explored with the use of 79 High Street.

It is to be noted that the proposed use for Artist in Residence will be one proposal that is to be considered alongside any other expressions of interest received.

It is also to be noted that until the use of 79 High Street is resolved, the Artist in Residence policy is not proposed to be amended (review is due in 2022).

Human Resources and Financial Implications - the expression of interest process may result in a financial return to Council in terms of commercial rent. It is proposed that the guidelines for that process include prospective proponents to nominate a rental figure they would be willing to pay.

Depending on the funding body's consideration of any proposed use of the building, grant funds may need to be repaid (see Enclosure A).

Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications - the open expression of interest process will allow community input into the possibility of different uses for the building and openly 'test the market' for a range of uses.

Policy Implications - should Council resolve to commence an expression of interest process, the outcomes of that process will need to be considered against the following policies:

- Oatlands Commissariat and 79 High Street Master Plan
- Southern Midlands Council Arts Strategy.
- The Artist in Residence Policy
- 79 High Street and Oatlands Commissariat Use Policy

In addition, notification of any proposed change of use (i.e. from the former Heritage Hub structure) will need to be reported to the funding body at least 20 days prior to the commencement of that use for consideration as to whether that proposal is consistent with the intent of the funding. It must be noted that any use which is substantially different to the intended use (i.e. Council facilitating a heritage/arts/cultural operation that has economic development advantages) may require repayment of grant monies.

Priority – Implementation Timeframe - should Council resolve to commence the expression of interest process, documentation will be prepared and advertised by 30/10/20. It is proposed that there be a two-week period for expressions of interest so as to get a resolution as soon as possible so that summer trade by any occupant is not missed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

- a) Council resolves to commence an expression of interest process for the use of 79 High Street. That process is to be open for two weeks, with submissions for proposed uses to be brought to the November Council meeting for consideration; and
- b) That the Artist in Residence proposal be considered alongside any submissions arising from the expression of interest process.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | |

ENCLOSURE(S) Agenda Item 14.1.2

Excerpt from grant deed for the Oatlands Commissariat and 79 High Street restoration.

E2 Real Property

- **E.2.1.** The Real Property includes the Restoration and Fitout of The Integrated Heritage Skills Hub, Oatlands.
- **E.2.5.** The Recipient must use the Real Property created, acquired, or upgraded under this Agreement for the Purpose set out in item A.3 of the Schedule for the duration of the Operational Period.

10.4. Recipient's Use of Real Property

- **10.4.1.** The Recipient agrees to ensure that:
 - a. the Real Property is used for, and is fit to be used for, the Purpose specified in item A.3 of the Schedule throughout the Operational Period
- **10.4.2**. Throughout the Operational Period, the Recipient must use the Real Property for the Purpose specified in item A.3 of the Schedule.

A.3. Purpose

The Purpose for which the completed Project is required to be used:

Reinvigorating a currently redundant suite of buildings in a prime commercial location to provide a venue for the Heritage Education and Skills Centre and other project partners and community heritage organisations to base business activities which seek to gain economic advantage from heritage training and commercial opportunities in the region as well as regenerating a currently redundant complex of buildings in a prime commercial location.

10.6. Dealing with Real Property

10.6.1. If:

c. the Recipient Disposes of any Real Property during the Term of this Agreement; or

d. the Recipient fails to or ceases to use any Real Property for the Purpose specified in item A.3 of the Schedule at any time during the Operational Period

then:

- e. the Recipient must notify the Commonwealth at least 20 Business Days prior to the event in paragraph (b), (c) or (d) occurring; and
- f. the Commonwealth may give the Recipient a notice requiring the Recipient to repay the Commonwealth some or all of the Funding provided under this Agreement and the Recipient must repay the

amount specified in any such notice within the period specified in that notice.

Note that the definitions of the grant deed of 'disposal' includes to sell, licence, lease or sublease, or otherwise transfer or give up ownership or the right to occupy or use, or to enter into an agreement to do any of the preceding acts.

A.4. Operational Period

The Operational Period commences on the date the Commonwealth accepts the Project Completion Report, to the Commonwealth's satisfaction. The duration of the Operational Period is dependent on the amount of Funding provided by the Commonwealth under this Agreement. The thresholds are set out in the table below:

| Amount of | Duration of Operational |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Funding | Period |
| \$250,001 to \$1,000,000 | Three (3) years |

During the Operational Period, the Recipient must, if requested by the Commonwealth to do so, promptly provide evidence satisfactory to the Commonwealth that the Project is Operational.

[Note that the date of acceptance of the Project Completion Report was 11/12/19 – meaning that the 'Operational Period' where the 'Recipient' (i.e. Council) must use the property for the purpose set out in item A.3 of the grant deed until at least 11/12/22].

14.2 Natural

Strategic Plan Reference 3.2

Identify and protect areas that are of high conservation value / Encourage the adoption of best practice land care techniques.

14.2.1 NRM UNIT – GENERAL REPORT

- Author: NRM PROGRAMS MANAGER (MARIA WEEDING)
- **Date:** 20 OCTOBER 2020

ISSUE:

Southern Midlands Landcare Unit Monthly Report.

DETAIL

- Mt Pleasant Recreation Ground Club Rooms toilet block extension. Construction works are progressing well. The building is now water tight. Blockwork is being placed for the outer walls. Internal lining all done. Plastering and tiling next interior jobs to be completed. On track to be all finished by early December 2020.
- Callington Park playground upgrade works and surrounding ground underground watering system works are progressing. The playground area was completed in time for the school holidays. It is planned that the actual playground be made accessible via the path from Barrack Street car park. The balance of the site will remain off limits for the public while the new turf area establishes. A proposed opening date for the site has been rescheduled out by one month to now be Monday, 14th December 2020. This is due to the wet weather making it not possible to sow the grasses for the turf re-establishment. The deadline for the project completion has been extended to the end of February 2021.
- Assistance has been provided to compile a grant application for the Mt Pleasant Recreation Ground – players toilet and shower facilities upgrade. The grant is being submitted by the Mt Pleasant Football Club Committee with the support of Council. The grant is seeking \$240,014.00.
- Maria Weeding, Helen Geard and Acting General Manager Andrew Benson met with the local Police Officer to consider surveillance cameras being installed at Callington Park. There has been a few incidents (minor) at the site to date. Council will be requested to look at adopting a policy position on a CCTV Program. Refer agenda item 16.1.2 for draft CCTV policy. To date surveillance has been requested by a number of people (parents and grandparents) using the playground with young children. The main driver from these requests appears to be for a feeling of safety and well being for the users of the park. Surveillance is now quite common for these types of public park / playground areas in many places.
- A meeting was held with the Kempton Streetscape Committee. Works on the Victoria Memorial Hall were discussed and other forward works relating to the budget were noted. See minutes.
- It is noted that Council's Weeds Officer has recently tendered her resignation. Jen has found that with other business and personal commitments currently in her life she is no longer able to afford the time for the work required. A short term

replacement for Jen has been subsequently approached from Council's Casual Employment register. This person will be required to fulfil the obligations that we have with a current grant and provide some continuity to the weeds program in this busy time of the year. Jen will stay with Council until Wednesday, 4th November 2020.

WEEDS REPORT

The Weeds Officer Jen Milne has provided the following report for the month ending 20th October 2020.

Site visits and roadside weed control

- Paterson's curse removal Lower Marshes
- Removal of banana passionfruit in Campania reserve.
- New Spanish heath roadside site in Campania (mapped and scheduled for control).
- Mapping of crown land road casement with gorse and broom issues impacting landowners. Starting with Oatlands township.

Projects

- Brighton resource sharing discussion about continuance of arrangement. Site visits and weed notifications sent as required.
- Drought Weed project Funding still available for farmers for undertaking eligible weed management. Applications will be considered on a case by case basis until funding is expended.
- Development applications advice where weed issues present.

Communication

- Letters advising landowners of new WAF small grants (state-wide grants run by NRM North). Along with the still available Council Drought Weeds Grant.
- Information for November Council Newsletter biosecurity, boneseed alert and grant funding available.
- Crown Land property services to arrange works of road casement (isolated gorse patch surrounded by areas free of the weed).
- Discussions regarding private properties and their management of Paterson' curse. Weed notifications issued as required.
- Letter of support for a Nasella project coordinated by NRM South (potential to support landowners in the Coal River Valley with Chilean needle grass).

Enquiries

- Drought weed grant enquires
- State Growth, Mud Walls Road and ongoing management of gorse adjacent to roadside.
- Reporting of weeds Paterson's curse.

This will be my last report as the Weeds Officer for SMC. It has been great to work with a council that is proactive and committed to long term weed management. Thank you for the support and best of luck in the future.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the Landcare Unit Report be received and the information noted.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | |

14.3 Cultural

Strategic Plan Reference 3.3

Ensure that the cultural diversity of the Southern Midlands is maximised.

Nil.

14.4 Regulatory (Development)

Strategic Plan Reference 3.4 *A regulatory environment that is supportive of and enables appropriate development.*

Nil.

14.5 Regulatory (Public Health)

Strategic Plan Reference 3.5

Monitor and maintain a safe and healthy public environment.

Nil.

14.6 Regulatory (Animals)

Strategic Plan Reference 3.6

Create an environment where animals are treated with respect and do not create a nuisance for the community

Nil.

14.7 Environmental Sustainability

Strategic Plan Reference 3.7

Implement strategies to address the issue of environmental sustainability in relation to its impact on Councils corporate functions and on the Community.

14.7.1 UPDATED CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN

Author: SPECIAL PROJECTS OFFICER (GRAHAM GREEN)

Date: 19 OCTOBER 2020

Enclosure(s):

SMC Climate Change Action Plan 2020

ISSUE

Southern Midlands Council's Climate Change Action Plan was first drafted over ten years ago. The Plan required reviewing and updating due to technology advances and new opportunities for energy efficiency projects implementable at the municipal and sub-regional level. This Plan compliments Councils other climate change document - the Adaptation Plan endorsed by Council in March 2020. The Adaptation Plan detailed measures council can take to minimise the risks associated with climate change and extreme events on council assets and the community.

The Climate Change Action Plan details measures that may be taken to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. All actions taken that successfully reduce emission of greenhouse gases count in the shared effort that is required to reduce the impact of climate change. As a relatively large organisation, council has a significant responsibility and the capacity to make a difference.

Council can work in a number of ways to reduce its emissions of greenhouse gases and in doing so joins with the momentum for change to new technologies that is gathering pace across our community and globally. Investment in emissions reduction projects also has financial benefits with payback of upfront costs over time as efficiencies are realised.

Aside from the benefits of acting to reduce emissions, council has a leadership role to play through its interactions with the local community, Taking action is necessary because climate change poses serious consequences for the economic, social and environmental sustainability of the region.

Council's commitment to contributing action on climate change is part of the Strategic Plan 2020-2029:

'3.5.1 Implement strategies to address the issue of climate change in relation to its impact on Council's corporate functions and on the Community.'

The Climate Change Action Plan guides Council's ongoing approach to climate change mitigation, the primary goal of which is to:

- continue efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions over which council has control;
- assist the community to reduce emissions where possible, i.e. play a leadership role; and

• collaborate on broader scale initiatives with regional stakeholders through involvement with the Regional Climate Change Initiative.

Endorsement of the revised Adaptation Plan is now sought.

BACKGROUND

Southern Midlands Council's Climate Change Action Plan was originally produced to identify energy efficiency projects within Councils scope of influence and capacity with a view to reducing greenhouse gas emissions that Council is responsible for. The original Climate Change Action Plan was endorsed by Council over 10 years ago and since then the following actions have been achieved:

- energy auditing monitoring electricity and fuel usage in order to assess where improvements may be made;
- adoption of an energy efficient vehicle policy;
- retrofitting the Oatlands Town Hall to improve energy efficiency;
- lighting upgrades to improve efficiency;
- installation of a solar photovoltaic system at the Kempton offices;
- natural resource management e.g. tree planting (for capture and storage of carbon in trees) and regenerative agriculture (to promote capture and storage of carbon in soils); and
- community programs such as energy efficiency advice and seminars.

The review of the Climate Change Action Plan has recognised the emissions reduction achievements to date and that it is definitely worth continuing the effort.

DETAIL

The updated Southern Midlands Council Climate Change Action Plan 2020 has three areas of focus:

- 1. energy efficiency projects inclusive of solar and electric/hybrid vehicle upgrades;
- 2. land care projects inclusive of tree planting and landscape protection options; and
- 3. waste management projects that are related to emission reduction.

For all proposed actions there has been an attempt to identify: resourcing; emission reduction benefit; cost benefit; community leadership benefit; and timeframe.

In the updated plan there has been a shift in focus away from choosing fuel efficient vehicles for Council's fleet to adoption of an electric vehicle and an electric vehicle charging station. This is in recognition that:

- the technology of electric vehicles and chargers has improved;
- the range of electric vehicles has improved to the point that they are a viable option in more remote locations such as the Midlands; and
- this is where the future of transport lies.

In terms of land care, proposed actions are similar to those in the original Plan, however there is a suggestion that there could be a more active focus for tree planting projects on land owned by Council. The revised Plan has a more detailed focus on waste management and ways in which operations can be improved to reduce emissions. It compliments actions proposed in Council's recently updated Waste Management Strategy. The Plan also encourages policy development in the area of 'procurement' and suggests there should be consideration of using more materials that are recycled, or part recycled. This may include use of crushed glass or crushed construction waste in road base, or procurement of products made from recycled plastics or wood-plastic composites.

Human Resources & Financial Implications

Human resources - Implementation of the Climate Change Action Plan will be coordinated by the Special Projects Officer as part of current duties. Involvement of other staff and elected members is envisaged in planning to determine priority actions, timeframes, budgets and implementation.

Financial implications - Investment in emissions reduction projects has financial benefits with payback of upfront costs over time as efficiencies are realised. It is recognised that investment in renewable energy and emissions reduction projects has benefits that outweigh the upfront costs. That is, new technology saves in ongoing running costs of vehicles and infrastructure. Cost benefit analyses are easily undertaken so that upfront costs may be weighed up against ongoing return and 'payback periods'.

Public Relations Implications - the anticipated public relations implication of each of the proposed actions in the Plan is listed as part of the action. Promoting energy efficiency work is an important aspect of the leadership role that Council is playing in the energy efficiency and emissions reduction effort.

Website implications - There is potential for identified emissions reduction projects and associated benefits to be publicised on Council's website.

Policy Implications - Council's commitment to contributing action on climate change is part of the Strategic Plan 2020-2029: '3.5.1 Implement strategies to address the issue of climate change in relation to its impact on Council's corporate functions and on the Community.'

Linkage with the Strategic Plan occurs across multiple Council business areas, in summary the following:

INFRASTRUCTURE 1.1 ROADS 1.4 LIGHTING LANDSCAPES 3.2 NATURAL 3.5 CLIMATE CHANGE

4. LIFESTYLE

4.1 COMMUNITY HEALTH & WELLBEING

4.7 PUBLIC HEALTH

6. ORGANISATION

6.2 SUSTAINABILITY

Implementation Timeframe - Proposed implementation of the Climate Change Action Plan is proposed through a Council workshop in April-May of each year. The aim of the workshop will be to:

- review energy efficiency actions and achievements of the current financial year;
- discuss priorities and costed proposals for the upcoming financial year across all fields i.e. energy efficiency upgrades, electric vehicle(s), land care initiatives, waste management projects and policy;
- discuss ways of funding and progressing identified project priorities; and
- undertake minor revision of the Climate Change Action Plan in light of achievements and review of priorities.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council receive and endorse the updated Southern Midlands Council Climate Change Action Plan 2020.

| DECISION | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST | | | |
| Mayor A O Green | | | | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | | | | |
| CIr A Bantick | | | | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | | | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | | | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | | | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | | | | |

ENCLOSURE(S) Agenda Item 14.7.1



Climate Change Action Plan 2020

Southern Midlands Council's contribution to climate change mitigation



Southern Midlands Council Climate Change Action Plan

Revised version, September 2020

Author: Graham Green

Endorsed by Council on _____

Enquiries regarding this document to Graham Green: ggreen@southernmidlands.tas.gov.au

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 1 | INTRODUCTION | |
|--------------|---|---|
| $1.1 \\ 1.2$ | Climate change trends Background - Energy Efficiency Actions 2008-19 | |
| 2.0 1 | POLICY CONTEXT | |
| 2.1 | ALIONMENT WITH COUNCIL'S STRATEGIC PLAN | 9 |
| 3.0 1 | REGIONAL ENERGY USE TRENDS | |
| 4.0 1 | ENERGY EFFICIENCY | |
| 4.1 | INTRODUCTION OF HYBRID OR ELECTRIC VEHICLES TO COUNCIL'S FLEET | |
| 4.2 | | |
| 4.3 | COMMUNITY ENERGY EFFICIENCY ACTIVITIES | |
| 4.4 | REGIONAL COLLABORATION | |
| 5.0 1 | LAND CARE | |
| 5.1 | TREES, LANDSCAPE & CARBON | |
| 5.2 | FARMING COMMUNITY PROGRAMS | |
| 5.3 | POLICY ON COAL MINES AND FRACKING | |
| 6.0 1 | WASTE MANAGEMENT | |
| 6.1 | WASTE LOGISTICS | |
| 6.2 | ORGANIC MATERIALS | |
| 6.3 | PROCUREMENT & NEW INFRASTRUCTURE | |
| (| 6.3.1 Utilising recycled products | |
| 7.0 1 | IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS PLAN | |

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020 3

1.0 Introduction

All actions taken that successfully reduce emission of greenhouse gases count in the shared effort that is required to reduce the impact of climate change. As a relatively large organisation, council has a significant responsibility and the capacity to make a difference.

Council can work in a number of ways to reduce its emissions of greenhouse gases and in doing so joins with the momentum for change to new technologies that is gathering pace across our community and globally. Investment in emissions reduction projects also has financial benefits with payback of upfront costs over time as efficiencies are realised.

Aside from the benefits of acting to reduce emissions, council has a leadership role to play through its interactions with the local community, Taking action is necessary because climate change poses serious consequences for the economic, social and environmental sustainability of the region.

Council's commitment to contributing action on climate change is part of the Strategic Plan 2018-2027:

*3.5.1 Implement strategies to address the issue of climate change in relation to its impact on Council's corporate functions and on the Community.'

Two approaches to managing climate change are being taken by council:

i) Adaptation – measures taken to minimising the risk and the emerging impacts associated with climate change on council assets and the community, including consideration of the longer term implications of planning decisions.

Council's approach to climate change adaptation is covered in the Southern Midlands Council Corporate Climate Change Adaptation Plan 2020.

 Mitigation – measures taken to reduce greenhouse gas emissions as part of the acrossthe-board effort required to stabilise (and reduce) atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases. The ultimate aim of mitigation is to reduce the severity of the emerging impacts of climate change.

This document guides Council's ongoing approach to climate change mitigation, the primary goal of which is to:

- continue efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions over which council has control;
- · assist the community to reduce emissions where possible, i.e. play a leadership role; and
- collaborate on broader scale initiatives with regional stakeholders through involvement with the Regional Climate Change Initiative.

Continuing to work on climate change mitigation builds upon work council has already undertaken, for example:

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

- energy auditing monitoring electricity and fuel usage in order to assess where improvements may be made;
- adoption of an energy efficient vehicle policy;
- retrofitting the Oatlands Town Hall to improve energy efficiency;
- lighting upgrades to improve efficiency;
- installation of a solar photovoltaic system at the Kempton offices;
- natural resource management e.g. tree planting (for capture and storage of carbon in trees) and regenerative agriculture (to promote capture and storage of carbon in soils); and
- community programs such as energy efficiency advice and seminars.

1.1 Climate change trends

The climate in Tasmania's Midlands is changing which is consistent with the broader trend of rising temperatures, more frequent and severe bushfires, heatwaves, drought, extreme weather events, and changing rainfall patterns. Local evidence of climate change is borne out in both the long-term meteorological data (collected at sites such as Oatlands since 1882) and anecdotes from those who have lived in the region for most of their lives.

In terms of potential ongoing trends in climate change, Tasmania has high resolution climate modelling data from the Climate Futures for Tasmania program to draw upon. The details of how climate change is expected to play out in the Midlands is provided in detail in the Southern Midlands Council Climate Change Profile (updated and released in 2020) and viewable at: https://www.southernmidlands.tas.gov.au/climate-change-and-energy-efficiency/ In summary, for the period 2080-2100 we can expect:

- hot days (greater than 30°C) to increase from 11 currently to more than 30;
- average annual temperature to increase by 3.4°C;
- significant reduction in the number of frosts (85% fewer);
- longer heat waves;
- higher frequency of & greater intensity of bushfires (Forest Fire Danger Index to increase by 55%); and
- heavier rainfall events interspersed with longer dry spells declining average annual rainfall in the Midlands (minus 7.5% by 2080).

The following implications of these changes are possible if not likely:

Public Health

- Mental health issues related to: the stress of extreme events (e.g. bushfire, drought, and flood); environmental change (e.g. tree loss, new weed invasions); and crop failure/loss and associated pressures on revenue.
- Direct impact of heat waves and bushfire smoke, particularly on the elderly or those with existing chronic health conditions.

Environment

• Some species will be pushed to the limits of their tolerances by heat, drought and fire resulting in, for example, tree dieback and local species loss.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

- New species of invasive weeds and pathogens are likely to be favoured by the emerging . conditions, particularly reduced frosts and rising average temperature.
- Potential soil loss due to: long dry spells associated with wind; extreme rainfall events; . and exacerbated stream-bank erosion from heavy rainfall and flood flows.

Infrastructure

- Extreme rainfall and flood events are likely to increase impact on, and damage to, roads and bridges.
- Longer fire season, and more frequent conditions favouring wildfire, may result in . increasing frequency of damage to council and community infrastructure.

1.2 Background - Energy Efficiency Actions 2008-19

Southern Midlands Council has been working proactively with energy efficiency since 2008. Achievements to date are provided in the table below:

| ACTION | PROGRESS | RESOURCING | COLLABORAT- ORS | TIMEFRAME |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Vehicle emissions reduction - by implementation of and adherence to Council's vehicle purchasing policy. | Policy adopted | Internal | Nil | Current & ongoing |
| Energy usage tracking (electricity and fuel) to gauge performance, build upon the database of energy consumption, to track trends, and to establish appropriate and cost-effective ways to reduce energy consumption. | Quarterly reporting and review | Internal | Planet Footprint until 2014 | 2008-2014 Currently ceased |
| Energy audit of Council premises Conduct an audit of Council Chambers at both Kempton and Oatlands to define specific areas in which inefficiencies occur and to define actions to address the inefficiencies. | Completed | Climate Connect Grant | Dr John Todd | Completed 2011 |

Council's energy efficiency actions 2008-2019

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

| ACTION | PROGRESS | RESOURCING | COLLABORAT- ORS | TIMEFRAME |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Energy efficiency upgrade to Town Hall Oatlands - based upon outcomes of energy audits, for Oatlands Town Hall for example: | | | | |
| Replacement of inefficient heaters. | | | | |
| Rebuild front foyer – draft proof & insulate. | | | | |
| • Public access point 2 - side entry foyer - air- lock installed with new doors. | | | | |
| Double-glazed poly glass ceiling built & installed in the vaulted ceiling of the Works & Technical Services Office. | | | | |
| Air leaks in all opening window sashes of Town Hall sealed. All fireplaces sealed. | | | | |
| Roof of Works Office removed, R4.1 batts and sarking installed, iron reinstated. | | | | |
| Double-glazed skylight unit built & installed in council's 'print room'. | | | | |
| Perspex units retro-fitted to internal side of windows in offices and meeting rooms - for a 'double-glazed' effect. | | | | |
| Sensor-operated lighting installed at appropriate locations (toilets kitchen). | Completed | Part CEEP Grant | | Completed 2012-13 |
| Hot water service upgrades | | | | |
| Heat pump hot water services installed at Oatlands and Kempton recreation grounds Solar hot water service installed at Campania Recreation Ground | Completed | LGEEP Grant | | Completed 2014 |
| Energy efficient lighting upgrade at the Oatlands offices: | Stage 1 completed | Internal | Nil | 2018 onwards |
| Energy efficiency upgrade to Council offices at Kempton: | | | | |
| 10 kw grid-connected solar photovoltaic system installed. | Completed | Internal | Nil | Completed 2018 |
| LED lighting upgrade stage 1 | Stage 1 completed | Internal | Nil | 2018 onwards |

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

2.0 Policy Context

Reporting

Tasmanian councils currently have no statutory obligation to report on their energy consumption or emissions. Since the Cities for Climate Protection local government reporting scheme ceased to be funded by the Australian Government in 2010, there has been no common standard amongst Australian local governments for corporate and community energy and greenhouse gas reporting.

Recognising this gap, the STCA developed a standardized methodology to support councils in aligning with recognized reporting frameworks. Their document 'Southern Tasmania's Changing Energy Use'1 presents energy usage and greenhouse gas emissions data for each council in Tasmania's southern region for the ten year period up until 2017. The data trend is a useful tool in determining which areas require the most attention in terms of effort to reduce greenhouse gas emissions a summary of the trends and implications for Southern Midlands Council is given in Section 3.0.

Responsibility

Scope is afforded to Tasmanian councils to address climate change under the Local Government Act (Tas) 1993, which describes the role of councils to provide for the health, safety and welfare of the community.² In managing and preparing for the impacts of climate change, councils are best positioned to work with communities due to their:

- core function to directly support and assist local communities; .
- local knowledge and experience; .
- understanding of community needs and vulnerabilities; .
- . key role in responding to emergencies;
- . role in infrastructure design, construction and maintenance;
- role in review and update of planning schemes (in relation to identified local impacts and . threats); and
- ability to effectively disseminate information and provide support to the community. .

Communities expect well informed guidance from their councils in regard to these issues and understand that councils are well placed to prepare for and respond to climate change impacts.

There is also an appreciation that climate change mitigation actions can have benefits (such as improving human well-being and protecting biodiversity) regardless of the magnitude of climate change that occurs.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

¹ Johnson, A. 2019 'Southern Tasmania's Changing Energy Use – Information Paper: regional Greenhouse Gas and Energy Use Trends April 2019' Southern Tasmanian Council's Authorit ² Local Government Act (Tas)1993. Section 20 Function and Powers.

2.1 Alignment with council's Strategic Plan

Council's approach to climate change mitigation has the following alignment with Council's Strategic Plan 2018-2027:

1. INFRASTRUCTURE

1.1 ROADS

1.1.1 Maintenance and improvement of the standard and safety of roads in the municipal area

1.1.1.11 Incorporate the use of recyclable materials (e.g tyres/glass) into road pavements and pathways

1.4 LIGHTING

1.4.1b Contestability of energy supply

1.4.1.3 Adopt new technology as it arises to reduce lifecycle costings; for example energetically pursue the implementation of LED lights for replacement of street lighting

3. LANDSCAPES

3.2 NATURAL

3.2.1 Identify and protect areas that are of high conservation value

3.2.1.4 Facilitate and encourage voluntary native vegetation conservation agreements to conserve & protect high priority native vegetation communities

3.2.1.5 Use a collaborative approach to recognise and protect natural values on private land only where:

(i) the land contains natural values Council has deemed to be of high conservation value at the local

level,

(ii) existing spatial information provides a reasonable level of surety as the presence of those values,

(iii) the values are not already afforded a reasonable degree of protection by higher levels of government, and

(iv) the patch size is sufficiently large to ensure long term environmental sustainability.

3.2.2.1 Actively pursue grant opportunities & projects in relation to preservation of bushland remnants, weed management, vegetation, and regenerative agricultural techniques

3.5 CLIMATE CHANGE

3.5.1 Implement strategies to address the issue of climate change in relation to its impact on Council's corporate functions and on the Community

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020
3.5.1.2 Continue implementation of Council's Climate Change Action Plan

3.5.1.3 Continually improve energy efficiency and assist the Community in energy efficiency initiatives

3.5.1.4 Establish collaborative partnerships with other Councils, key stakeholders and other tiers of government, that strengthen Council's response to climate change

3.5.1.5 Investigate options to potentially develop a Solar Array Panel/bank to generate power to be used at the community level and excess back to the grid

4. LIFESTYLE

4.1 COMMUNITY HEALTH & WELLBEING

4.1.1 Support and improve the independence, health and wellbeing of the community

4.7 PUBLIC HEALTH

4.7.1 Monitor and maintain a safe and healthy public environment

4.7.1.7 Maintain an Emergency Management Plan for the Southern Midlands local government area that will provide safeguards for the health & safety of the Community

6. ORGANISATION

6.2 SUSTAINABILITY

6.2.8 Minimise Council's exposure to risk

6.2.8.1 Continue to refine Council's Risk Management Strategy/Practices and work within the framework of the MAV Insurance risk management model and ISO 31000 - 2009

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

3.0 Regional energy use trends

Councils have an important role in supporting communities to ensure relevant information is available to enable informed decision making. The provision of current and accurate energy and greenhouse data by councils helps it and the community to know where effective action can be taken towards a transition to a future where reducing carbon emissions is an important consideration.

An STCA report³ released in 2019 provided data on energy use and emissions for councils of the southern region for the ten year period ending 2017. Data presented in the report was sourced from accurate metered data obtained from energy service providers. Key messages from the report are as follows:

- Greenhouse gas emissions for southern Tasmania increased by 6% or 147,200 tonnes of carbon dioxide (tCO₂-equivalents) comparing 2016-17 to 2006-07. Most of this increase was due to increased energy use by industry, followed by the forestry sector, the commercial sector and then the residential sector. The only sector to show reduced emissions for the ten year period was the transport sector (-21%). This suggests that factors such as vehicle efficiency improvement and changes in preference for fuel type are contributing to lower emissions.
- Commercial sector electricity use grew by 27% over the ten year period, while residential sector electricity usage grew by 21%. This is largely due to an increase in connections but also due to a shift towards heat pumps (as opposed to heating fuels) as the preferred method of home heating.
- In 2016-17, the municipal sector was responsible for emissions of 2,585,000 tCO₂equivalents, an increase of 6% over ten years. Southern Midlands Council's contribution was 60,600 tCO₂-equivalents, an increase of 7% over the ten year period.
- There are 14,000 more onsite renewable electricity generation systems than there were 10 years ago in southern Tasmania, including solar photovoltaic, micro-wind and hydro. Approximately 40 million kWh of electricity was contributed to the grid from these systems in 2016-17. There are 3,500 solar hot water systems across southern Tasmania.
- Within the Southern Midlands municipal area, 338 renewable energy systems were installed by 2016-17 (approximately 1 in 12 premises, compared to the regional average of 1 in 10 premises).

Coal and diesel fuel use are responsible for the greatest share of emissions across southern Tasmania. Coal and associated products (including coke), are mainly used in the manufacturing sector, principally for the production of cement, lime and plaster. Greenhouse gas emissions from electricity generation are relatively low per capita for Tasmanians as approximately 90% of electricity comes from renewable sources – the remainder is imported to the state from the national grid through Basslink.

³ Johnson, A. 2019 'Southern Tasmania's Changing Energy Use – Information Paper: regional Greenhouse Gas and Energy Use Trends April 2019', Southern Tasmanian Council's Authority

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

Proportions of greenhouse gas emissions for Southern Tasmania by fuel type for 2016-17 were:

- Automotive diesel oil 25%
- Black coal & coke 22%
- Automotive gasoline 15%
- Electricity (from coal & gas) 15%
- Natural gas 13%
- Petroleum products 6%
- LPG 3%
- Aviation turbine fuel 1%

Understanding the greenhouse gas emissions data and emerging trends listed above is an important step gaining perspective and context, and also in understanding what types of actions are required next in order to make a useful contribution to lowering greenhouse gas emissions.

4.0 Energy Efficiency

4.1 Introduction of hybrid or electric vehicles to Council's fleet

Electric or hybrid vehicles

An area of opportunity to reduce daily emissions is through usage of more efficient transport such as hybrid or electric vehicles. The choice of models and the potential efficiency gains is improving, together with greater range for electric vehicles e.g. now greater than 300 km for a Nissan Leaf electric vehicle. There are also options for hybrid/electric trucks which would be a significant fuel saving investment for council to make. Hobart City Council has invested in several electric vehicle hybrid 6. 5 tonne work trucks and hence have experience for Southern Midlands Council to draw upon.

Vehicle recharging

Investment in an electric vehicle requires a means of recharging. Relatively inexpensive domestic or business-scale options are now available that operate through single-phase or three-phase power. An electric vehicle (EV) charging unit could be coupled to solar panels with battery storage system whereby solar energy stored during the day could be used to recharge an electric vehicle overnight. Due to the relatively high cost of battery storage systems, a cost-benefit analysis of the economics of this option would be prudent.

It is also prudent to consider an electric vehicle charging station for the general public, as the use of electric vehicles increases so does the requirement for charging points. Locations such as Oatlands, which is a reasonable distance from Tasmania's major centres, represents a point of potential need for recharging.

It is important that electric vehicle charging points are made more available in Tasmania to support the increasing desire of individuals to reduce emissions and to cut loose from dependence upon fossil fuels. Council can play a leadership role here by assisting with making charging points available.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

For community usage, a 'Mode 4 DC Rapid Charge Unit' would be ideal as these can recharge a vehicle in as little as 30 minutes. This infrastructure is expensive (at least 30K) and requires analysis and planning prior to investment. Grants to support this infrastructure have been available at times from both the Tasmanian Government and Aurora Energy. Rapid charge infrastructure can provide public relations benefits and spin off benefits for the town(s) in which they are located. Travellers with electric vehicles would be encouraged to a site with a 'rapid charger' and there would be associated benefits for the local economy as drivers waited for their vehicle recharge.

Potential short to medium term vehicle fleet efficiency actions

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020 13

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|--|--|--|--|---|-----------|
| Improve efficiency performance of council's vehicle fleet - Develop & implement an EV integration plan for council's fleet. | Internal | | | High | 2020 |
| Hybrid vehicle purchase – an interim measure towards a more efficient vehicle fleet. | Internal | >2100 kg CO2 equiv. per annum (based upon 20K km/yr) | Save the cost of ~ 800L of fuel/yr | High | By 2021 |
| Electric vehicle purchase – commit to emissions reduction and set an example to the community by introducing an electric vehicle to the fleet. EV's are now becoming an attractive option as prices fall and range increases. An additional bonus is low running cost and low maintenance costs. | Internal | >4200 kg CO2 equiv. per annum (based upon 20K km/yr) | Save the cost of ~ 1600L of fuel/yr | High | By 2022 |
| Electric vehicle charging infrastructure: For council vehicle charging: For a cost of approximately \$2000, Council could invest in a charging unit to power an electric vehicle (7kW single-phase or 22kW three- phase). | Internal | Dependent upon proportion renewable energy used for charging. (at least 90% in Tasmania) | Dependent upon drawing from the grid or own solar generated power | High | By 2022 |
| Electric vehicle charging infrastructure for public usage: For community/public usage An EV charging station for community use should be considered – grant opportunities to be pursued for installation of an electric car charger at a strategic location in the midlands. | Grant funded or business partnership | | | High | By 2022 |

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

| Electric/hybrid truck research – potential purchase – research with a view to purchase of an electric/hybrid truck. This would amount to significant diesel savings and assist in reducing council's greenhouse gas emissions. Hobart City Council have experience with 6.5 tonne trucks – SMC could consult HCC regarding their performance and | Internal | >6000 kg CO2 equiv. per annum (based upon 50K km/yr) | Save the cost of ~ 4000L of fuel/yr | High | 2020 |
|--|----------|--|--|------|------|
| cost effectiveness. | y | | | | |

4.2 Ongoing solar electricity and energy efficiency projects

Procurement of solar panels, new technology, efficient lighting and other actions has made a difference to council's energy consumption and reduced the organisation's greenhouse gas emissions. Council has had a reasonable record of commitment to energy efficiency actions, however, there is always more than can be done. Installation of solar panels and energy efficiency actions invariably save council money with the payback on initial investment often as short as a few years. Solar energy providers now utilise software to determine return on investment and also volumes of emissions avoided through installation of solar technology enabling considered investment decisions to be made.

A potential way forward would be for council to commit a budget allocation on an annual basis for energy efficiency and greenhouse gas mitigation activities. Each year options could be tabled with the most cost effective project, or those signifying the greatest community need, to be prioritised.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|---|-----------|
| Solar PV battery storage coupled system for the Oatlands depot roof – invest in a solar photovoltaic system - Cost benefit analysis has been undertaken for this project. | Internal (Funded 2020) | Refer to Case Study in accompanying document | Refer to Case Study in accompanying document | High | By 2021 |
| Improve energy efficiency of council buildings/properties – implement targeted relatively low cost actions to improve energy efficiency at council buildings – potentially choosing to budget for improvements to be made at one property per year. | Internal or grant | Low - in Tasmania grid electricity is currently from 90% renewable sources | Example - conversion of 100 CFL lights to LED saves ~\$1200/yr in electricity costs | Moderate | Ongoing |
| Technology upgrades based upon energy efficiency – policy to support – seek opportunities to improve energy efficiency, including during upgrade of electronic devices and equipment. Develop an energy efficiency 'procurement policy' to support. | Internal | Minimal | | Low | Ongoing |

| Potential short to medium term solar and energy efficiency actions |
|---|
| (to be subjected to an annual discussion and project cost-benefit and prioritisation meeting) |

4.3 Community energy efficiency activities

Southern Midlands Council has committed to a series of initiatives to inform the local community of the implications of climate change on health, business, environment and community infrastructure. Council understands the importance of assisting the community with some of the challenges that arise from climate change, particularly the need to consider and address the way energy is used. Initiating energy efficiency actions not only contributes to the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions but assists the community to cope with rapidly increasing energy costs. Community energy efficiency initiatives are listed below.

Community support energy efficiency initiatives

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---|----------------------|
| 'HEAT' toolkit – The Home Energy Awareness Toolkit is available for loan to residents to undertake in-house assessments of energy usage and to attain advice on appropriate efficiency measures. | Funded by Hobart City Council | NA | High | Moderate | Current & ongoing |

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---|----------------------|
| Provide community resources on: climate change, energy efficiency, and renewable energy through Council's web site, ratepayer newsletter and personal consultation. | Internal | NA | High | Moderate | Current & ongoing |
| Energy efficiency promotion by example Promote council's efforts with energy efficiency e.g. the retrofit to the Town Hall through various media when the opportunity arises. | Internal + CEEP grant | NA | High | Moderate | Current & ongoing |
| Promote Materials reuse, provide facilities for the community to be more resourceful & to reduce waste to landfill. Look to set up a materials re-use centre at the Oatlands waste transfer station. Re-use of materials locally means less trips to the city are required to purchase new goods, hence a reduction in fossil fuel usage. | Internal + grant | | | High | 2021 |

4.4 Regional Collaboration

Opportunities for Southern Midlands Council to collaborate on energy efficiency measures are developed primarily through involvement with the STCA's Regional Climate Change Initiative (RCCI). The RCCI is a forum established in 2008 involving southern councils for: sharing information on approaches to climate change; collaboration on climate change adaptation and mitigation projects; networking and planning.

RCCI achievements to date:

- Sharing of ideas and inspiration for approaches to energy efficiency and suppliers;
- HEAT (Home Energy Audit Toolkit) kit developed for the community;
- · Development of climate change adaptation plans for every council;
- Development of a regional climate change adaptation strategy;
- Forum for collaboration with the University of Tasmania on climate change data sharing and development of information relevant to local government areas;
- · Report on energy usage and greenhouse gas emission trends for the Southern Region; and
- Preliminary work on development of regional solutions to green waste management e.g. pyrolysis for biochar production.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

5.0 Land Care

5.1 Trees, landscape & carbon

Biodiverse natural environments are resilient to change and have been able to rebound from the impact of extreme events that occur from time to time over many thousands of years. The climate change we are now experiencing is occurring rapidly relative to the rate of climate change that has occurred in the past. In biodiverse natural vegetation communities this change is likely to favour some species and disadvantage others with the likely outcome that there will be a shift in species composition. In the Midlands the eucalypt *E. viminalis* (white gum) has been subject to 'dieback' for some time. This is now being seen in other species.

Council has played a long-term role, particularly in conjunction with the Midlands Tree Committee, in working with the local community to establish trees and to enhance and protect the natural assets of the municipal area.

Council's natural resource management (NRM) activities related to climate change mitigation are listed below.

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME | |
|---|---|--|-----------------|---|---------------------------|--|
| Tree planting projects (community) – continue to resource the Midlands Tree Committee for tree planting initiatives for farms and in the community. | Internal and grant funds | Establishing trees to sequester carbon. | High | High | Current & ongoing | |
| Tree planting initiatives (carbon abatement) – Develop a program to establish trees at identified council or community sites primarily for the purpose of capturing carbon from the atmosphere. | Internal and grant funds | Establishing trees sequester carbon & assist in offsetting council's emissions. | High | High | New initiative | |
| Conduct landscape restoration initiatives - undertake scientifically informed strategic NRM projects as opportunities arise. Focus on landscape ecology, including protection of important remnants, connectivity and weed management. Collaboration with Natural Resource Planning, and NRM South is important. | Internal + Potential Biodiversity Hotspots Grant + Biodiversity Fund Grant | High carbon offsetting potential | NA | High | Seek opportuniti es | |

Land care actions linked to climate change mitigation

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|--|------------|--|-----------------|---|----------------------|
| Education and awareness – Encourage, through education and awareness, the conservation of remnant bushland. Raise awareness of native vegetation issues, and planting opportunities relative to the Midlands. | Internal | High carbon offsetting potential | High | High | Current & ongoing |

5.2 Farming community programs

Farmers have an important role to play in managing the cycling of carbon between the atmosphere and the soils. Soil carbon is one of the greatest global reservoirs of organic carbon which can be held as plant litter, humus and charcoal. Maintaining or building soil carbon levels can have a wide variety of benefits for farm productivity due to improved soil structure and health. Farm management techniques can assist in removing carbon from the atmosphere and storing for hundreds of years as stable organic carbon compounds in the soil.

Farmers do face a number of challenges in achieving soil carbon increases. Rainfall patterns in the midlands have become unpredictable. A degree of predictability in rainfall timing has been a driver for managing annual cropping cycles in the past and key to achieving adequate farm productivity. As mentioned earlier in this plan, the Climate Futures data for Tasmania points to increasing rainfall variability with longer dry spells interspersed by increasingly heavy rainfall events. There are challenges for farmers in being able to maintain desired ground cover and prevent soil loss across all seasons. Environmental pressures together with some management techniques can lead to a decline in soil carbon resulting in degradation of soil health, poorer soil quality and reduction in the soil's capacity to retain moisture. In the past, Southern Midlands Council, has collaborated with NRM South to deliver information on innovative management techniques that can address soil health issues and assist farmers to increase their soil carbon.

One example is the technique of 'pasture cropping' which has been shown to have measurable benefits on soil health, farm productivity, and a reduction in soil loss. The maintenance of constant ground cover through a carefully managed cycle of grazing and direct drilling of crops into perennial pastures increases microbial activity in soils and contributes to increasing the storage of carbon in the soil. More carbon in the soil allows for better retention of moisture. As a result, 'pasture cropping' is one of the approaches believed to have great potential to build farm resilience and sustainability.

Council's potential approach to assist farmers with soil carbon and regenerative agricultural techniques is provided below.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|--|---|---|--------------|---|---|
| Provision of Information. Provision of information, hosting seminars and running field days on themes such as water efficiency, soil carbon, pasture cropping, benefits of perennial grasses, use of biochar as a soil conditioner. A series of initiatives conducted with assistance from stakeholders was completed between 2008- 2011. | Internal + Landcare Australia grant | Potentially high carbon offsetting potential | Moderate | High | Ongoing dependent upon key stakeholder support and resources |
| Support farmers – Support farmers who are willing to adopt new techniques with planning, mapping and monitoring. | Internal | NA | Moderate | High | On hold – dependent upon Council resources |

| Actions to assist | farmers in re | generative agricul | ture and soil | carbon activities |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | , | 0 | | |

5.3 Policy on coal mines and fracking

Fossil fuels are carbon dense and on combustion release carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. Fossil fuels are Tasmania's primary source of greenhouse gas emissions. There has been speculation in recent years that parts of the midlands will be opened up for coal mining and also extraction of coal-seam gas by 'fracking'.

Effort to mitigate global warming requires sincere effort to minimise the opening of new fossil fuel ventures and advocacy for renewable energy alternatives instead. This is both the right thing to do and something that has significant community support, and as a consequence, reputational benefits for council.

The economy of the Midlands is reliant on its foundation as a producer of high quality, high value agricultural produce and also a growing tourism industry focused on heritage and quality products. Development of coal mining, or other fossil fuel extractive industries, has potential to tarnish the established reputation of the midlands, and may have a negative impact on the established industries.

Political support for fossil fuel extractive industries has potential to embroil the region in controversy and create long lasting conflict. For example, a coal mine in the midlands would compete with agriculture for water, a very significant issue given the emerging trend to lower average annual rainfall across the midlands.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

Policy Proposal - coal & gas

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|---|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Discuss, with the view to implementing, a policy detailing council's stance on new fossil fuel extractive industries in the Southern Midlands | Internal | Potentially huge | High | High | By June 2020 |

6.0 Waste Management

6.1 Waste Logistics

Waste management is a significant logistics operation for Southern Midlands Council and the burning of fossil fuels is implicated throughout the operations. The municipality covers a large geographic area, hence significant distances are travelled each week for collection of household waste and recyclables from dispersed small communities. The higher the weight of materials being handled, the higher the fossil fuel usage and the higher the greenhouse gas emissions. Effort to reduce waste volume makes sense for a number of reasons, including the direct contribution it makes to emissions reduction.

Higher waste volumes collected at council's waste transfer stations equates to more frequent truck movements to transport the waste to landfill at Copping. These trucks are inefficient fuel users. In the case of the Oatlands site, each round trip required for collection and disposal is about 200 km. There are currently 21 truck trips made per fortnight to service council's three waste transfer stations. Hence, minimising and reducing waste has the potential to reduce emissions from waste transportation.

There is also the issue of encouraging re-use of materials. This is something that could be done so much better at council's waste transfer stations. It's fair to say that all new materials produced have resulted in release of greenhouse gases during their production. Large distances travelled by Midlands residents to hardware outlets to purchase materials also releases greenhouse gases. Hence, efficient segregation of reusable materials at waste transfer stations is a contribution council can make to greater resource use efficiency and indirect greenhouse gas emission reduction from the local community.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|-----------|
| Rationalise the number of waste transfer stations & resource share. Investigate ways to reduce the number of waste transfer stations managed by council & replace with a resource sharing arrangement at a sub-regional facility e.g. at the Brighton Industrial Estate. Greater efficiencies in facility location and waste handling result in lower greenhouse gas emissions. | Internal or grant funded | Moderate | High | Low- moderate | By 2025 |
| Reduce waste amounts by increasing recovery effort Ensure there is on-site rigour with on site segregation of materials and ensure that WTS's are set up to be fit for this purpose. Implement a materials re-use centre (tip shop style) at the Oatlands WTS. Efficiency in materials usage and lower volumes of waste directly relate to emissions reduction. | Internal | Low | High | High | By 2022 |

| Waste management | logistics | changes | focused | on emissions | reduction |
|------------------|-----------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------|
| | | | , | | |

* Greater detail on waste management issues and options is given in the Southern Midlands Council Waste Management Strategy 2020.

6.2 Organic materials

Approximately 60% of material (by weight) currently disposed of to landfill in Tasmania is organic material⁴. Organic 'waste' is a broad term that comprises: garden clippings, pruned material, larger lopped material; light garden waste such as weeds and grass from lawn mowing; and kitchen waste such as fruit, vegetables and bread.

Southern Midlands Council endeavours to segregate as much of the coarse woody organic material as possible from the waste stream by providing organic 'waste' drop-off points at each of the three waste transfer stations. However, there is currently no means provided for residents to segregate putrescible kitchen and light organic garden materials, so if residents are not composting this material or feeding it to animals, it most likely ends up in the waste stream. Not only does this dense, and often heavy, material incur a disposal cost to council, it's rapid decomposition results in the release of greenhouse gases such as methane into the atmosphere.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

⁴ Waste Management 2020 and beyond (2011) - Blue Environment for SWSA

Council currently has not employed a consistent methodology for dealing with the coarse woody organic material dropped off at its waste transfer stations. Impediments to primary processing of organic material (e.g. shredding for mulch) include:

- handling and chipping cost;
- variability in quantity and quality organic waste dropped off at the waste transfer stations can comprise of anything from grass clippings to woody material of variable size; and
- potential contamination with metals and other materials that have the potential to foul or damage mulching machinery.

The separation of organic 'waste' at the waste transfer stations is a first step, however, council has struggled to move beyond this point due to the issues listed above. Mulching, either in house or through a third party, can produce a value-added product that may be sold as garden mulch, compost and soil conditioner. Reducing stockpiles efficiently will free up space required for managing council's space-constrained waste transfer sites.

Another potential solution to production of greenhouse gases during composting is utilisation of technologies such as gasification and/or pyrolysis for processing organic 'waste'. Pyrolysis for example, involves processing of organic matter at high temperature in the absence of oxygen to produce a number of outputs, including biochar (a stable carbon rich soil conditioner), syngas, activated charcoal, heat and bio-oil. This technology has the potential to create employment, maximise energy efficiency, generate several income streams (sale of energy, production of a high value products) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Because of this potential, it makes sense to develop a business case to investigate: potential feed stock types and volumes; the most appropriate technology; location of a pyrolysis plant; most suitable operator; outputs; costs and benefits.

Council's role in progressing such an initiative is yet to be defined, but as a local collector of woody and other organic material it should view itself as a player. Whether such a project is developed on a regional scale, and who the major collaborators would be, would be ascertained as part of the development of a business case.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|---|
| Mulching/chipping of green 'waste'- generating a value- added, saleable products from woody green waste (Potential regional collaboration project) Currently Barwick's are able to provide a chipping service for \$10 m ³ cut + approximately \$2500 to transport their machinery to the site. Approx. 1000 m ³ can be done in a day - so once per year may be feasible. There is also potential to involve the 'Soil First' composting operation situated locally on Interlaken Road. | Part internal – potential regional initiative for rural councils | Moderate | Moderate | High | Subject to available funding and momentum for project through the LGAT Regional Waste Forum |
| Food organics 1 – regional collaboration. Food organics can comprise up to 50% by weight of the domestic waste stream ⁵ , hence, diversion of this organic material to alternative processing can significantly reduce the cost of waste disposal. In rural Tasmania the issue of food organics in the waste stream is assumed to be lower than average due to the higher likelihood of feeding to chickens, stock, dogs, or composting for vegetable gardens. | Regional coordination is required. | Moderate | Low | High | Subject to regional coordination through LGAT waste forum |
| Food Organics 2 - Community education & awareness Undertake an awareness-raising program whereby residents are informed of waste management issues, particularly in relation to keeping food organics and garden materials out of the waste stream. The campaign would focus on the positive options available for composting / processing organic matter. | Internal | Low | Moderate | High | By 2022 |

Proposed approach to mitigate emissions from organic 'waste'

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

 $^{^5}$ Waste Management 2020 and beyond (2011) – Blue Environment for SWSA

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|----------------------|
| Waste to energy initiative – a proposal to efficiently utilise green 'waste' from organic material collection points. To be processed by pyrolysis to produce renewable energy and other outputs such as biochar. Subject to: development of a business case by a consultant; Clarification of council's role; and determining a lead proponent. | Regional - grant | Potentially high | Moderate | High | Subject to grants |

6.3 Procurement & new infrastructure

Procurement of infrastructure and new technology can make a big difference to an organisation's energy consumption, waste minimisation and greenhouse gas emissions, and is an opportunity to lead the community by example.

6.3.1 Utilising recycled products

Consideration and utilisation of materials that are recycled, or part recycled, is an important step for council to take. This may include use of crushed glass or crushed construction waste in road base, or procurement of products made from recycled plastics or wood-plastic composites.

All goods and materials have what is know as embodied energy content, that is the energy input that is required to produce the goods. Utilisation of goods and materials that are recycled or have a high content of recycled materials not only assists in solving a waste disposal issue, it supports industries that have developed recycling solutions and it reduces the embedded energy content of goods and materials utilised by council.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

| ACTION | RESOURCING | EMISSIONS REDUCTION BENEFIT | COST BENEFIT | COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP / PR BENEFIT | TIMEFRAME |
|--|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|-----------|
| Alternative materials uptake – Develop Policy – Develop a policy in relation to procurement to: where possible ensure that materials and goods that are recycled or have component recycled content: paper, plastics, road base, wood-plastic composites e.g. decking, outdoor seating, wheelie bins, bollards, fencing. | Internal | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate | Ongoing |
| Develop a policy to guide procurement of materials with low embodied energy and products that can be easily recycled at the end of their life. | Internal | | High | High | Ongoing |

Procurement and new infrastructure – new Policy

7.0 Implementation of this Plan

Proposed implementation of this Plan is through a Council workshop in April-May of each year. The aim of the workshop will be to:

- · review energy efficiency actions and achievements of the current financial year;
- discuss priorities and costed proposals for the upcoming financial year across all fields i.e. energy efficiency upgrades, electric vehicle(s), land care initiatives, waste management projects and policy;
- · discuss ways of funding and progressing identified project priorities; and
- undertake minor revision of the Climate Change Action Plan in light of achievements and review of priorities.

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL CLIMATE CHANGE ACTION PLAN 2020

15. OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – COMMUNITY)

15.1 Community Health and Wellbeing

Strategic Plan Reference 4.1

Support and improve the independence, health and wellbeing of the Community.

Nil.

15.2 Recreation

Strategic Plan Reference 4.2

Provide a range of recreational activities and services that meet the reasonable needs of the community.

15.2.1 PROGRESS REPORT - PROPOSED OATLANDS AQUATIC CENTRE DEVELOPMENT

- Author: ACTING GENERAL MANAGER (ANDREW BENSON)
- **Date:** 20 OCTOBER 2020

ISSUE

Update for Councillors on the progress of the proposed development of the Oatlands Aquatic Centre.

BACKGROUND

Councillors are aware of the matters relating to the development of this project on the former Council Depot in Church Street Oatlands, this Report is the fifth of a regular monthly update in respect of the progress of the project.

DETAIL

The following schedule is provided for Councillors to understand the scoping of the project over the next few months up until the Tender assessment/determination date.

1. Status of new Development Application

a. Development Application process has been completed.

2. Status of the Design Process

- a. The Design process has been completed.
- b. Building Application to be lodged following completion of the Certificate of Likely Compliance by the Building Surveyors, Pitt & Sherry

3. Status of the Tender Process

- a. 5th September 2020 Building Tender advertised
- b. 7th September 2020 Building Tender released
- c. 18th September 2020 Building Tenderers Site Visit
- d. 21st September 2020 Consultant Design Team met to consider questions from the Tenderers
- e. 14th October 2020 Tender closed
- f. 20th October 2020 Tender analysis completed
 - i. Whilst this was the anticipated completion of the Tender analysis process, the Tender submissions were rather complex and require additional work which will not be completed by the Council meeting date.
 - ii. It is suggested that a Council Workshop be structured for Monday 9th November 2020 for Council to be briefed in respect of the Tender

submissions and that certain matters will need to be discussed and decisions made.

iii. The Oatlands Aquatic Centre Annual Operational Costs will also need to be discussed in the Council Workshop, as an outcome of specific Tender decisions.

4. Revised Council considerations

- 9th November 2020 Contractor Tender Assessment considered by Council Workshop plus Aquatic Centre Operational costs including considerations of life cycle costing.
- b. Structure a Special Council meeting to formally consider and make decisions in respect of the Tender and Operation costs.
- c. Post Special Council meeting Award Contract

5. Construction Stage

- a. 1st December 2020 'CT Fish' building demolition. All Permits are in place.
- b. 11th December 2020 Contractor takes over the site.
- c. Council team undertakes the car park construction as well as the landscaping subject to coordination with the Contractor.
- d. 21st October 2021 Aquatic Centre Practical Completion.
- e. 31st October 2021 Official opening.
- f. 21st October 2022 End of Defects Liability Period.

Human Resources & Financial Implications - The Acting General Manager is the Client representation for the project.

Community Consultation & Public Relations Implications - Nil at this point in time

Policy Implications – Nil.

Priority - Implementation Time Frame - As detailed in the Report.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council receive and note the report;

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| CIr K Dudgeon | | |
| CIr D F Fish | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | |

15.2.2 OATLANDS SWIMMING POOL 2020/21 SEASON

Author: ACTING GENERAL MANAGER (ANDREW BENSON)

Date: 14 OCTOBER 2020

ISSUE

To inform Council of the proposed dates for the Oatlands Swimming Pool for the 2020/2021 season.

DETAIL

The following arrangements are proposed for the 2020/21 Swimming Pool Season:

Opening Date:

It is proposed to open the Pool on Saturday, 12th December 2020 at 11.00 a.m.

Closing Date:

Date to be confirmed – March 2021.

| Opening Hours: | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| During School Terms (Mon-Fri) | 3.00 p.m. – 6.00 p.m. |
| During School Holidays | 11.00 a.m. – 6.00 p.m. |
| Weekends | 11.00 a.m. – 6.00 p.m. |
| Public Holidays | 11.00 a.m. – 6.00 p.m. |

Note: Pool closed on Christmas Day and New Years Day.

Fees and Charges Schedule:

The fees and charges schedule is listed below for information:

| FEES & CHARGES | | 2020/21 |
|-------------------|--|----------|
| Season Ticket: | Family | \$120.00 |
| | Adult | \$60.00 |
| | Child/Student | \$45.00 |
| Daily Tickets: | Family | \$13.00 |
| | Adult | \$5.00 |
| | Child/Student | \$4.00 |
| Complex Hire Fee: | All supervised (out of hours) \$65.00 per hour | |

Note:

- 1. Family Ticket is limited to 2 Adults and maximum of 3 Children (under 18) and all be recognised on the Medicare Card.
- 2. Students require a current student identification card.

Out of Hours bookings - Out of Hours bookings must be supervised by Council's supervisor at all times. Application is to be made seven days in advance.

Human Resources & Financial Implications – Pool Supervisor/Lifeguard and Relief services for the forthcoming season will be arranged as required.

Community Consultation & Public Relations Implications - The proposed arrangements, and fee structure, will be advertised following endorsement by Council.

Policy Implications - Nil.

Priority - Implementation Time Frame – Endorsement of the opening date at this Council meeting will allow sufficient time to advertise the pool opening date and organise staffing arrangements for 2020/2021.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council endorse the opening arrangements for the Oatlands Swimming Pool 2020/21 Season.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| CIr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| CIr R McDougall | | |

15.3 Access

Strategic Plan Reference 4.3

Continue to explore transport options for the Southern Midlands community / Continue to meet the requirements of the Disability Discrimination Act.

Nil.

15.4 Volunteers

Strategic Plan Reference 4.4 Encourage community members to volunteer.

Nil.

15.5 Families

Strategic Plan Reference 4.5

Ensure that appropriate childcare services as well as other family related services are facilitated within the community / Increase the retention of young people in the municipality / Improve the ability of seniors to stay in their communities.

Nil.

15.6 Education

Strategic Plan Reference 4.6

Increase the educational and employment opportunities available within the Southern Midlands

Nil.

15.7 Capacity & Sustainability

Strategic Plan Reference 4.7

Build, maintain and strengthen the capacity of the community to help itself whilst embracing social inclusion to achieve sustainability.

Nil.

15.8 Safety

Strategic Plan Reference 4.8

Increase the level of safety of the community and those visiting or passing through the municipality.

Nil.

15.9 Consultation & Communication

Strategic Plan Reference 4.8

Improve the effectiveness of consultation & communication with the community.

Nil.

16. OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING (STRATEGIC THEME – ORGANISATION)

16.1 Improvement

Strategic Plan Reference 5.1

Improve the level of responsiveness to Community & Developer needs / Improve communication within Council / Improve the accuracy, comprehensiveness and user friendliness of the Council asset management system / Increase the effectiveness, efficiency and use-ability of Council ICT systems / Maintain the Business Process Improvement & Continuous Improvement framework

16.1.1 POLICY REVIEW – COMMUNICATIONS POLICY & SOCIAL MEDIA USE

Author: ACTING GENERAL MANAGER (ANDREW BENSON)

Date: 19 OCTOBER 2020

ISSUE

Review of the Communications Policy and Social Media Use.

DETAIL

A review of the Communications Policy has been undertaken by Council staff, which also identified the need to include additional information relating to social media use.

This policy review is presented to Council for the first time in accordance with Council's policy for Councillors to have sufficient time to fully consider policy documents over two meetings.

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. THAT Council receive and note the report; and
- 2. Consider the draft Communications Policy & Social Media Use for adoption at the November 2020 meeting (subject to any amendments).

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | |

ENCLOSURE(S) Agenda Item 16.1.1

| SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL | Council Policy COMMUNIC | ATIONS POLICY 8 | & SOCIAL MEDIA USE |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | Approved by: | Council | DRAFT |

September 2015

September 2020

1. PURPOSE

The aims of this Policy are to:

- provide a framework for decisions, and standards relating to the Use of Communications Devices and Social Media;
- (b) confirm that Council will not tolerate the Use of Communications Devices and Social Media which is unlawful or a risk to health and safety of Workers or Other Persons at the Workplace;
- (c) recognise Council's commitment to provide a safe and healthy workplace for Workers and Other Persons at the Workplace relating to the Use of Communications Devices and Social Media;
- (d) instruct and guide Workers and Other Persons at the Workplace regarding the Use of Communications Devices and Social Media;
- (e) outline the standards expected at all times in relation to the Use of Communications Devices and Social Media;
- (f) operate with the Applicable Laws and policies; and

Approved date:

Review date:

(g) recognise that all Workers are accountable for their own behaviour relating to the Use of Communications Devices and Social Media.

For the purpose of this Policy, Social Media will refer to electronic techniques or technologies established officially by the Council Administration that communicate directly to and with the community. This includes the Council's corporate website and any other social media accounts.

SCOPE

This Policy covers and applies to Workers in relation to:

- behaviour at the Workplace;
- (ii) the performance of work for or in connection with Council; and
- (iii) conduct outside the Workplace or working hours if the acts or omissions:
 - (A) are likely to cause serious damage to the relationship between Council, Councillors, Workers or Other Persons at the Workplace; or
 - (B) are incompatible with a Worker's duty to Council or employment relationship or engagement; or
 - (C) damage or are likely to damage Council's interests or reputation.

Council Policy SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL

COMMUNICATIONS POLICY & SOCIAL MEDIA USE

| Approved by: | |
|----------------|--|
| Approved date: | |
| Review date: | |

Council September 2015 September 2020 DRAFT

3. POLICY

- Workers must comply with this Policy. (a)
- (b) Workers must not Use Communication Devices or Social Media in any way which:
 - breaches this Policy or any other policy or procedure including the Workplace Behaviour (i) Policy or Code of Conduct Policy;
 - (ii) breaches Applicable Laws or is otherwise unlawful; or
 - fails to comply with a lawful and reasonable direction by Council. (iii)
- Managers/Supervisors are required to promote this Policy within their area of responsibility (c) and take reasonable steps to ensure that any potential breaches of this Policy are identified, taken seriously and acted upon appropriately.

3.1 General Principles

- (a) Council may provide Workers with Communication Devices for Business Use only or Business Use and reasonable personal Use at Council's discretion. Such authority will be provided in writing on an individual basis.
- In determining whether a Worker will be provided with Communication Devices and to what (b) extent Council at its complete discretion will take into consideration all relevant matters including, Council's network and other system storage, security and usage requirements, the Worker's personal circumstances and work requirements and the nature and topic of the Use.
- The requirements set out below apply equally to Business Use and Personal Use of (c) Communication Devices.
- Council may remove, restrict or change a Worker's authority to Use Council owned or supplied (d) Communication Devices or Worker owned or supplied Communication Devices where used for Business Use or in the Workplace at Council's discretion.
- Council will, through authorised personnel, monitor and if necessary copy, delete, remove or (e) quarantine any information, data, transmissions or files (incoming and outgoing) or like materials arising out of the Use of Communications Devices from the Council's information technology system or network, or any Worker's Council provided Communication Device without notice.

3.2 Use of Communication Devices

In relation to the Use of Communication Devices, Workers must:

- not divulge passwords or user identification to other persons; (a)
- not alter a Council owned Communication Device without prior written approval from their (b) Manager/Supervisor;
- not allow any person to Use a Council Owned Communication Device without prior written (c) approval from their Manager/Supervisor;

Communications Policy - Review Sept 2020

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL

Council Policy COMMUNICATIONS POLICY & SOCIAL MEDIA USE

| Approved by: | |
|----------------|--|
| Approved date: | |
| Review date: | |

Council September 2015 September 2020

- DRAFT
- (d) maintain Council Owned Communication Devices they Use in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications;
- (e) take all reasonable care to ensure the Communication Device is securely kept;
- (f) immediately advise their Manager/Supervisor of any damage to or theft of the Communication Device;
- (g) not install any software on a Council Owned Communication Device (with the exception of Council nominated Applications downloaded from the App Store) without written prior approval from their Manager/Supervisor;
- (h) comply with Applicable Laws including not engaging in, for example:
 - defamatory comments (e.g. falsely naming a person as a criminal);
 - (ii) inappropriate or unlawful workplace behaviour comments (e.g. discriminatory, harassing, bullying or repeated unreasonable behaviour);
 - (iii) misleading and deceptive conduct (e.g. 'our product will give you 150% improvement in profit with no reasonable basis');
 - (iv) contempt (e.g. publicising court orders or matters under consideration);
 - infringements of intellectual property rights (e.g. breach of copyright by copying information without referencing the source, or downloading movies, TV shows, music and other entertainment or similar); or
 - (vi) privacy issues such as disclosing personal or sensitive information (e.g. 'a person lives at ABC Street and has 4 children with a particular medical condition');
- not Use another person's identification (electronic or otherwise) to Use a Communication Device;
- not obscure or attempt to obscure the origin of any Use of a Communication Device in the Workplace or a Council Owned Communication Device;
- (k) not access, send, receive, download, store or distribute gambling, pornographic, obscene, defamatory, discriminatory, harassing, unlawful or inappropriate materials of any kind;
- not disclose Council's confidential information or damage or engage in Use likely to damage Council's interests or reputation;
- (m) not gain or attempt to gain unauthorised access to Council's, information technology system or network, or any other Worker or Other Person in the Workplace's Communication Device; and
- (n) not interfere or alter with any of the Council security measures provided for the Council's, information technology system or network, or any other Worker or Other Person in the Workplace's Communication Device.
- (o) On termination of employment, the employee is responsible in ensuring that the returned communications device(s) have been cleared of any personal accounts e.g google (Gmail), Apple iTunes account etc and also advise Council's IT Officer of any pins that have been set up for telephone access etc

Communications Policy - Review Sept 2020



COMMUNICATIONS POLICY & SOCIAL MEDIA USE

| y: | Council |
|-------|----------------|
| late: | September 2015 |
| e: | September 2020 |

DRAFT

3.3 Business Use of Social Media

Social Media can be defined as electronic tools and platforms people use to publish, converse and share information. Complementing existing two-way electronic communication techniques such as email and websites, it is a technology that is popular, rapidly growing and constantly evolving.

Social Media is becoming an increasingly important way in which Council and its staff communicate with each other and engage with the community. Social Media enables Council to:

- enhance existing communication and information circulation by extending reach; а
- direct the community to Council information, consultation, events and images; b.
- promote programs, activities and events; C.
- build and enhance relationships with the community and stakeholders; and d.
- provide the community with the opportunity to further engage and actively participate in e. discussions that are of importance, interest and concern to them.

This section aims to provide guidelines to assist staff in managing the official flow of information delivered and received by Council through Social Media channels for the purpose of conducting Council business, and assist in compliance with current requirements of records management and use.

3.4 Managing existing Social Media applications

Once a Social Media site is established, it is important to ensure it is managed properly and effectively. Essential criteria to be followed are:

- all content must be accurate and updated when appropriate; а
- b. language and writing style must be appropriate and not offensive in nature;
- terms and conditions should be displayed in the 'information' section of the site with a C. disclaimer that while legitimate questions and complaints will be addressed, statements that are derogatory, insulting or otherwise unduly negative will be removed if they do not meet the standards of common courtesy:
- d. all content (both outgoing and incoming) must be appropriate and comply with Council's Record Management procedures and any other Council processes or policies;
- to minimise the chance of incorrect information being displayed and to make easier the e. responsibility of maintaining site content, where possible the Southern Midlands Council website should be used as the origin point of information and the Social Media sites provide links to the website for more information, forms, documents or online services necessary to conduct business with the Southern Midlands Council;
- f information produced and displayed on Social Media sites by Council will not be used for political or lobbying purposes, with the exception of promoting or encouraging participation in Local Government elections:

Communications Policy - Review Sept 2020

| SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL | Council Policy COMMUNICA | Council Policy COMMUNICATIONS POLICY & SOCIAL MEDIA USE | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|-------|--|
| | Approved by: Approved date: Review date: | Council September 2015 September 2020 | DRAFT | |

- g. the establishment of a Social Media administrator group that is trained and approved to edit, alter and upload site content;
- employees entrusted as administrators of Social Media sites must conduct themselves properly and are required to act in accordance with Council policies and procedures, with failure to do so resulting in disciplinary action being taken; and
- a copy of all necessary passwords or login codes for various sites to be kept with the Corporate Services Department in case of staff absence or departure and when changes are required;
- Non-compliance with these criteria may result in the closing or suspending of Social Media sites by the Council Administration.

3.5 Implementing new Social Media applications

A number of new or refined Social Media options are developed each year, many available at little or no cost to the organisation. While it is important to keep abreast of trends, it is important that Council is using these tools to meet a specific need and that they are properly resourced.

When implementing a new Social Media activity, the requesting Department will present a brief to the General Manager outlining the following:

- why this is the appropriate tool for the task required;
- b. how it will help Council achieve its Strategic Plan objectives;
- c. how the issue of records management, privacy, risk and security will be addressed;
- what resource and time requirements are required to effectively manage and monitor the site, and to ensure timely responses to the community;
- does it offer one way or two way communication;
- f. what technology or system is proposed to be used;
- g. what this new site will offer that other existing Social Media sites currently being used by Council do not; and
- h. the processes developed and documented to ensure consistency and accuracy of content.

Once the General Manager is satisfied of the benefit of the new Social Media activity and meeting of the criteria can be demonstrated, then approval will be given and set up and commencement of operation will begin.

3.6 Closing of Social Media applications

If a Social Media site is no longer considered by Council Administration to be a useful communication tool, is no longer popular with the community, is proving too expensive or time consuming to maintain, or any other appropriate reason, it can be suspended or closed without public notification.

Communications Policy - Review Sept 2020

SOUTHERN
MIDLANDS
COUNCIL Council Policy
COMMUNICATIONS POLICY & SOCIAL MEDIA USE Approved by: Council Approved by: Council Approved date: September 2015
September 2020

3.7 Commenting on Social Media sites

With electronic news sites and Social Media channels increasing in popularity, they are also becoming more popular for submitting feedback or comments online.

Where an item is about the Council, the Mayor, General Manager or delegated staff member may place a comment on behalf of the Council via Social Media provided that:

- they disclose their first and last name and their official title (ie Mayor, GM etc);
- b. they do not disclose any Council information that is considered to be confidential or non-public in nature; and
- c. they do not knowingly communicate inaccurate or false information and all reasonable efforts should be made to provide only verifiable facts – not personal opinions.

Elected Members may post comments but should disclose their first and last name and a statement to the effect that this is their personal opinion and may not represent the opinion of the Council.

The Council actively monitors Social Media for contributions or comments relating to the Council, its operation and its reputation. Any activity that may be deemed inappropriate may result in a Code of Conduct process.

4. RELATED DOCUMENTS

- Code of Conduct for Employees
- Local Government Code of Conduct for Elected Members
- Disciplinary Procedure
- Issues Resolution Policy
- Workplace Behaviour Policy

5. DOCUMENT ADMINISTRATION

This Instruction is a managed document and is to be reviewed every two (2) years or as directed by the General Manager.

This document is Version 2.0 effective XX-XX-XXXX. The document is maintained by Community & Corporate Development, for the Southern Midlands Council.

16.1.2 DRAFT POLICY – CLOSED CIRCUIT TELEVISION (CCTV) POLICY

Author: ACTING GENERAL MANAGER (ANDREW BENSON)

Date: 21 OCTOBER 2020

ISSUE

Consideration of the Draft Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy.

DETAIL

Council is committed to creating safe places for all residents and visitors. Council is also seeking to enhance protection of important community assets and reduce the need to divert valuable resources to repair/replace property damaged by vandals. In an effort to address these issues, Council is proposing the introduction of the CCTV Program, as part of its response to antisocial behaviour and Community safety. Other strategies to address antisocial behaviour and Community safety include effective street lighting, improved security practices, signage and collaboration with Tasmania Police.

Sections regarding Responsibilities of Council; Public Information; Control and Operation of Cameras; Recorded Material; Breaches and Complaints are all included within the policy for consideration by Council.

This policy review is presented to Council for the first time in accordance with Council's policy for Councillors to have sufficient time to fully consider policy documents over two meetings.

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. THAT Council receive and note the report; and
- 2. Consider the draft Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Policy for adoption at the November 2020 meeting (subject to any amendments).

| DECISION | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST | | |
| Mayor A O Green | | | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | | | |

ENCLOSURE(S) Agenda Item 16.1.2

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL

| Council Policy | |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| CLOSED CIRCUIT | TELEVISION (CCTV) POLICY |

Approved by: Approved date: Review date: Council DRAFT

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the CCTV Policy are:

- to reduce the threat of personal violence;
- assist in the protection of public assets and reduce property damage;
- to assist in the detection and prosecution of offenders; and
- to help secure a safer environment for people who live, work and visit the Southern Midlands.

The CCTV Program is not about allowing constant surveillance it is about incident management. Authorised personnel will only watch recorded material if there is an incident that demands investigation. Introduction

It is recognised that the threat of personal violence is an important factor in the public perception of Southern Midlands as a safe area. The Council is committed to creating safe places for all residents and visitors. Council is also seeking to enhance protection of important community assets and reduce the need to divert valuable resources the fix property damaged by vandals. In an effort to address these issues, Council introduced the CCTV Program, as part of its response to antisocial behaviour. Other strategies to address antisocial behaviour and crime include effective street lighting, improved security practices, signage and collaboration with Tasmania Police.

Involvement in any aspect of the CCTV Program by relevant organisations or individuals will depend upon their willingness to comply with this Policy.

This Policy is subject to state and federal law.

The Council retains ownership of and has copyright in all equipment, recorded material and documentation pertaining to the CCTV Program. The responsibilities of the Council in relation to the CCTV Program are outlined below.

Southern Midlands Council – Responsibilities

The Council will be responsible for the introduction and implementation of the CCTV Program. The Council will ensure compliance with the objectives and intent of this Policy while protecting the interests of the public in relation to CCTV use.

Council is accountable for the effective long-term operation and management of the CCTV Program. The Council will implement appropriate procedures to ensure all related documentation is completed, recorded material is accessed appropriately and equipment is well maintained.

Public Information

Clearly visible signs that CCTV cameras are operating will be displayed in the area covered by the cameras. These signs will:

- inform the public that cameras are in operation; and
- identify the Southern Midlands Council as the owner of the system.

Page 1 of 3

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL Council Policy Approved by: Council Approved by: Council Approved date: DRAFT Review date: DRAFT

Control and Operation of Cameras

- The locations of cameras will be clearly apparent to the public.
- All use of cameras will accord with the purposes of the CCTV Program.
- Cameras will not be used to look into private premises or residential properties.
- No sound will be recorded in public places.
- 'Dummy' cameras will not be used.
- Access to the operation of equipment will be limited to authorised Council staff with that responsibility.
- Operators of the camera equipment will act in accordance with the highest standards of probity
 and the Council's code of conduct. There is a requirement of confidentiality unless recorded
 material is authorised by the General Manager for release to a third party. Circumstances for
 release of material may include as part of a police investigation, insurance investigation, an
 accident or medical event.
- All responsible staff may be required to justify their interest in a particular member of the public or premises.
- Information collected by the CCTV Program is subject to the relevant Acts.
- A register must be kept detailing all instances of access to recorded material.

Recorded Material

Access to and use of recorded material will only take place:

- in compliance with the needs of police in connection with the investigation of crime; or
- if necessary for the purposes of legal proceedings, or
- if necessary assisting with an insurance claim, or
- if necessary assisting in relation to an accident of medical event.

Recorded material will not be sold or used for commercial purposes or the provision of entertainment.

The showing of recorded material to the public will be allowed only in accordance with the needs of the police in connection with the investigation of crime or in any other circumstances provided by law. Any such action must be formally approved and/or requested by the Police.

Use of videotapes or photographs by the media should only occur to gain public information with respect to the identity of a person/s wanted in connection with a criminal investigation. Subject to the concurrence of the Police, the General Manager may approve such releases after consultation with the Mayor. In such cases the recognisable characteristics of other people in the footage shall be obscured.

Images shall not, under any circumstances, be used to publicise the existence or success of the CCTV Program.

Appropriate security measures will be taken against unauthorised access to, alteration, disclosure, accidental loss or destruction of recorded material.



Recorded material will be treated according to defined procedures to ensure continuity of evidence. Council will generally retain recorded material for no more than 35 days, and the material will subsequently be deleted, unless the material is required to be retained for-

- the investigation of crime, or
- Court proceedings notified to Council, or
- ongoing intelligence and investigation, or
- when a matter of importance to Council arises.

Breaches of this Policy

Prime responsibility for ensuring the Policy is adhered to rests with the Council. This responsibility includes ensuring that breaches of the Policy are investigated and remedied to the extent that breaches of the Policy are within the ambit of Council's power to remedy.

Complaints

Complaints in relation to any aspect of the management or operation of the CCTV Program may be made in writing to:

The General Manager Southern Midlands Council PO Box 21 OATLANDS TAS 7120 or by telephone on (03) 6254 5000

Review & Evaluation

This Instruction is a managed document and is to be reviewed every two years or as directed by the General Manager.

This document is Version X.X effective XX-XX-XXXX. The document is maintained by Community and Corporate Development, for the Southern Midlands Council. Agenda – 15 July 2020

16.2 Sustainability

Strategic Plan Reference 5.2

Retain corporate and operational knowledge within Council / Provide a safe and healthy working environment / Ensure that staff and elected members have the training and skills they need to undertake their roles / Increase the cost effectiveness of Council operations through resource sharing with other organisations / Continue to manage and improve the level of statutory compliance of Council operations / Ensure that suitably qualified and sufficient staff are available to meet the Communities need / Work co-operatively with State and Regional organisations / Minimise Councils exposure to risk / Ensure that exceptional customer service continues to be a hallmark of Southern Midlands Council

Nil.

16.2.1 SMC EXTERNAL GRANT PROJECTS – QUARTERLY UPDATE

Author: ACTING GENERAL MANAGER (ANDREW BENSON)

Date: 20 OCTOBER 2020

Enclosure:

Report to Council on Various SMC Grant Projects as at 20th October 2020

ISSUE

Council have a number of external grants that in various stages of implementation and it would be meaningful to provide Council with a status report in respect of the external grants on a quarterly basis.

BACKGROUND

The application of grants is a major contributor to Council's infrastructure budget. Some grants are fully funded by the Grant body, for example 'election promises realised', others require part funding, ie Communities Sport & Recreation (Tasmanian State Government), with a minimum of 50% funding by Council, others require matching funding.

All Council Business Units are focused on bringing in funded projects that meet the objectives of the Strategic Plan and that add value to our Community. That way the budget goes further and we are able meet to some extent the expectations of the Community, and indeed some of the grants are quite serendipitous.

DETAIL

This update is provided for Councillors information only and will be provided on a quarterly basis.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council receive and note the report.

| DECISION | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST | | |
| Mayor A O Green | | | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | | | |
ENCLOSURE(S) Agenda Item 16.2.1

| Project Title | Brief Description | Grant Body | Project Value Grant Funds | Project Value SMC Funds | Project Manager | Current Project Status (% complete) | Anticipated Completion Date | Remarks |
|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Oatlands Underground Power Project | Undergrounding the overhead power cable on the Esplanade and Barrick Street | Community Development Grant Australian Government (Election Commitment) | \$250,000 | | Andrew Benson | 75% | 31.03.21 | 100% of the original two stages completed, there is an under spend of the grant funds. Awaiting TasNetworks design for a Stage three of the balance of Barrick Street underground power to completed the grant spend |
| Broadmarsh Streetscape Project | Construction of footpath and bridge construction as well as traffic calming within the Broadmarsh Village | Community Development Grant Australian Government (Election Commitment) | \$230,000 | | Andrew Benson | 15% | 31.03.21 | Design completed, construction commenced. Bad weather has hampered construction with unscheduled drainage works to be completed prior to the footpath being started |
| Oatlands Aquatic Centre | Development of the proposed Aquatic Centre in Oatlands | Australian Government Tasmanian Government Community Development Grant Australian Government (Election Commitment) Station Child Care Centre Loan Funds by SMC | \$1,500,000 \$2,000,000 \$500,000 \$80,350 | \$2,000,000 | Tim Kirkwood (Financial) Andrew Benson (Project Delivery) | 98% Design & Documentation, given awarding Tender is the start of the next tranche of the project | 21.10.21 Practical Completion | Tender closed and currently being assessed. |

| Project Title | Brief Description | Grant Body | Project Value Grant Funds | Project Value SMC Funds | Project Manager | Current Project Status (% complete) | Anticipated Completion Date | Remarks |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Oatlands Destination Playground. | Upgrade of the playground and adjacent ground area (including installation of underground watering system) at Callington Park | Community Development Grant Australian Government (Election Commitment) | \$ 500,000 | | Maria Weeding | Installation of the playground equipment completed all but two seats. Turf works held up due to weather. Official opening of site date December 2020. | Feb 2021 | Practical completion achieved of the playground equipment installation, awaiting installation of sprinklers then loam and sow. Completion date officially extended to Feb 2021. |
| Lake Dulverton Conservation Area Walkway Upgrade Project | New path from High Street along foreshore to stop over area. Upgrade of existing path from Aquatic building to just past school. Total distance 1500M approx. | Community Development Grant Australian Government (Election Commitment) | \$ 220 000 | | Maria Weeding | 5% | June 2021 | No change since last report. |
| Kempton Town Development Project | Alteration to Victoria Memorial Hall entrance and landscaping forecourt area. Clock tower – lettering works as required. | Community Development Grant Australian Government (Election Commitment) and Southern Midlands Council | \$75 000 | \$25,000 | Maria Weeding | 5% | July 2021 | Quotes for work being sought. |
| Campania Bush Reserve Shared Pathway | Widening the 650m walking track within the Reserve. Pathway surface is to be upgraded to polymer hardened aggregate gravel. | Community Development Grant Australian Government (Election Commitment) and Southern Midlands Council | \$100,000 | | Jack Lyall (Helen Geard) | 5% | June 2021 | No change since last report. Met on site and methodology determined for the works to progress as soon as can be scheduled. |

| Project Title | Brief Description | Grant Body | Project Value Grant Funds | Project Value SMC Funds | Project Manager | Current Project Status (% complete) | Anticipated Completion Date | Remarks |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Chauncy Vale Wildlife Sanctuary Pedestrian Bridge Project | Design and construction of two (2) pedestrian bridges across the Browns Cave Creek. The bridges allow for a permanent, safe and pleasant crossing of the creek as part of the overall walking track(s). | Community Development Grant Australian Government (Election Commitment) | \$55,000 | | Graham Green | 100% | 30.09.20 | Completed. |
| Day Dawn Creek Riparian Rehabilitation | Erosion control, bank stabilization and vegetation plantings for improved biodiversity and ground stability in vicinity of the "Day Dawn Creek". | Communities Environment Program (Australian Government) | \$15,000 | | Graham Green | 85% | 30.06.21 | Planning, design and procurement completed. Plantings have commenced (5 areas completed), stability works and silt/sediment fencing yet to commence. |
| South Central Subregion – Workforce Development Coordinator Project | The submission of grant for a workforce co-ordinator for the Southern Midlands, Central Highlands, Brighton and Derwent Valley to (three year position). The coordinator will then work under a project management committee. Damian Mackey prepared the successful grant application in partnership with the three other Council. | Tasmanian Community Fund | \$400,000 over three years | \$15,000 | Andrew Benson | 5% | July 2024 | Recruited Workforce Coordinator – Anthony has hit the ground running. |

| Project Title | Brief Description | Grant Body | Project Value Grant Funds | Project Value SMC Funds | Project Manager | Current Project Status (% complete) | Anticipated Completion Date | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Mangalore Recreation Ground Twin Arenas Project | Two new arenas for the pony clubs that call Mangalore Rec Grd home | Major Sport & Rec Grants Program Communities Sport & Recreation (Tas Government | \$36,784 | | Andrew Benson | 100% | June 2020 | Grant acquitted |
| Rejuvenation of the Runnymede Recreation Ground playing surface | Installation of a bore, pumps and 100,000 Itr tank, sprinklers and surface treatment | Major Sport & Rec Grants Program Communities Sport & Recreation (Tas Government | \$35,142 \$1,000 | \$40,000 \$ 3,000 | Andrew Benson | 65% | September 2020 | WIP, heavy rainfall has hampered the installation of sprinklers and surface dressing of the site. |
| Kempton Medical and Community Centre | Conversion of the former Principal's Residence and establishment of car parking | Department of Communities (Tas) Election Commitment | \$75,000 | \$25,000 | Tim Kirkwood / Andrew Benson | 98% | August 2020 | Grant about to be acquitted |
| Elderslie Rd / Bluff Rd Junction Improvements | Junction Improvements | Blackspot Roads Program Department of State Growth | \$150,000 | | Andrew Benson | 5% | May 2021 | Grant Deed has been signed, Survey to be undertaken, then Engineering Design and Construction |
| Kempton Off Lead Dog Park | Creation of an off- lead dog park adjacent to the Kempton Rec Grd | Tas Community Fund | \$42,665 | | David Cundall | | | Application has been submitted to TCF, awaiting decision |
| SOUTH | TOTA ERN MIDLANDS COUN | L GRANTS FUNDS CIL TOTAL FUNDS | | | | | | |

16.2.2 PROPOSED CHRISTMAS / NEW YEAR ARRANGEMENTS (INCLUDING OFFICE CLOSURE)

Author: ACTING GENERAL MANAGER (ANDREW BENSON)

Date: 14 OCTOBER 2020

ISSUE

To inform Council and seek endorsement of the proposed Christmas and New Year arrangements (including office closures).

DETAIL

The following arrangements are proposed for the 2020/21 Christmas and New Year period:

Council Offices:

Council Offices to close on Thursday, 24th December 2020 at 2.00 p.m., and re-open at 9.00 a.m. on Monday, 4th January 2021.

Household Garbage Collection Service:

Some services may be affected during this period but if there are any changes due to public holidays etc. households will be notified accordingly.

Waste Transfer Stations:

Campania, Dysart and Oatlands Waste Transfer Stations will be closed on Christmas Day and New Years Day.

Oatlands Swimming Pool:

Closed on Christmas Day and New Years Day.

On-Call Arrangements:

On-call arrangements will be in place during this period.

Human Resources & Financial Implications - With the exception of scheduled rostered days off, all staff will take Annual Leave on normal working days that fall during the closure period.

Community Consultation & Public Relations Implications - The proposed arrangements will be advertised following endorsement by Council.

Policy Implications - Consistent with standard Council Policy.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the information be received and Council endorse the proposed Office closure arrangements over the 2020/21 Christmas and New Year period.

Southern Midlands Council

Agenda – 28 October 2020

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| Clr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | |

16.2.3 LOCAL GOVERNMENT SHARED SERVICES – QUARTERLY UPDATE – INFORMATION ONLY

Author: ACTING GENERAL MANAGER (ANDREW BENSON)

Date: 21 OCTOBER 2020

Enclosure:

Shared Services Report – Southern Midlands Council – July to September 2020

ISSUE

To inform Council of the Common Services Joint Venture activities for the period July to September 2020.

BACKGROUND

There are seven existing members of the Common Services Joint Venture Agreement, with two other Council's participating as non-members.

Members: Brighton, Central Highlands, Glenorchy, Huon Valley, Sorell, Southern Midlands and Tasman.

It was noted at the July 2020 meeting that the Shared Services Administrator (coordinated by Brighton Council) is no longer a role that will be provided and the structure of the committee has changed with reports no longer being provided to member Councils.

Council will now include a standard internal report for Council's information on SMC hours on a quarterly basis.

DETAIL

Refer to the enclosed summary of services provided by and provided to the Southern Midlands.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the information be received.

| DECISION | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST |
| Mayor A O Green | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | |
| Clr A E Bisdee OAM | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | |

Southern Midlands Council

Agenda – 28 October 2020

ENCLOSURE(S)

Agenda Item 16.2.3

Shared Services Report - Southern Midlands Council July - September 2020

| | | July - September 2020 | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|
| Provided by Southern Midland | s | | | |
| Fortnight Ending | Council | Officer | Summary of Service Provided | Hours |
| 22/06/2020 - 05/07/2020 | Brighton Council | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 2 |
| 06/07/2020 - 19/07/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 2 |
| 20/07/2020 - 02/08/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 3 |
| 20/07/2020 - 02/08/2020 | | Weeds Officer - Jen Milne | Weeds Management | 2 |
| 03/08/2020 - 16/08/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 1 |
| 31/08/2020 - 13/09/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 2 |
| 31/08/2020 - 13/09/2020 | | Animal Management - Rachel Collos | Regulatory | 2 |
| | | | Total Hours | 14 |
| 22/06/2020 - 05/07/2020 | Central Highlands Council | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 16 |
| 22/06/2020 - 05/07/2020 | central rightands council | Planning Damian Mackey | Regulatory | 21 |
| 06/07/2020 - 19/07/2020 | | Planning Damian Mackey | Regulatory | 1 |
| 06/07/2020 - 19/07/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 10 |
| 20/07/2020 - 02/08/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 10 |
| 20/07/2020 - 02/08/2020 | | Planning Damian Mackey | | 2 |
| 03/08/2020 - 16/08/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory Regulatory | 11 |
| 03/08/2020 - 16/08/2020 | | Damian Mackey | Regulatory | 11 |
| | | | | |
| 17/08/2020 - 30/08/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 7 |
| 31/08/2020 - 13/09/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 8 |
| 31/08/2020 - 13/09/2020 | | Planning Damian Mackey | Regulatory | 2 |
| 14/09/2020 - 27/09/2020 | | Senior Planning Officer - Jacqui Tyson | Regulatory | 7 |
| 14/09/2020 - 27/09/2020 | | Planning Damian Mackey | Regulatory | 10 |
| | | | Total Hours | 116 |
| 22/06/2020 - 05/07/2020 | Derwent Valley | Permit Authority Plumbing - Shane Mitchell | Regulatory | 39.25 |
| 06/07/2020 - 19/07/2020 | | Permit Authority Plumbing - Shane Mitchell | Regulatory | 33.25 |
| 06/07/2020 - 19/07/2020 | | Heritage - Brad Williams | Heritage | 12 |
| 20/07/2020 - 02/08/2020 | | Permit Authority Plumbing - Shane Mitchell | Regulatory | 40.5 |
| 20/07/2020 - 02/08/2020 | | Planning Services - Damian Mackey | Regulatory | 18 10.25 |
| 20/07/2020 - 02/08/2020 17/08/2020 - 30/08/2020 | | Heritage - Brad Williams Planning Services - Damian Mackey | Heritage Regulatory | 10.25 |
| 17/08/2020 - 30/08/2020 | | Permit Authority Plumbing - Shane Mitchell | Regulatory | 25 |
| 31/08/2020 - 13/09/2020 | | Planning Damian Mackey | Regulatory | 23 |
| 31/08/2020 - 13/09/2020 | | Permit Authority Plumbing - Shane Mitchell | Regulatory | 16.5 |
| 31/08/2020 - 13/09/2020 | | Heritage - Brad Williams | Heritage | 4 |
| 14/09/2020 - 27/09/2020 | | Planning Damian Mackey | Regulatory | 6 |
| 14/09/2020 - 27/09/2020 | | Permit Authority Plumbing - Shane Mitchell | Regulatory | 8.25 |
| 14/09/2020 - 27/09/2020 | | Heritage - Brad Williams | Heritage | 19 |
| | | | Total Hours | 72.5 |
| Supplied to Southern Midlands | | | | |
| 01/07/2020 - 26/7/2020 | Brighton Council | Lee Wighton | Development Engineering | 3.25 |
| 27/07/2020 - 09/08/2020 10/08/2020 - 23/08/2020 | Brighton Council Brighton Council | Lee Wighton Lee Wighton | Development Engineering Development Engineering | 1.5 3.25 |
| 10/00/2020 - 23/00/2020 | Signon Council | Lee Wighton | Total Hours | 3.25 |
| | | | rotarriours | 0 |

16.2.4 TABLING OF DOCUMENTS

Nil.

16.2.5 ELECTED MEMBER STATEMENTS

An opportunity is provided for elected members to brief fellow Councillors on issues not requiring a decision.

16.3 Finances

Strategic Plan Reference 5.3

Community's finances will be managed responsibly to enhance the wellbeing of residents / Council will maintain community wealth to ensure that the wealth enjoyed by today's generation may also be enjoyed by tomorrow's generation / Council's financial position will be robust enough to recover from unanticipated events, and absorb the volatility inherent in revenues and expenses.

16.3.1 MONTHLY FINANCIAL STATEMENT (PERIOD ENDING 30 SEPTEMBER 2020)

Author: FINANCE OFFICER (MANDY BURBURY)

Date: 14 OCTOBER 2020

ISSUE

Provide the Financial Report for the period ending 30th September 2020.

BACKGROUND

The format of the Operating Expenditure Report has been amended to include a Year To Date (YTD) Budget Column, with variations (and percentage) based on YTD Budgets – as opposed to total annual Budget.

Note: Depreciation is calculated on an annual basis at the end of the financial year and therefore the budget for depreciation is included in the June period.

DETAIL

The enclosed Report incorporates the following: -

- Statement of Comprehensive Income 1 July 2019 to 30 September 2020.
- Operating Expenditure Budget Report 1 July 2019 to 30 September 2020.
- Capital Expenditure Estimates as at 30 September 2020.
- Cash Flow Statement 1 July 2019 to 30 September 2020.
- Rates & Charges as at 11th October 2020.

OPERATING EXPENDITURE ESTIMATES (OPERATING BUDGET)

Overall operating expenditure to end of September was \$1,984,339, which represents 99.0% of the Year to Date Budget.

Whilst there are some variations within the individual Program Budgets (refer following comments), expenditure is consistent with the Budget.

Strategic Theme - Infrastructure

Sub-Program – Roads – expenditure to date (\$386,680 – 112.33%). Additional expenditure relates to work required during ongoing wet weather conditions.

Sub-Program – Drainage – expenditure to date (\$10,264 – 150.56%). Additional expenditure relates to repairs to flood damage due to ongoing wet weather conditions.

Strategic Theme – Growth

Sub-Program – Business – expenditure to date (\$71,384 - 132.23%). Additional expenditure relates to Private Works, including wages and gravel. There will be an increase in income to offset the additional expense.

Strategic Theme – Landscapes

Sub-Program – Natural - .expenditure to date (\$46,839 – 111.85%). Additional expenditure relates to grant funds of \$4,884 received in 2019/20, expended in 2020-21, for spraying of thistles in Woodbury.

Sub-Program – Animals - .expenditure to date (\$34,371 – 120.42%). Additional expenditure relates to reduced hours of resource sharing and a higher number of call-outs than budgeted.

Strategic Theme – Community

Sub-Program – Community Health & Wellbeing – expenditure to date (\$85,538 - \$120.75%). Additional expenditure relates to wages and on-costs to be allocated to capital projects, currently showing as an operating expense.

Strategic Theme – Organisation

Nil.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the Financial Report be received and the information noted.

| DECISION | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST | | | | | |
| Mayor A O Green | | | | | | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | | | | | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | | | | | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | | | | | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | | | | | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | | | | | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | | | | | | |

STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME for the period 1st July 2020 to 30 September 2020

| | Annual Budget \$ | Year to Date as at 30 September \$ | % | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------|------------------------------|
| Income | | | | |
| General rates | 5,797,406.00 | 5,726,271.43 | 98.8% | Budget includes Interest & P |
| User Fees (refer Note 1) | 681,158.00 | 256,536.13 | 37.7% | |
| Interest | 175,000.00 | 12,149.23 | 6.9% | |
| Government Subsidies | 19,200.00 | 0.00 | 0.0% | Heavy Vehicle Licence Fees |
| Contract Income | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0% | |
| Other (refer Note 2) | 86,000.00 | 448.00 | 0.5% | |
| Sub-Total | \$6,758,764.00 | \$5,995,404.79 | 88.7% | |
| Grants - Operating | 3,564,167.00 | 422,254.00 | 11.8% | |
| Total Income | \$10,322,931.00 | \$6,417,658.79 | 62.2% | |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Employee benefits | -4,113,303.00 | -977,430.49 | 23.8% | Less Roads - Resheeting Ca |
| Materials and contracts | -3,195,181.00 | -942,776.68 | 29.5% | Less Roads - Resheeting Ca |
| Depreciation and amortisation | -3,003,866.00 | -755,070.14 | 25.1% | Percentage Calculation (bas |
| Finance costs | -18,850.00 | -3,858.96 | 20.5% | |
| Contributions | -233,907.00 | 0.00 | 0.0% | Fire Service Levies |
| Other | -145,526.00 | -75,012.41 | 51.5% | Includes Rate Discounts |
| Total expenses | -\$10,710,633.00 | -\$2,754,148.68 | 25.7% | |
| Surplus (deficit) from operations | -\$387,702.00 | \$3,663,510.11 | -944.9% | |
| Grants - Capital (refer Note 3) | 3,558,627.00 | 250,000.00 | 7.0% | |
| Sale Proceeds (Plant & Machinery) | 0.00 | 48,078.18 | 0.0% | |
| Net gain / (loss on disposal of non-current assets) | 5,818.00 | 0.00 | 0.0% | |
| Surplus / (Deficit) | \$3,176,743.00 | \$3,961,588.29 | 124.7% | |

Comments

Penalties to be imposed to 30 June 2021

es & Road Rescue MAIB reimbursements

Capitalised Capitalised, Includes Land Tax ased on year-to-date)

STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME for the period 1st July 2020 to 30 September 2020

| | Annual Budget \$ | Year to Date as at 30 September \$ | % | |
|---|------------------------|--|--------|--|
| NOTES | • | • | | |
| 1. Income - User Fees (Budget \$730,602) includes: | | | | |
| - All other Programs | 458,701.00 | 172,475.57 | 37.6% | Actual Income Received (i.e. e) |
| - Private Works | 222,457.00 | 83,930.57 | 37.7% | , |
| - Tourism | 0.00 | 129.99 | 0.0% | Merchandise / Dulverton Showe |
| | \$681,158.00 | \$256,536.13 | 37.7% | |
| 2. Income - Other (Budget \$162,000) includes: | | | | |
| - Tas Water Distributions | 76,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| - HBS Dividend | 10,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| - Other | 0.00 | 448.00 | | Blue Gum Rovers |
| | \$86,000.00 | \$448.00 | 0.5% | |
| 3. Grant - Capital (Budget \$1,669,375) includes: | | | | |
| (CDGP) Oatlands Aquatic Centre | 500,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| (CDGP) Callington Park Playground | 500,000.00 | 250,000.00 | 50.00% | |
| (CDGP) Chauncy Vale Pedestrian Bridge | 55,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| (CDGP) Lake Dulverton Walkways | 220,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| (CDGP) Campania Bush Reserve | 100,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| (CDGP) Kempton Streetscape Project | 75,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| (CDGP) Broadmarsh Streetscape Project | 230,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| (CDGP) Oatlands Underground Lighting | 250,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| - Midland Hway/ Mood Food Pathway | 147,565.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| - Elderslie/Bluff Road Junction | 150,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| - Roads To Recovery | 665,531.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| Local Roads and Community Infrastructure Prog | 665,531.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| - Other | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00% | |
| | \$3,558,627.00 | \$250,000.00 | 7.03% | |
| Grant - Operating (Budget \$1,669,375) includes: Operating Grants | | | | |
| - FAGS | 3,564,167.00 | 422,254.00 | 11.8% | \$1,840,420 received in advance Advised actual distrubution for 2 |
| - Other | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0% | |
| | \$3,564,167.00 | \$422,254.00 | 11.8% | |
| | | | | |

Comments

excluding Debtors)

wer income

nce in 2019-20 or 2020-21 to be \$3,529,436

| | | | BUDGET | EXPENDITURE \$ | VARIANCE \$ |
|-------------------------------------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| INFRASTRUCTURE ROAD ASSETS | | | | | |
| Resheeting Program | Various | Roads Resheeting | 500,000.00 | 241,693.99 | 258,306.01 |
| Reseal Program | | Roads Resealing (as per agreed program) | 300,000.00 | 0.00 | 300,000.00 |
| | | Levendale - Woodsdale Road (300m reseal) | 50,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000.00 |
| | | Campania - Native Corners Road | 50,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000.00 |
| Reconstruct & Seal | | Woodsdale Road (1klm Reconstruction) | 330,000.00 | 0.00 | 330,000.00 |
| | C1010094 | Woodsdale Road Reconstruct & Seal (800m + 430m) | 122,141.00 | 111,068.38 | 11,072.62 |
| Construct & Seal (Unsealed Roads) | | Bagdad - Huntingdon Tier (350m new seal) | 73,500.00 | 0.00 | 73,500.00 |
| | | Campania - Native Corners Road (900m new seal) | 173,250.00 | 0.00 | 173,250.00 |
| | | Mangalore - Ballyhooly Road (300m new seal) | 57,750.00 | 0.00 | 57,750.00 |
| | | Rhyndaston - Rhyndaston Road (800m through township) | 154,000.00 | 0.00 | 154,000.00 |
| | | Tunnack - Eldon Road (1500m new seal) | 288,750.00 | 0.00 | 288,750.00 |
| Minor Seals (New) | | Dust Suppressant Seal | 50,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000.00 |
| | | Elderslie - Cornish's Road Dust Suppressant | 25,000.00 | 0.00 | 25,000.00 |
| | | York Plains - York Plains Road Dust Suppressant | 25,000.00 | 0.00 | 25,000.00 |
| Junction / Road Realignment / Other | C1010088 | Bagdad - School Carpark | 0.00 | 394.21 | -394.21 |
| | | Campania - Estate Road (vicinity Mallow property) | 10,000.00 | 0.00 | 10,000.00 |
| | | Campania - Main Intersection/Carpark Design Concept | 50,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000.00 |
| | C1010037 | Campania - Reeve St / Clime Street (includes Footpath) | 70,000.00 | 11,418.84 | 58,581.16 |
| | C1020047 | Colebrook - Lovely Banks/Mudwalls Road Junction | 0.00 | 5,013.15 | -5,013.15 |
| | | Dysart - Cliftonvale & Sugarloaf Rd Junction Improvements | 50,000.00 | 16,884.46 | 33,115.54 |
| | C1020070 | Elderslie - Bluff Road Intersection Upgrade | 150,000.00 | 2,138.38 | 147,861.62 |
| | | Elderslie - Elderslie Road Widening Investigation & Trial (Sth Blackbrush Rd) | 40,000.00 | 0.00 | 40,000.00 |
| | C1010096 | Elderslie - Elderslie Road Safety Railing (250m) | 21,250.00 | 29,252.60 | -8,002.60 |
| | | Oatlands - Interlaken Road Preliminary Work (vicinity of Wallace) | 25,000.00 | 0.00 | 25,000.00 |
| | | Oatlands - Hasting Street Junction | 15,000.00 | 958.52 | 14,041.48 |
| | C1020074 | Oatlands - Henrietta Street 200m | 0.00 | 736.44 | -738.44 |
| | | Mangalore - Roberts Road (additional culverts) | 12,000.00 | 0.00 | 12,000.00 |
| | | Tea Tree - Grices Road (Tree removal, set-back of embankment, drainage) | 15,000.00 | 0.00 | 15,000.00 |
| | | Woodsdale - Woodsdale Road (400m safety rail in two sections, The Cutting) | 17,000.00 | 0.00 | 17,000.00 |
| | | | \$2,674,641.00 | \$419,558.97 | \$2,255,082.03 |
| BRIDGE ASSETS | | Woodsdale Road (Nutting Garden Rivulet - Bridge No 4084) | 148,200.00 | 0.00 | 148,200.00 |
| | | Elderslie Road (Stonyhurst Creek B3280) | 0 | 7316.88 | -7,316.88 |
| | | | \$148,200.00 | \$7,316.88 | \$140,883.12 |
| | | | | | |

PUBLIC COPY

```
CE
$
                               COMMENTS
.01
00
00 RTR
00 RTR
00 RTR
.62 RTR $122,141 Budget c/fwd WIP 30/06/20 $4478.95
.00 LRCI
00 RTR
00
00 LRCI
00 LRCI
00
00 LRCI
00 LRCI
.21
00
00 $50K c/fwd
16 $70K Budget c/fwd WIP 30/6/20 $11,418.84
15
54 WIP 30/06/20 $16,884.46
.62 WIP 30/06/20 $138.38
00
60
00
48 $15K Budget c/fwd WIP 30/6/19 $958.52
44
00
00
00
03
00 RTR
88 Upgrade due to flood damage
12
```

| AS AT 50 SEPTEMBER 2020 | | | BUDGET | | VARIANCE |
|-------------------------|----------|--|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| WALKWAYS | | Footpaths - General Streetscapes | 170,906.00 | 0.00 | 170,908.00 |
| | C1040014 | Bagdad - East Bagdad Road | 230,000.00 | 10,961.94 | 219,038.06 \$105K Bud |
| | G1040007 | Broadmarsh - Streetscape Works | 230,000.00 | 12,780.57 | 217,219.43 WIP 30/06 |
| | | Campania - Review Manag. Plan (Site Plan) / Walking Tracks (Bush Reserve) | 5,000.00 | 0.00 | 5,000.00 \$5K Budge |
| | C1040005 | Campania - Reeve Street - Footpath through to Hall | 30,000.00 | 0.00 | 30,000.00 |
| | G1040008 | Kempton - Louisa Street (from Huntinground Road) | 38,000.00 | 0.00 | 38,000.00 LRCI |
| | | Kempton - Midlands Highway/Mood Food | 147,565.00 | 0.00 | 147,565.00 |
| | C1040004 | Kempton - Streetscape Plan (Review & Implementation) | 35,000.00 | 0.00 | 35,000.00 |
| | | Kempton - Streetscape Plan - Footpath Renewal (Dysart House) | 23,000.00 | 0.00 | 23,000.00 |
| | G1040006 | Kempton - Streetscape Plan - Victoria Memorial Hall | 110,000.00 | 4,023.18 | 105,976.82 WIP 30/06 |
| | G2020002 | Melton Mowbray - Streetscape Works (Trough / Shelter etc) | 30,000.00 | 5,318.18 | 24,681.82 WIP 30/06 |
| | C1040016 | Oatlands - High Street (Footpath Renewal) | 60,000.00 | 0.00 | 60,000.00 \$20K Budg |
| | C1040022 | Tunbridge - Main Street (Ongoing Kerb & Gutter Renewal) | 20,000.00 | 0.00 | 20,000.00 |
| | C1040030 | Tunnack - Streeetscape concept Plan | 45,000.00 | 11,489.42 | 33,510.58 |
| | | | \$1,174,471.00 | \$44,573.29 | \$1,129,897.71 |
| | | | | | |
| LIGHTING | C1050001 | Oatlands - Esplanade Project (Total Project Cost \$128k year 2-2) | 384,000.00 | 100,564.39 | 283,435.61 \$64k Budg |
| | | | \$384,000.00 | \$100,564.39 | \$283,435.61 |
| PUBLIC TOILETS | C1110002 | Campania - Flour Mill Park - Concrete Pathways/drainage/remove pavers | 15,000.00 | 0.00 | 15,000.00 \$15 Budge |
| TODEIC TOLETS | 01110002 | | | | - |
| | | General Public Toilets - Upgrade Program | 20,000.00 | 0.00 | 20,000.00 |
| | | | \$35,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$35,000.00 |
| DRAINAGE | | Bagdad - Lyndon Road | 15,000.00 | 0.00 | 15,000.00 \$15K Budg |
| | C1090013 | Bagdad - Midland Highway/Swan Street Drainage | 50,000.00 | 8,178.31 | 41,821.69 \$50K Budg |
| | C1090030 | Broadmarsh - Elderslie Road | 0.00 | 2,796.00 | -2,796.00 |
| | | Campania - Estate Road (School Farm) | 10,000.00 | 0.00 | 10,000.00 \$10K Budg |
| | C1090029 | Oatlands - Stormwater Barrack Street | 0.00 | 108.77 | -108.77 |
| | | Oatlands - High St/Wellington Street Junction | 5,000.00 | 0.00 | 5,000.00 \$5K Budge |
| | | | \$80,000.00 | \$11,083.08 | \$68,916.92 |
| | | | 5 000 00 | | 5 000 00 |
| WASTE | C110001 | Wheelie Bins and Crates | 5,000.00 | 0.00 | 5,000.00 |
| | C110002 | Dysart WTS - General Improvements | 15,000.00 | 0.00 | 15,000.00 \$15K Budg |
| | | Oatlands WTS - Concrete Pad(s) | 25,000.00 | 0.00 | 25,000.00 \$25K Budg |
| | | | \$45,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$45,000.00 |
| LANDSCAPES | | | | | |
| HERITAGE | | Heritage Collections Store | 10,000.00 | 0.00 | 10,000.00 |
| | | Kempton - Watch House (Internal Fit out) | 4,000.00 | 0.00 | 4,000.00 \$4K Budg |
| | C3010003 | Oatlands - Callington Mill (Asset Renewals) | 20,000.00 | 0.00 | 20,000.00 |
| | | Oatlands - Gaol Aluminum Temporary Steps (Entrance) | 3,500.00 | 0.00 | 3,500.00 \$3.5K Bud |
| | | Oatlands - Commissariat (79 High Street) Boundary Fence | 6,000.00 | 0.00 | 6,000.00 |
| | | Oatlands - Roche Hall Forecourt (Interps - Planning Condition of Approval) | 40,000.00 | 0.00 | 40,000.00 \$40K Bud |
| | | | \$83,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$83,500.00 |

PUBLIC COPY

COMMENTS

Budget c/fwd WIP 30/6/20 \$4400.94 /06/20 \$4119.85 dget c/fwd

/06/20 \$3393.18 /06/20 \$5318.18 udget c/fwd

udget c/fwd WIP 30/6/20 \$61053.34

dget c/fwd

udget c/fwd udget c/fwd WIP 30/06/20 \$8178.31

udget c/fwd

dget c/fwd

udget c/fwd udget c/fwd

udget c/fwd

Budget c/fwd

Budget c/fwd

| | | | BUDGET | | VARIANCE \$ |
|-----------------------------|----------|---|----------------|----------------|--|
| NATURAL | G3020015 | Campania - Bush Reserve (Walking/Riding Path) | 100,000.00 | 1,534.83 | 98,465.17 \$100K Budget |
| | G3020013 | Chauncy Vale - Sanctuary Bridge | 55,000.00 | 65,263.62 | -10,263.62 \$55K Budget c |
| | | Chauncy Vale - Caves Loop | 21,000.00 | 0.00 | 21,000.00 |
| | G3020014 | Chauncy Vale - Erosion, Stabilisation & Revegitation | 15,000.00 | 13,255.38 | 1,744.62 \$15K Budget c |
| | C3020008 | Oatlands - Mahers Point Lanscape Plan | 22,404.00 | 8,640.04 | 13,763.96 \$22404 Budget |
| | G3020006 | Oatlands - Lake Dulverton Walkway (Grants \$135K + \$85K) | 220,000.00 | 8,286.88 | 211,713.12 \$220K Budget |
| | | | \$433,404.00 | \$96,980.75 | \$336,423.25 |
| CULTURAL | | Oatlands - Heritage HUB Internal fitout | 10,000.00 | 0.00 | 10,000.00 \$10K Budget c/ |
| | | | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 |
| REGULATORY - DEVELOPMENT | C3040001 | Kempton Council Chambers - Chambers Restoration Works | 5.000.00 | 0.00 | 5.000.00 |
| | C3040002 | Kempton Council Chambers - Clock Restoration Works | 20,000.00 | 501.50 | 19,498.50 |
| | C9990001 | Kempton Council Chambers - Office Furniture & Equipment | 5,000.00 | 0.00 | 5,000.00 |
| | | | \$30,000.00 | \$501.50 | \$29,498.50 |
| | | | | | |
| REGULATORY - PUBLIC HEALTH | | Water Bottle Refill Stations | 7,980.00 | 0.00 | 7,980.00 \$20k Budget c/ |
| | | Kempton - Community Health Facility | 400,000.00 | 164,098.83 | 235,901.17 \$225k Budget o |
| | | | \$407,980.00 | \$164,098.83 | \$243,881.17 |
| REGULATORY - ANIMAL CONTROL | C4080001 | Kempton - Dog Pound(s) | 35,000.00 | 468.18 | 34,531.82 \$20k Budget c/f |
| | | | \$35,000.00 | \$468.18 | \$34,531.82 |
| COMMUNITY | | | | | |
| RECREATION | C4070005 | Recreation Committee | 20,000.00 | 0.00 | 20,000.00 |
| | C4070025 | Campania - Public Open Space dev (Play Equip Alexander Circle) | 16,000.00 | 0.00 | 16,000.00 \$16K Budget c/ |
| | | Campania - Public Open Space dev (Scaife Subdivision) | 23,000.00 | 0.00 | 23,000.00 \$23K Budget c/ |
| | | Campania - Recreation Ground (Internal Toilet Improvements) | 40,000.00 | 0.00 | 40,000.00 |
| | C4070038 | Campania - Recreation Ground (Nets) | 45,000.00 | 47,040.00 | -2,040.00 \$45K Budget c/ |
| | C4070036 | Colebrook - Hall - Heating Upgrade | 24,000.00 | 24,000.00 | 0.00 |
| | | Colebrook - Recreation Ground - New Pitch Cover | 4,500.00 | 0.00 | 4,500.00 |
| | | Kempton - Recreation Ground (Lighting) | 16,000.00 | 0.00 | 16,000.00 \$16K Budget c/ |
| | | Kempton - Recreation Ground (Roof Structure - Entry to Clubrooms) | 15,000.00 | 0.00 | 15,000.00 \$15K Budget c/ |
| | | Mangalore Hall (replace Guttters and Roofing) | 18,000.00 | 0.00 | 18,000.00 \$18K Budget c |
| | G4070038 | Mount Pleasant - Recreation Ground (Upgrade Toilets & Stabilisation) | 115,336.00 | 45,703.61 | 69,632.39 \$38K Budget c/h Including addition |
| | C4070034 | Oatlands - Aquatic Centre (New Pool) | 2,900,000.00 | 352,851.95 | 2,547,148.05 WIP 30/06/20 |
| | | Oatlands - Aquatic Centre (New Pool) | 0.00 | 395,986.00 | -395,986.00 WIP 30/6/19 \$ |
| | | Oatlands - Aquatic Centre (New Pool) | 0.00 | 379,803.40 | -379,803.40 WIP 30/6/18 \$ |
| | | Oatlands - Community Hall - Repointing & Crack Repairs | 15,000.00 | 0.00 | 15,000.00 |
| | G4070040 | Oatlands - Destination Playground Callington Park (inc. reveg & water system) | 500,000.00 | 283,135.73 | 216,864.27 \$500 Budget of |
| | C4070001 | Parratah - Rec Ground - External Toilet (linked to walkway) | 12,000.00 | 6,192.00 | 5,808.00 \$12K Budget c/ |
| | G4070039 | Runnymede - Recreation Ground (resurfacing & watering system) | 45,000.00 | 42,459.00 | 2,541.00 \$20K Budget c/ |
| | | Tunbridge Park - Perimeter Fence (Safety) | 30,000.00 | 0.00 | 20,000,00, \$20K Budget of |
| | | ranonage rank -reinneter rende (datety) | \$3,838,836.00 | \$1,577,171.69 | 30,000.00 \$30K Budget c/ \$2,241,664.31 |

COMMENTS

get c/fwd WIP 30/06/20 \$358 et c/fwd WIP \$42698.10

et c/fwd WIP \$6056.76 get c/fwd get c/fwd WIP \$6198.70

t c/fwd

t c/fwd et c/fwd WIP 30/06/20 \$27548.57

t c/fwd

t c/fwd t c/fwd

t c/fwd

t c/fwd t c/fwd et c/fwd c/fwd WIP 30/06/20 \$7261.18 Total project budget \$115.336 Itional contributions of \$29,336 recvd 2019/20 from Mt Pleasant 20 \$166,197.29 9 \$395,896.00 8 \$379,803.40 t c/fwd WIP 30/06/20 \$282200.25 t c/fwd

t c/fwd WIP 30/06/20 \$40,527

t c/fwd

| | | | BUDGET | EXPENDITURE | VARIANCE |
|----------------------------|----------|---|-----------------|----------------|------------------|
| ACCESS | C4070035 | All Buildings (Priority Approach - Year 5 of 5 @ \$10K/year) | 50,000.00 | 0.00 | 50,000.00 |
| | | | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$50,000.00 |
| CAPACITY & SUSTAINABLILITY | C5020001 | Levendale Community Centre | 38,390.00 | 0.00 | 38,390.00 \$8K B |
| | | Oatlands - Midlands Memorial Community Centre - Double glazed windows | 40,000.00 | 0.00 | 40,000.00 |
| | C5020002 | Oatlands Structure Plan | 25,000.00 | 7,001.00 | 17,999.00 \$25K |
| | | | \$103,390.00 | \$7,001.00 | \$96,389.00 |
| SAFETY | | Road Accident Rescue Unit | 3,000.00 | 0.00 | 3,000.00 |
| | | | \$3,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$3,000.00 |
| ORGANISATION | | | | | |
| SUSTAINABILITY | | Oatlands - Council Chambers - Internal Toilets Upgrade | 100,000.00 | 0.00 | 100,000.00 \$60K |
| | C6020007 | Oatlands - Council Chambers - Damp Issues & Stonemasonry | 15,000.00 | 0.00 | 15,000.00 \$15K |
| | | Oatlands - Council Chambers - Works Office (floor coverings) | 5,000.00 | 0.00 | 5,000.00 \$5K B |
| | C6020007 | Oatlands - Council chambers - Memorials - Forecourt | 4,000.00 | 4,000.00 | 0.00 |
| | C9990001 | Oatlands - Town Hall (General - Incl. Office Equip/Furniture) | 5,500.00 | 359.09 | 5,140.91 |
| | C6020003 | Computer System (Hardware / Software) | 37,500.00 | 6,422.51 | 31,077.49 |
| | | | \$167,000.00 | \$10,781.60 | \$156,218.40 |
| WORKS | C6020011 | Kempton Depot - Property Purchase (Year 1 Budget of \$180K) | 45,000.00 | 0.00 | 45,000.00 Total |
| | C6020011 | Kempton Depot - Internal Building Improvements | 15,000.00 | 0.00 | 15,000.00 \$10K |
| | | Kempton Depot - Storage Lockers | 2,000.00 | 0.00 | 2,000.00 |
| | C6020001 | Oatlands Depot - Solar Panels | 16,000.00 | 10,059.29 | 5,940.71 |
| | C9990002 | Minor Plant Purchases | 9,500.00 | 1,453.64 | 8,046.36 |
| | C6020008 | Radio System | 3,000.00 | 0.00 | 3,000.00 |
| | | Excavator (add Rockbreaker) MP37/2020 | 12,800.00 | 12,200.00 | 600.00 |
| | | Steam Weeder c/w Trailer Plant Replacement Program | 32,000.00 | 0.00 | 32,000.00 |
| | | Heavy Vehicles | 514,000.00 | 49,519.65 | 464,480.35 |
| | | Light Vehicles (Trade Allowance - \$280K) | 210,000.00 | 0.00 | 210,000.00 |
| | | | \$859,300.00 | \$73,232.58 | \$786,067.42 |
| | | GRAND TOTALS | \$10,562,722.00 | \$2,513,332.74 | \$8,029,389.26 |

PUBLIC COPY

COMMENTS

Budget c/fwd

iK Budget c/fwd

DK Budget c/fwd 5K Budget c/fwd K Budget c/fwd

tal Project Cost - to be funded over 4 yrs (Yr 2 - \$45K) 0K Budget c/fwd

| CASH FLOW 2020/2021 | INFLOWS (OUTFLOWS) (July 2020) \$ | INFLOWS (OUTFLOWS) (August 2020) \$ | INFLOWS (OUTFLOWS) (September 2020) \$ | INFLOWS (OUTFLOWS) (Year to Date) \$ |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | |
| Payments | 000 007 70 | 000 704 74 | 400 474 07 | 4 000 404 44 |
| Employee costs | - 280,287.76 | ' | | - 1,000,164.14 |
| Materials and contracts | - 383,806.48 | - 268,732.24 | - 429,699.31 | - 1,082,238.03 |
| Interest Other | - 3,858.96 | 40 597 70 | 50 672 90 | - 3,858.96 |
| Other | - <u>32,591.93</u> - 700,545.13 | | | - 141,852.61 - 2,228,113.74 |
| Receipts | 100,010.10 | 000,021.11 | 022,010.01 | 2,220,110.11 |
| Rates | 112,384.27 | 1,497,162.58 | 1,454,076.61 | 3,063,623.46 |
| User charges | 78,429.45 | 97,821.67 | · · · | |
| Interest received | 6,114.29 | 2,283.96 | 3,750.98 | 12,149.23 |
| Subsidies | - | - | - | - |
| Other revenue grants | - | 422,254.00 | | 422,254.00 |
| GST Refunds from ATO | - | | | - |
| Other | - 34,640.70 | 75,652.19 | 80,812.24 | 121,823.73 |
| | 162,287.31 | 2,095,174.40 | 1,346,948.36 | 3,604,410.07 |
| | | | | |
| Net cash from operating activities | - 538,257.82 | 1,490,149.66 | 424,404.49 | 1,376,296.33 |
| Cash flows from investing activities Payments for property, plant & equipment Proceeds from sale of property, plant & | - 216,309.91 | - 288,121.91 | - 292,980.13 | - 797,411.95 |
| equipment | 16,390.91 | 54.54 | 31,632.73 | 48,078.18 |
| Proceeds from Capital grants | - | - | 250,000.00 | 250,000.00 |
| Proceeds from Investments Payment for Investments | - | - | , | - |
| Net cash used in investing activities | - 199,919.00 - | 288,067.37 | - 11,347.40 | - 499,333.77 |
| Cash flows from financing activities Repayment of borrowings Proceeds from borrowings | - 7,349.62 | - | - | - 7,349.62 |
| Net cash from (used in) financing activities | - 7,349.62 | - | - | - 7,349.62 |
| Net increase/(decrease) in cash held Cash at beginning of reporting period | - 745,526.44 13,687,843.06 | 1,202,082.29 12,942,316.62 | 413,057.09 14,144,398.91 | 869,612.94 13,687,843.06 |
| Cash at end of year to date | 12,942,316.62 | 14,144,398.91 | 14,557,456.00 | 14,557,456.00 |
| - | | | ~ ~ | |

SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL : OPERATING EXPENDITURE 2020/21 SUMMARY SHEET

| PROGRAM | YTD ACTUAL (as at 30 Sept 20) | YTD BUDGET (as at 30 Sept 20) | YTD VARIANCE | YTD VARIANCE % | FULL YEAR BUDGET - REVISED INC. GRANTS & OTHER |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|
| INFRASTRUCTURE | | | | | |
| Roads | 386,680 | 344,239 | - 42,440 | 112.33% | 3,228,957 |
| Bridges | 4,438 | 4,985 | 547 | 89.04% | 399,239 |
| Walkways | 52,244 | 54,538 | 2,294 | 95.79% | 223,152 |
| Lighting | 21,121 | 22,800 | 1,679 | 92.64% | 91,200 |
| Public Toilets | 18,917 | 20,734 | 1,817 | 91.24% | 76,936 |
| Sewer/Water | - | | - | - | - |
| Drainage | 10,264 | 6,817 | - 3,447 | 150.56% | 79,269 |
| Waste | 188,952 | 260,046 | 71,093 | 72.66% | 1,055,682 |
| Information, Communication | | - | - | - | |
| INFRASTRUCTURE TOTAL: | 682,617 | 714,159 | 31,542 | 95.58% | 5,154,435 |
| GROWTH Residential | | | | | |
| Tourism | 6,773 | 16,126 | 9,352 | 42.00% | 55,502 |
| Business | 71,384 | 53,983 | | 132.23% | 980,933 |
| Industry | /1,304 | | - 17,401 | 132.23% | 800,833 |
| GROWTH TOTAL: | 78,158 | 70,109 | - 8,049 | 111.48% | 1,036,435 |
| LANDSCAPES | | | | | |
| Heritage | 82,908 | 97,835 | 14,926 | 84.74% | 373,938 |
| Natural | 46,839 | 41,877 | | 111.85% | 186,633 |
| Cultural | 40,008 | 4,125 | 4,125 | 0.00% | 16,500 |
| Regulatory - Development | 213,235 | 219,296 | 6,061 | 97.24% | 877,183 |
| Regulatory - Public Health | 2,791 | 4,066 | 1,275 | 68.65% | 16,265 |
| Regulatory - Animals | 34,371 | 28,542 | | 120.42% | 100,867 |
| Environmental Sustainability | | - | - | - | - |
| LANDSCAPES TOTAL: | 380,145 | 395,740 | 15,595 | 96.06% | 1,571,386 |
| COMMUNITY | | | | | |
| Community Health & Wellbeing | 85,538 | 70,842 | - 14,696 | 120.75% | 283,366 |
| Recreation | 75,200 | 112,930 | 37,729 | 66.59% | 511,239 |
| Access | | | - | - | |
| Volunteers | | 2,500 | 2,500 | 0.00% | 50,000 |
| Families | 4,000 | 5,250 | 1,250 | 76.19% | 9,000 |
| Education | | - | | - | |
| Capacity & Sustainability | 3,627 | 9,976 | 6,349 | 36.35% | 37,405 |
| Safety | 6,395 | 10,523 | 4,128 | 60.77% | 45,091 |
| Consultation & Communication | 3,046 | 4,950 | 1,904 | 61.54% | 19,800 |
| LIFESTYLE TOTAL: | 177,807 | 216,970 | 39,164 | 81.95% | 955,901 |
| | | | | | |
| ORGANISATION | | | | | |
| Improvement | 30,464 | 29,114 | | 104.64% | 116,455 |
| Sustainability | 593,806 | 548,336 | | 108.29% | 2,331,117 |
| Finances | 41,344 | 39,000 | - 2,344 | 106.01% | 309,907 |
| | | 616,450 | - 49,164 | 107.98% | 2,757,479 |

| TOTALS | 1,984,339 | 2.013.428 | 29.088 | 99% | 11.475.636 |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------|------|------------|
| TOTALS | 1,004,000 | 2,010,420 | 20,000 | 5576 | 11,413,030 |

| SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL SUMMARY OF RATES AND CHARGES LEVIED, REMITTED AND COLLECTED | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|--------------|----------|---------------------|--------------|--|
| | This Fina | | | | Last Financial Year | | |
| | 11th Oct | obe | r 2020 | 11th Oct | :ope | er 2019 | |
| Arrears brought forward as at July 1 | | \$ | 527,651.89 | | \$ | 429,240.71 | |
| ADD current rates and charges levied | | \$ | 5,726,271.43 | | \$ | 5,620,415.53 | |
| ADD current interest and penalty | | \$ | - | | \$ | 22,691.34 | |
| TOTAL rates and charges demanded | 100.00% | \$ | 6,253,923.32 | 100.00% | \$ | 6,072,347.58 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| LESS rates and charges collected | 46.15% | \$ | 2,886,238.12 | 43.76% | \$ | 2,657,313.66 | |
| LESS pensioner remissions | 3.95% | \$ | 246,734.30 | 3.90% | \$ | 236,804.72 | |
| LESS other remissions and refunds | -0.03% | -\$ | 2,147.86 | -0.17% | -\$ | 10,418.61 | |
| LESS discounts | 0.53% | \$ | 33,075.05 | 0.49% | \$ | 29,518.35 | |
| TOTAL rates and charges collected and remitted | 50.59% | \$ | 3,163,899.61 | 47.98% | \$ | 2,913,218.12 | |
| UNPAID RATES AND CHARGES | 49.41% | \$ | 3,090,023.71 | 52.02% | \$ | 3,159,129.46 | |

17. MUNICIPAL SEAL

Nil.

18. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA

Council to address urgent business items previously accepted onto the agenda.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the following items are to be dealt with in Closed Session.

| Matter | Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Reference |
|--|--|
| Closed Council Minutes - Confirmation | 15(2) |
| Applications for Leave of Absence | 15(2)(h) |
| Waste Transfer Station Waste Collection Tenders | 15(2)(d) |
| Property Matter -Oatlands | 15(2)(f) |

| DECISION | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST | | | |
| Mayor A O Green | | | | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | | | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | | | | |
| Clr A E Bisdee OAM | | | | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | | | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | | | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | | | | |

RECOMMENDATION

THAT in accordance with Regulation 15(2) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, Council move into Closed Session and the meeting be closed to members of the public.

| DECISION (MUST BE BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY) | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST | | | |
| Mayor A O Green | | | | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | | | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | | | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | | | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | | | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | | | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | | | | |

CLOSED COUNCIL AGENDA

19. BUSINESS IN "CLOSED SESSION"

Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 provides that Council may consider certain sensitive matters in Closed Meeting.

The following matters have been listed in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

- **19.1 CLOSED COUNCIL MINUTES CONFIRMATION**
- **19.2 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE**
- 19.3 WASTE TRANSFER STATION CONTRACT FOR THE WASTE COLLECTION, DISPOSAL AND PROVISION OF BINS (5 YEAR PLUS 1)
- **19.4 PROPERTY MATTER OATLANDS**

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council move out of "Closed Session".

| DECISION | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Councillor | Vote FOR | Vote AGAINST | | | |
| Mayor A O Green | | | | | |
| Deputy Mayor E Batt | | | | | |
| Clr A Bantick | | | | | |
| CIr A E Bisdee OAM | | | | | |
| Clr K Dudgeon | | | | | |
| Clr D F Fish | | | | | |
| Clr R McDougall | | | | | |

OPEN COUNCIL AGENDA

20. CLOSURE